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PBS-1. What is the fiscal year of the PBS evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2018-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget documents 2018-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://mof.gov.bd/">https://mof.gov.bd/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

PBS-2. When is the PBS made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for a PBS to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public one month before the Executive’s Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration. If the PBS is not released to the public at least one month before the Executive’s Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration, option "d" applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the PBS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d. The PBS is not released to the public, or is released less than one month before the Executive’s Budget Proposal is introduced to the legislature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Finance, Bangladesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://mof.gov.bd/">https://mof.gov.bd/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The PBS is not released to the public in Bangladesh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: There is no practice to release PBS to the public in Bangladesh. It has not done in the history of the country.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PBS-3a. If the PBS is published, what is the date of publication of the PBS?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please enter the date in the following format: “DD/MM/YYYY.” For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Answer:** |
| Source: Exact date is not mentioned in the report. |
| **Comment:** |

| **Peer Reviewer** |
| Opinion: |

| **Government Reviewer** |
| Opinion: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PBS-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the PBS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Answer:** |
| “n/a” |
| **Source:** |
| **Comment:** |

| **Peer Reviewer** |
| Opinion: Agree |
| **Government Reviewer** |
| Opinion: Agree |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PBS-4. If the PBS is published, what is the URL or weblink of the PBS?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Answer:** |
| Source: No PBS is published |
| **Comment:** |

| **Peer Reviewer** |
PBS-5. If the PBS is published, are the numerical data contained in the PBS available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: [http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/](http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/)

Option "d" applies if the PBS is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer: d. Not applicable

Source: 

Comment: 

---

PBS-6a. If the PBS is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the PBS is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question PBS-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question PBS-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer: c. Produced for internal purposes/use only

Source: As discussed with Ministry of Finance that they still produce document as a PBS for internal purpose only.

Comment: As discussed with Ministry of Finance that they still produce document as a PBS for internal purpose only.

---

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Finance division actually produces a Working Paper outlining summary of the possible contents of EBP for internal use only during pre-budget coordination meeting organized by Ministry of Finance.
Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: a. Produced but made available online to the public too late (published after the acceptable time frame)
Comments: The budget documents is published hard copy and made available soft copy after the budget placed in the parliament.

Researcher Response
Agreed with peer reviewer that "Finance division actually produces a Working Paper outlining summary of the possible contents of EBP for internal use only during pre-budget coordination meeting organized by Ministry of Finance"

PBS-6b. If you selected option “c” or “d” in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option “a,” “b,” or “e” was selected in question PBS-6a, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer: “n/a”
Source:
Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

PBS-7. If the PBS is produced, please write the full title of the PBS.

For example, a title for the Pre-Budget Statement could be “Proposed 2019 State Budget” or “Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Plan and Budget for 2018/19.”

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer: n/a
Source: No PBS
Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question

PBS-8. Is there a “citizens version” of the PBS?
While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a “citizens” version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/
EBP-2. When is the EBP made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EBP to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public while the legislature is still considering it and before the legislature approves (enacts) it. If the EBP is not released to the public before the legislature approves it, option “d” applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the EBP.

The OBS definition of an Executive’s Budget Proposal is a document(s) that (i) the executive submits to the legislature as a formal part of the budget approval process and (ii) the legislature either approves or on which it approves proposed amendments.

The OBS will treat the Executive’s Budget Proposal as “Not Produced,” in the following cases:

- The executive does not submit the draft budget to the legislature; or
- The legislature receives the draft budget but does not approve it or does not approve recommendations on the draft budget; or
- The legislature rejects the draft budget submitted by the executive, but the executive implements it without legislative approval; or
- There is no legislature, or the legislature has been dissolved.

Answer:

c. Less than two months in advance of the budget year, but at least in advance of the budget being approved by the legislature

Source:
Ministry of Finance, Bangladesh

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: There should be another option in the question, such "Less than one month in advance". It will indicate the time available for discussion in the Parliament (legislature) and public sphere.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EBP-3a. If the EBP is published, what is the date of publication of the EBP?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.
Please enter the date in the following format: “DD/MM/YYYY.” For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their date of publication.

**Answer:**
7/6/2018

**Source:**
See the date is included as a timestamp on the web-page of EBP budget documents (7 June 2018) for example, the Annual Financial Statements: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/d49907f6-ed52-4316-98a8-65dadc9c38b4

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The date is correct. It became available in the website of Finance Division when the Finance Minister was delivering budget speech in the parliament.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

---

**EBP-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EBP.**

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”*

**Answer:**
Date is mentioned in the cover page of the Executive Budget Proposal

**Source:**
Ministry of Finance, Bangladesh

Date is also included in the timestamp of individual budget documents https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/d49907f6-ed52-4316-98a8-65dadc9c38b4

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

---

**EBP-4. If the EBP is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EBP?**

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

*In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their URL or weblink.*

**Answer:**

**Source:**
The EBP is posted on the main page of the Ministry of Finance's website, under the Tab 'Budget Documents' https://mof.gov.bd/

There are many EBP supporting documents, including:

- Budget Speech: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/9ea7529b-c8ef-49b5-8b8e-87ef72a2b23c
- Budget in Brief: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946aae4d-78bd-43b5-ba2a-ed29342acba6
- Annual Financial Statement: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/da9907f6-ed52-4316-98a8-65dacad9c3b4
- Supplementary Budget: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/4de4ed60-37ed-4eda-bf7a-d46c1fbc5238
- Demand for Grants: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/dd82941f-1a04-4c3a-9359-ce7dce1ea6f5
- Consolidated Fund Receipt: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/def06262-9baa-455e-acb0-3ffedcdaac46
- Gender Budgeting Report: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/68d4e6e3-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6
- Medium-Term Policy and Economic Statement: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/d49907f6-ed52-4316-98a8-65dacad9c3b4
- Child Budget: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5b66d26b-b886-4a5e-bf63-bf0c1b2b8d8f
- SOE Budget: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5eed2680-c68c-4728-9270-13e12954aad
- MTBF: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/13b6bd26-b886-4a5e-bf63-bf0c1b2b8d8f

Also submitted to Parliament is the Economic Review, published June 6, 2018:
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/44e399b3-d378-41aa-86ff-8c4277e0990/%E0%A6%AC%E0%A6%BE%E0%A6%82%E0%A6%B2%E0%A6%BE%E0%A6%A6%E0%A7%87%E0%A6%B6-%E0%A6%85%E0%A6%80%E0%A7%8D%E0%A6%A5%E0%A8%E0%A7%88%E0%A6%A4%E0%A6%8F%E0%A6%95-%E0%A6%88%E0%A6%A6%E0%A7%80%E0%A6%95%E0%A7%87%E0%A6%B7%E0%A6%BE

Comment:
ADP document is also considered as part of EBP. Ministry of Planning prepares ADP. Budget consists of Operating and Development Budget. Ministry of Finance prepares operating budget while Ministry of Planning prepares development budget. Both ADP and EBP are released at the same time when budget is presented in the parliament.

Annual Development Program 2018-19
https://plandiv.gov.bd/site/files/7c29880c-8677-4f1f-a65b-9889b853ea2c/ADP-2018-19

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: "Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement" is prepared by Finance Division. http://library.bracu.ac.bd:9292/cgi-bin/koha/opac-detail.pl?biblionumber=39995&shelfbrowse_itemnumber=64279 Another important document has been published in the website titled "Social Safety Net Programmes". It lists the projects for social protection, social empowerment and miscellaneous development projects for social safety net along with budget allocation for each project.


Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

Yes, "Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement" - is published along with the other EBP documents

EBP-5. If the EBP is published, are the numerical data contained in the EBP or its supporting documents available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/.

Option "d" applies if the EBP is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
c. No

Source:

Comment:
The government has a iBAS++ (Integrated Budget and Accounting System), which is an Integrated Financial Management Information System for the government of Bangladesh.

However, none of that information is made publicly available in a machine-readable format.

Peer Reviewer
EBP-6a. If the EBP is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EBP is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EBP-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EBP-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

- e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
EBP is made publicly available on June 7, 2018

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EBP-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EBP-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EBP was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EBP-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:
EBP-7. If the EBP is produced, please write the full title of the EBP.

For example, a title for the Executive’s Budget Proposal could be “Draft Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for BY 2018-19, produced by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development.”

If there are any supporting documents to the EBP, please enter their full titles in the comment box below.

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer:
Budget Speech 2018-19

Source:
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/9ea7529b-c8ef-49b5-8b8e-87ef72a2b3ec

There are multiple documents in the proposed National Budget 2018-2019 - this title is just for the Budget Speech.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: The full title of the EBP 2018-19 was "Bangladesh on a Pathway to Prosperity Budget Speech 2018-19" https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/591b7120-945c-4b88-9bd5-3447963f6249

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: As mentioned by the researcher, budget documents includes several documents such as: Budget Speech, Annual Financial Statement (AFS), Medium Term Policy Statement (MTMPS), Annual Development Program (ADP), etc.

IBP Comment
Thank you to the reviewers for the clarification about the document title.

EBP-8. Is there a “citizens version” of the EBP?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a “citizens version” of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets

Answer:
b. No

Source:
There is a document called the Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946aee4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6

However, this document is not developed for the general public, or citizens and is just a summarized version of the EBP.

For this reason, we do not consider it a Citizen's version of the EBP. This score is consistent with the assessment in OBS 2017.

Comment:
While there is a Budget in Brief, which includes a summary of the EBP, and this document contains charts and tables, the document is not clearly aimed at a general audience or citizens. Since this question asks about Citizen's versions (and not just summaries) we do not count the Budget in Brief as a Citizen's version of the EBP.
There was, however, a "citizens version" of the EBP on climate change was published by Finance Division titled "Bangladesh's Citizens Climate Budget Report 2018-19". It was supported by United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) Dhaka office.

Thank you to the Peer Reviewer clarification on this Citizens Version. As we look for CB of the full EBP document, and not just some sectors, while this document is important, it is not considered for this question.

**EB-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?**

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

**Answer:**
FY 2018-19

**Source:**

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

**EB-1b. When was the EB approved (enacted) by the legislature?**

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**
28/6/2018

**Source:**
National Budget was enacted on 28th June 2018 in the National Parliament which was placed before the parliament on 7th June 2018 for approval

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The date is correct.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
EB-2. When is the EB made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EB to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public three months after the budget is approved by the legislature. If the EB is not released to the public at least three months after the budget is approved by the legislature, option “d” applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the EB.

Answer:

b. Between two weeks and six weeks after the budget has been enacted

Source:
The Finance Bill and Appropriation Act was published in the Government Gazette dated 30 June 2018, however, the Gazette was published online on August 4, 2018, confirmed with Javascript.

Finance Bill 2018

Appropriation Act 2018

Comment:
National Budget was enacted on 28th June 2018 in the National Parliament which was placed before the parliament on 7th June 2018 for approval

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: EB has been made available to general citizens through gazette notifications only. However, the amendments in the enacted budget have not been reflected in the EBP documents afterwards.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question
Comments: The said Act published hard copy in 3 June 2018. Normally few working days needed to publish online. But the mentioned time seems me unusual. I am not sure about it.

EB-3a. If the EB is published, what is the date of publication of the EB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

4/8/2018

Source:
The Finance Bill and Appropriation Act was published in the Government Gazette dated 30 June 2018, however, the Gazette was published online on August 4, 2018, confirmed with Javascript.

Finance Bill 2018

Appropriation Act 2018

Comment:
National Budget was enacted on 28th June 2018 in the National Parliament which was placed before the parliament on 7th June 2018 for approval
EB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
Date is mentioned in the Bill Gazette, and the online publication date for both documents confirmed with Javascript

Source:
The Finance Bill and Appropriation Act was published in the Government Gazette dated 30 June 2018, however, the Gazette was published online on August 4, 2018, confirmed with Javascript.
Finance Bill 2018
Appropriation Act 2018

Comment:
National Budget was enacted on 28th June 2018 in the National Parliament which was placed before the parliament on 7th June 2018 for approval

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments:
Finance Bill 2018 is also called "Finance Act 2018". Please see: http://nbr.gov.bd/regulations/acts/finance-acts/eng

EB-4. If the EB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:
Finance Bill 2018
Appropriation Act 2018

Comment:
National Budget was enacted on 28th June 2018 in the National Parliament which was placed before the parliament on 7th June 2018 for approval
Published in the Gazette dated June 30, and published online August 4, 2018

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
EB-5. If the EB is published, are the numerical data contained in the EB available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/

Option “d” applies if the EB is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

---

Answer: c. No

Source:
Finance Bill 2018
Appropriation Act 2018

Comment:
PDF format only

---

EB-6a. If the EB is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EB is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EB-2 was “d”), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option “a” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EB-2).
Option “b” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option “d” also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option “c” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option “e” applies if the document is not produced at all.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer “c” or “d” applies.

---

Answer: e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
Finance Bill 2018
Appropriation Act 2018
EB-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EB-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EB-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

**Answer:**

**Source:**

**Comment:**

---

EB-7. If the EB is produced, please write the full title of the EB.

*For example, a title for the Enacted Budget could be "Appropriation Act n. 10 of 2018."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

**Answer:**

Appropriation Act n.21 of 2018

**Source:**

Finance Bill 2018


Appropriation Act 2018


**Comment:**

---

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Two acts were published: Appropriation (Supplementary) Act, 2018 [Act No. 21 of the year 2018] Finance Act, 2018 [Act No. 22 of the year 2018] Both are produced in Bengali

Government Reviewer

Opinion:
EB-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EB?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/.

Answer:
b. No

Source:
No CB produced for the EB

Comment:
Finance Bill 2018
Appropriation Act 2018

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-1. What is the fiscal year of the CB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for each CB please indicate the document the CB simplifies/refers to, and the fiscal year.

Answer:
FY 2018-19

Source:
National Budget was enacted on 28th June 2018 in the National Parliament which was placed before the parliament on 7th June 2018 for approval

The Budget in Brief is considered the Citizens Budget for OBS 2019. This is not a change in practice, just a change in IBP’s assessment of the document.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: CB has not been produced by Finance Division for FY 2018-19.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Researcher Response
IBP note would be alright for making it consistent with other countries

IBP Comment
As noted by the Peer Reviewer, while the Budget in Brief previously has not been considered a Citizens Budget, in OBS 2019 it has been compared to CBs released by other countries and the status of this document is now assessed as meeting the basic criteria of a CB - in that it has graphics to simplify budget information and it is a shorter version of the entire budget documents. For that reason, in OBS 2019 the Budget in Brief is considered a Citizens Version of the EBP.

CB-2a. For the fiscal year indicated in CB-1, what is the public availability status of the CB?

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document (Executive’s Budget Proposal or Enacted Budget) you are referring to, and — in the same comment box — which other Citizens Budget is produced and its public availability status.

Remember that publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

Option “a” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology.
Option “b” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option “b” also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option “c” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option “d” applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option “e” applies if the document is publicly available.

Answer:
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
Budget in Brief: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4e4d78bd43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6

Released at the same time as EBP documents on June 7, 2018.

The Budget in Brief is considered the Citizens Budget for OBS 2019. This is not a change in practice, just a change in IBP’s assessment of the document.

Comment:
There were previously more citizen-oriented Citizen’s Budgets produced, for example:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/8b3cc13a_e3ae_4e60_8cc8_0d592f120140/booklet_en%20(1).pdf

In addition the government has produced a 2018-2019 Citizens Budget for Climate Change, but not for the overall budget:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: d. Not produced at all
Comments: Budget in Brief cannot be considered as the CB.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you to the Peer Reviewer for the comment. See the response to CB-1.

CB-2b. If you selected option “c” or “d” in question CB-2a, please specify how you determined whether the CB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option “a,” “b,” or “e” was selected in question CB-2a, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”
Answer:

"n/a"

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Budget in Brief is not the CB. It is a brief version of the EBP for the MPs to facilitate discussion in the parliament. It is not produced for making general citizens understand the budget.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-3a. If the CB is published, what is the date of publication of the CB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: “DD/MM/YYYY.” For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its dates of publication.

Answer:
7/6/2018

Source:
Budget in Brief: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6

Released at the same time as EBP documents on June 7, 2018.

The Budget in Brief is considered the Citizens Budget for OBS 2019. This is not a change in practice, just a change in IBP’s assessment of the document.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: Budget in Brief is not Citizens Budget. It only comprises of the allocated/revised budget by ministry/division/offices and some rudimentary graphical illustrations of resources allocation and sources of revenue by sector. General citizens are unlikely to understand the entire budget after going through the document because it contains many technical terms without explanation. However, Bangladesh’s Citizens Climate Budget Report 2018-19 shows a good format of citizens budget.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you to the Peer Reviewer for the comment. See the response to CB-1.

CB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the CB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”
CB-4. If the CB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the CB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its URL or weblink.

Answer:
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6

Source:
Budget in Brief: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6

Released at the same time as EBP documents on June 7, 2018.

The Budget in Brief is considered the Citizens Budget for OBS 2019. This is not a change in practice, just a change in IBP’s assessment of the document.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: Budget in Brief should not be considered as the Citizens Budget.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you to the Peer Reviewer for the comment. See the response to CB-1.
If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for the other CB, indicate the document the CB refers to and, next to it, its full title.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer: Budget in Brief</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source: Budget in Brief: <a href="https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6">https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released at the same time as EBP documents on June 7, 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Budget in Brief is considered the Citizens Budget for OBS 2019. This is not a change in practice, just a change in IBP’s assessment of the document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Reviewer
- Opinion: Disagree
- Suggested Answer: Budget in Brief should not be considered as CB

Government Reviewer
- Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
- Thank you to the Peer Reviewer for the comment. See the response to CB-1.

CB-6. If the CB is produced, please indicate which budget document it corresponds to.

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and which budget document it simplifies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer: EBP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source: Budget in Brief: <a href="https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6">https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released at the same time as EBP documents on June 7, 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Budget in Brief is considered the Citizens Budget for OBS 2019. This is not a change in practice, just a change in IBP’s assessment of the document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Reviewer
- Opinion: Disagree
- Suggested Answer: Budget in Brief should not be considered as CB

Government Reviewer
- Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
- Thank you to the Peer Reviewer for the comment. See the response to CB-1.

IYRs-1. What is the fiscal year of the IYRs evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?
Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2017-18

Source:
Monthly Fiscal Reports, e.g. July 2018

Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates, e.g. June 2018
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bfb4bbae8e7/mfmu_June.%202018.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-2. When are the IYRs made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option “d” applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the IYRs.

Answer:
d. The IYRs are not released to the public, or are released more than three months after the period covered

Source:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports:
The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:

The report for June 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:

The report for May 2018 was published late on 13 November 2018 at:

The report for April 2018 was published late on 17 October 2018 at:

The report for March 2018 was published late on 16 July 2018 at:

The report for February 2018 was published late on 25 June 2018 at:

The report for January 2018 was published late on 16 July 2018 at:

The report for December 2017 was publicly available online on 27 February 2018 at:

The report for November 2017 was publicly available online on 3 January 2018 at:

The report for October 2017 was publicly available online on 24 January 2018 at:

Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates:
The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.

The report for June 2018 was published late on 6 January 2019 at:

The report for May 2018 was published late on 20 December 2018 at:

The report for April 2018 was published late on 20 December 2018 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf6b4bbae8e7/mfmu_April_18.pdf

The report for March 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:

The report for February 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf6b4bbae8e7/mfmu_February_18%20%282%29.pdf

The report for January 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf6b4bbae8e7/mfmu_January_18%20%286%29.pdf

The report for December 2017 was published late on 22 April 2018 at:

The report for November 2017 was published late on 17 April 2018 at:

The report for October 2017 was published late on 27 February 2018 at:

Comment:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports were not publicly available, as only three (3) of the previous 12 months were available online within three months of the reporting period.

The Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were not publicly available, as none of the previous 12 months were available online within three months of the reporting period.

Therefore all IYRs are assessed as published late.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The IYRs are released in the website of the Finance Division.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-3a. If the IYRs are published, what are the dates of publication of the IYRs?

Specifically: if quarterly In-Year Reports are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least three of the last four IYRs that were publicly available. If monthly IYRs are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least seven of the last 12 IYRs that were publicly available.

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.
Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: “DD Month YYYY.” For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05 September 2018. If the document is not published or not produced, please mark this question “n/a.”

Answer:
In Year Reports are not published within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology, i.e. within three months after the reporting period. For example, it is written “June 2018” in the Monthly Fiscal Report, June 2018. But there is no specific publication date mentioned in the report. Dates were confirmed via Javascript and Wayback.

Source:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports:
The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:

The report for June 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:

The report for May 2018 was published late on 13 November 2018 at:

The report for April 2018 was published late on 17 October 2018 at:
Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates:
The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for June 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_June%20%281%29.pdf
The report for May 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
The report for April 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_April_18.pdf
The report for March 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
The report for February 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
The report for January 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
The report for December 2017 was published late on 22 April 2018 at:
The report for November 2017 was published late on 27 February 2018 at:
The report for October 2017 was published late on 27 February 2018 at:

Comment:
In Year Reports are not published within the timeframe accepted by the OBS methodology, i.e. within three months after the reporting period. For example, it is written “June 2018” in the Monthly Fiscal Report, June 2018. But there is no specific publication date mentioned in the report.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the IYRs.

Answer:
Dates were confirmed with Javascript and Wayback Internet Archives.

Source:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports were not publicly available, as only three (3) of the previous 12 months were available online within three months of the reporting period:
The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:
The report for June 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:

The report for April 2018 was published late on 17 October 2018 at: https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/d9daf6f5_96d9_411b_bb44_42d6681075c4/MFR%20April_18_final.pdf


The report for February 2018 was published late on 25 June 2018 at: https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/d9daf6f5_96d9_411b_bb44_42d6681075c4/MFR%20February%202018_RP.pdf


The report for December 2017 was publicly available online on 27 February 2018 at: http://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/d9daf6f5_96d9_411b_bb44_42d6681075c4/MFR%20December%202017.pdf


The Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were not publicly available, as none of the previous 12 months were available online within three months of the reporting period:

The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for June 2018 was published late on 6 January 2019 at: https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_June.%202018.pdf

The report for May 2018 was published late on 20 December 2018 at: https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_May_18.pdf

The report for April 2018 was published late on 20 December 2018 at: https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_April_18.2.pdf

The report for March 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at: https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_March_18%20%281%29.pdf

The report for February 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at: https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_February_18%20%282%29.pdf

The report for January 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at: https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_January_18%20%286%29.pdf

The report for December 2017 was published late on 22 April 2018 at: http://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_December_2017.pdf

The report for November 2017 was published late on 17 April 2018 at: http://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_nov_2017.pdf

The report for October 2017 was published late on 27 February 2018 at: http://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bf4bbae8e7/mfmu_October_2017.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-4. If the IYRs are published, what is the URL or weblink of the IYRs?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Researchers should provide the weblink to the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the weblinks to older IYRs.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:
In Year Reports are not published within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology, i.e. within three months after the reporting period. For example, it is written “June 2018” in the Monthly Fiscal Report, June 2018. But there is no specific publication date mentioned in the report.

Comment:
The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:
The report for June 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:
The report for May 2018 was published late on 13 November 2018 at:
The report for April 2018 was published late on 17 October 2018 at:
The report for March 2018 was published late on 16 July 2018 at:
The report for February 2018 was published late on 25 June 2018 at:
The report for January 2018 was published late on 16 July 2018 at:
The report for December 2017 was publicly available online on 27 February 2018 at:
The report for November 2017 was publicly available online on 5 February 2018 at:
The report for October 2017 was publicly available online on 24 January 2018 at:
The Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were not publicly available, as none of the previous 12 months were available online within three months of the reporting period:
The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was published late on 6 January 2019 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bfb4bbae8e7/mfmu_June.%202018.pdf
The report for May 2018 was published late on 20 December 2018 at:
The report for April 2018 was published late on 20 December 2018 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bfb4bbae8e7/mfmu_April.18.pdf
The report for March 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bfb4bbae8e7/mfmu_March%202018%29.pdf
The report for February 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bfb4bbae8e7/mfmu_February%202018%29.pdf
The report for January 2018 was published late on 6 August 2018 at:
The report for December 2017 was published late on 22 April 2018 at:
The report for November 2017 was published late on 17 April 2018 at:
http://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bfb4bbae8e7/mfmu_November%202017.pdf
The report for October 2017 was published late on 27 February 2018 at:
http://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/5e10d106_37c2_41c6_b9e2_7bfb4bbae8e7/mfmu_October%202017.pdf
The report for September 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for August 2018 was not published by the cut-off date.
The report for July 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:
The report for June 2018 was published late on 31 December 2018 at:
The report for May 2018 was published late on 13 November 2018 at:
The report for April 2018 was published late on 17 October 2018 at:
The report for March 2018 was published late on 16 July 2018 at:
The report for February 2018 was published late on 25 June 2018 at:
The report for January 2018 was published late on 16 July 2018 at:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
IYRs-6a. If the IYRs are not publicly available, are they still produced?

If the IYRs are not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question IYRs-2 was “d”), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option “a” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question IYRs-2).
Option “b” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option “b” also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option “c” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option “d” applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option “e” applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer “c” or “d” applies.

Answer:
a. Produced but made available online to the public too late (published after the acceptable time frame)

Source:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports were not publicly available, as only three (3) of the previous 12 months were available online within three months of the reporting period.
The Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were not publicly available, as none of the previous 12 months were available online within three months of the reporting period.

Therefore all IYRs are assessed as published late.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-6b. If you selected option “c” or “d” in question IYRs-6a, please specify how you determined whether the IYRs were produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option “a,” “b,” or “e” was selected in question IYRs-6a, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”
IYRs-7. If the IYRs are produced, please write the full title of the IYRs.

For example, a title for the In-Year Report could be "Budget Monitoring Report, Quarter 1" or "Budget Execution Report January-March 2018."

If In-Year Reports are not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Researchers should provide the full title of the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the full titles of older IYRs.

Answer: Monthly Report on Fiscal-Macro Position
Source: 
Comment: 

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: 

Government Reviewer
Opinion: 

IYRs-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the IYRs?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/

Answer: b. No
Source: 
Comment: 

Executive summary is produced in the beginning of the reports. However, this does not count as a Citizen's Budget. Citizen's versions would be
usually separate reports that are developed specifically with a non-technical audience in mind.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-1. What is the fiscal year of the MYR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

Answer:
FY 2017-18

Source:
The Budget Implementation Status Report for 2017/2018 with a reporting period ending December 31, 2017 should have been published by March 31, 2018.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-2. When is the MYR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an MYR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends (i.e., three months after the midpoint of the fiscal year). If the MYR is not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option “d” applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the MYR.

Answer:
d. The MYR is not released to the public, or is released more than three months after the midpoint

Source:
Budget Implementation Status
Ministry of Finance, Bangladesh
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/e0291969-e5d2-4f8a-942a-e2cb24c5f1cd4

Comment:
MYR is released more than three months after the midpoint.

The Budget Implementation Status Report for 2017/2018 with a reporting period ending December 31, 2017 should have been published by March 31, 2018. This document has yet to be published, however, and the only document available on the website is the Budget Implementation Status for FY 2016/2017.
MYR-3a. If the MYR is published, what is the date of publication of the MYR?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: “DD/MM/YYYY.” For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

Answer:

Source:
MYR is released more than three months after the midpoint

Comment:
MYR for FY 2017/2018 was not released by the OBS research cut-off date

MYR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the MYR.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”*

Answer:
MYR is released more than three months after the midpoint

Source:
MYR for FY 2017/2018 was not released by the OBS research cut-off date

Comment:

MYR-4. If the MYR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the MYR?
Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
<th>d. Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source:</td>
<td>MYR for FY 2017/2018 was not released by the OBS research cut-off date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MYR-5. If the MYR is published, are the numerical data contained in the MYR available in a machine readable format?**

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: [http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/](http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/).

Option "d" applies if the MYR is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
<th>d. Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source:</td>
<td>MYR for FY 2017/2018 was not released by the OBS research cut-off date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MYR-6a. If the MYR is not publicly available, is it still produced?**

If the MYR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question MYR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question MYR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.
MYR-6. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question MYR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the MYR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question MYR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
The document is published late after the cut-off date of the OBS research period.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: MYR for FY 2017/2018 was not produced in the review period. So, the researcher's response is correct.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-7. If the MYR is produced, please write the full title of the MYR.

*For example, a title for the Mid-Year Report could be "Semi-annual Budget Performance Report, FY 2017/18" or "Mid-Year Report on the 2018 National Budget."

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
"n/a"

Source:

Comment:
MYR is released more than three months after the midpoint

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
MYR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the MYR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens version" of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see:  
http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/

| Answer:  |
| No |
| Source: |
| Comment: |

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree  

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

YER-1. What is the fiscal year of the YER evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

| Answer: |
| FY 2016-17 |
| Source: |
| The report for FY 2016-2017 with a reporting period ending 30 June 2017 should have been published online by 30 June 2018 |
| Comment: |

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree  

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

YER-2. When is the YER made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an YER to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than one year after the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the YER is not released to the public within one year after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies.
Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the YER.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d. The YER is not released to the public, or is released more than 12 months after the end of the budget year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source:
Year End Fiscal Report
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/d89f4477-5d99-4198-b321-ae8c3526d39b/Year-End-Fiscal-Report

Comment:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.
The most recent report is from FY 2014-2015:
Therefore, the YER is released more than 12 months after the end of the budget year

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

---

YER-3a. If the YER is published, what is the date of publication of the YER?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source:
No YER for 2016-2017 published by the OBS cut-off date.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

---

YER-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the YER.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“n/a”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source:
YER-4. If the YER is published, what is the URL or weblink of the YER?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**
Source: No YER Produced
Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

YER-5. If the YER is published, are the numerical data contained in the YER available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: [http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/](http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/)*

Option "d" applies if the YER is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

**Answer:**
d. Not applicable
Source: No YER produced
Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

YER-6a. If the YER is not publicly available, is it still produced?
If the YER is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question YER-2 was “d”), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option “a” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question YER-2)

Option “b” applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option “b” also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option “c” applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option “d” applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option “e” applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer “c” or “d” applies.

Answer: 
d. Not produced at all

Source: 
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website by the OBS cut-off date, therefore it is considered not produced.

The most recent report is from FY 2014-2015:

Comment: 
YER is released more than 12 months after the end of the budget year

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Answer:
YER-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question YER-6a, please specify how you determined whether the YER was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.
If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question YER-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

The YER is released with significant delay, and the YER for 2016-2017 was not published by the end of the OBS research cut-off date.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER for 2015-2016 has not been published yet. So, YER cannot be considered to be a continuous routine work of the Finance Division. Since the last YER was of the year 2014-15, it is reasonable to assume that Finance Division has discontinued this important document.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Answer:
YER-7. If the YER is produced, please write the full title of the YER.

For example, a title for the Year-End Report could be "Consolidated Financial Statement for the Year Ended 31 March 2018" or "Annual Report 2017 Published
YER-8. Is there a “citizens version” of the YER?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a “citizens” version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/.

Answer:
b. No

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-1. What is the fiscal year of the AR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: “FY YYYY” or “FY YYYY-YY.”

Answer:
FY 2016-17

Source:
The Audit Report for fiscal year 2015/2016 should have been released by 31 December 2018, however neither this report, not the report for FY 2016/2017 has yet to be posted on the website.
AR-2. When is the AR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an AR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the AR is not released to the public at least 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option “d” applies. Option “d” should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options “a” or “b,” depending on the date of publication identified for the AR.

Answer:

d. Does not release to the public, or is released more than 18 months after the end of the budget year

Source:
AR is released more than 18 months after the end of the budget year

The Audit Report for fiscal year 2015/2016 should have been released by 31 December 2018, however neither this report, not the report for FY 2016/2017 has yet to be posted on the website.

Comment:
Audit Reports
http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/5/Final审计-Report

AR-3a. If the AR is published, what is the date of publication of the AR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: “DD/MM/YYYY.” For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:
The Audit Report for fiscal year 2015/2016 should have been released by 31 December 2018, however neither this report, not the report for FY 2016/2017 has yet to be posted on the website.
AR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the AR.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”*

**Answer:**
“n/a”

**Source:**
The Ministry does not publish an Audit of the financial accounts or government budget, but they do publish audits of Ministry-level accounts:
http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/5/Financial-Audit-Report

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-4. If the AR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the AR?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

**Answer:**

**Source:**
The Ministry does not publish an Audit of the financial accounts or government budget, but they do publish audits of Ministry-level accounts:
http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/5/Financial-Audit-Report

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-5. If the AR is published, are the numerical data contained in the AR available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: [http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readble/](http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readble/)*
Option "d" applies if the AR is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
c. No

Source:
Comptroller and Auditor General of Bangladesh does not publish the Audit Reports for the financial year
http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/5/Financial-Audit-Report

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-6a. If the AR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the AR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question AR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question AR-2).
Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:
d. Not produced at all

Source:
There is no Audit Report of the whole of government financial statements
http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/5/Financial-Audit-Report

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question AR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the AR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question AR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."
AR-7. If the AR is produced, please write the full title of the AR.

For example, a title for the Audit Report could be “Annual General Reports of the Controller and Auditor General.” If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question “n/a.”

Answer: “n/a”
Source:
Comment: There is no AR

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-8. Is there a “citizens version” of the AR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a “citizens” version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/

Answer: b. No
Source:
Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
GQ-1a. Are there one or more websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1a asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where fiscal information can be found. For example, in New Zealand the Treasury website (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/) hosts important budget-related information, including the Pre-Budget Statement, the Executive’s Budget Proposal, the Citizens Budget, In-Year Reports, the Mid-Year Review, and the Year-End Report. In addition, New Zealand’s Parliamentary Counsel Office (http://www.legislation.govt.nz/) posts the Enacted Budget while the Controller and Auditor-General website (http://www.oag.govt.nz/) publishes the annual Audit Report. The New Zealand researcher would provide the links to each of these sites. Other countries have developed portals that include fiscal information, though not in the “documents” format. For example, these portals have been created by Mexico (https://www.transparenciapresupuestaria.gob.mx/) and Brazil (http://www.portaltransparencia.gov.br/). Some countries have both a website and a portal. The Brazilian government, for example, apart from the Transparency Portal, has a dedicated website for the federal budget, where all key documents and other information can be found (www orcamentofederal.gov.br). Researchers should include details about all of the relevant websites and/or portals that they can be used to access budget information.

---

**Answer:**

*a. Yes*

**Source:**

*Ministry of Finance, Bangladesh*
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/

*Ministry of Planning, Bangladesh*
https://plandiv.gov.bd/

*National Board of Revenue*
http://nbr.gov.bd/

*Bangladesh Bank*
https://www.bb.org.bd/

*Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General*
http://www.cagbd.org/

---

**Peer Reviewer**

*Opinion: Agree*

*Comments:* In addition to these ministries and offices, budget-related documents of individual ministries, divisions and offices are available at their respective websites. For example, budget-related documents of Ministry of Agriculture are available at:

---

**Government Reviewer**

*Opinion: Disagree*

*Suggested Answer: a. Yes*


---

**Researcher Response**

*Agreed with both Peer Reviewer and Government Reviewer as budget-related documents are available in various websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information*

---

GQ-1b. On these websites/portals, can revenue and/or expenditure data for the current fiscal year be downloaded as a consolidated file (or set of files)? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1b, GQ-1c, and GQ-1d ask about whether governments publish specific types of content on their websites/portals: *(a)* consolidated files that contain revenue and/or expenditure information for the current fiscal year; *(b)* consolidated files that contain revenue and/or expenditure information for multiple years in consistent formats; and *(c)* infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis. Researchers should provide the links to relevant webpages and some explanations of what they contain.

---

**Answer:**

*d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded as a consolidated file*
GQ-1c. On these websites/portals, can consolidated revenue and/or expenditure data be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

**Answer:**

d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

Source:
Ministry of Finance, Bangladesh
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/
Ministry of Planning, Bangladesh
https://plandiv.gov.bd/
National Board of Revenue
http://nbr.gov.bd/

Comment:
Data is not machine readable.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

GQ-1d. On these websites/portals, are infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

**Answer:**

b. No

Source:
Ministry of Finance, Bangladesh
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/
Ministry of Planning, Bangladesh
https://plandiv.gov.bd/
National Board of Revenue
http://nbr.gov.bd/
GQ-2. Are there laws in place guiding public financial management and/or auditing? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where the law(s) contains specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

**Answer:**

a. Yes

**Source:**

Budget Management Act

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/5668da35-0c3c-4115-a893-98c5f16db616/Budget-Management-Act

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The correct title of the Act is “Public Moneys and Budget Management Act, 2009”

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/311e440e_4ad0_4c97_8a7e_e727707db410/11.pdf

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes

Comments: Government Finance and Budget Management Act, 2009

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/5668da35-0c3c-4115-a893-98c5f16db616/Budget-Management-Act

IBP Comment

Thank you to the reviewers' comments. These responses are in alignment with the researcher's response.

GQ-3. Are there additional laws regulating: (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where these laws contain specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

The third and last question asks researchers to list any additional laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens' participation that are relevant for the promotion of budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes. These might include legislation related to access to information, to planning processes, or to public administration more generally. India's Right to Information Act of 2005 (https://www.ncss.gov.in/facilities/central-public-information-officer/rti-act-details.html) is an example of this type of law. More information on access to information legislation (constitutional provisions, laws, and regulations), including examples of model laws, can be found here:

http://www.right2info.org/laws/constitutional-provisions-laws-and-regulations#section-1

**Answer:**

a. Yes
1. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 1 addresses the presentation of expenditure by administrative unit. This information indicates which government entity (ministry, department, or agency, or MDAs) will be responsible for spending the funds and, ultimately, held accountable for their use.*

To answer “a,” the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all administrative units, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer “b,” the administrative units shown individually, in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation, must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. In other words, the sum of the expenditures assigned to the individual MDAs (education, health, infrastructure, interior, defense, etc.) must account for at least two-thirds of the total expenditure budgeted for that particular year. A “c” answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents administrative units that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, administrative units accounting for all expenditures are presented.

**Source:**

Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2_en.pdf

**Comment:**

EBP documentation presents all expenditures for the budget year 2018-19 classified by administrative unit. Statement II: Non-Development and Development Expenditure by Ministry/Division, Budget 2018-19, Budget in Brief, pp.6-14

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree
Comments: MTBF for FY 2018-19 to 2020-21 also presents all expenditure by administrative unit.

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

2. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by functional classification?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 2 addresses the presentation of expenditure by functional classification. This classification indicates the programmatic purpose, sector, or objective.
for which the funds will be used, such as health, education, or defense. Administrative units are not necessarily aligned with functional classifications. For instance, in one country all functions connected with water supply (which fall into the “Housing” function) may be undertaken by a single government agency, while in another country they may be distributed across the Ministries of Environment, Housing, and Industrial Development. In the latter case, three ministries have programs addressing water supply, so three ministries contribute to one function. Similarly, some administrative units may conduct activities that cut across more than one function. For instance, in the example above, some programs of the Ministry of Environment would also be classified in the “environmental protection” function.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by functional classification.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by functional classification.

Source:
EBP documentation presents all expenditures for the budget year 2018-19 classified by functional classification. Graph-II: Operating & Development Budget 2018-19, Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/st_2_graph_E.pdf
Statement II A Operating and Development Budget, Use of resources, Budget in Brief 2018-19, P.17 - 18
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2a_en.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

3. If the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by functional classification, is the functional classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 3 asks whether a country’s functional classification meets international standards. To answer “a,” a country’s functional classification must be aligned with the OECD and the UN’s Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), or provide a cross-walk between the national functional presentation and COFOG.


Answer:

a. Yes, the functional classification is compatible with international standards.

Source:
The functional classification is compatible with international standards (OECD and the UN’s Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG)
Budget in Brief 2018-19 Graph-II: Operating & Development Budget 2018-19, Use of Resources
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/st_2_graph_E.pdf
Statement II: Operating and Development Expenditure, Budget in Brief, P. 6-14
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2_en.pdf

Comment:
All first-level COFOG headers are presented, with the exception of Environmental Protection.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
4. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by economic classification?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 4 asks whether the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification. Economic classification provides information on the nature of the expenditure, such as whether funds are being used to pay for wages and salaries, capital projects, or social assistance benefits.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

**Source:**


https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2b_en.pdf

Graph IV: Economic Analysis of Operating Budget 2018-19

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/st_4_graph_E.pdf

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

5. If the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by economic classification, is the economic classification compatible with international standards?

**GUIDELINES:**


**Answer:**

a. Yes, the economic classification is compatible with international standards.

**Source:**

The economic classification presented for the budget year 2018-19 is compatible with international standards - IMF Government Finance Statistics (GFS)

Statement IIB: Economic Analysis of Operating and Development Expenditure, Budget 2018-19 Budget in Brief, p. 21

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2b_en.pdf

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
6. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 6 asks whether expenditures are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should treat the term “program” as meaning any level of detail below an administrative unit — that is, any programmatic grouping that is below the ministry, department, or agency level. For example, the Ministry of Health’s budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as “primary health care,” “hospitals,” or “administration.” These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer “b,” the programs shown individually in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. A “c” answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Budget decisions for the upcoming year can also affect the parameters of future budgets. It is therefore useful to estimate revenues and expenditures for multi-year periods, understanding that these estimates might be revised as circumstances change. Sometimes referred to as a Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), a three-year period — that is, the budget year plus two more years — is generally considered an appropriate horizon for budgeting and planning.

Answer:

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

EBP documentation presents program-specific information accounting for all expenditures. All program-level information is provided in documentation for each administrative unit as part of the Medium-Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF) 2018-19 to 2020-21. See individual sections 6.1.3 and 6.2.3.

Medium Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF) 2018-19 to 2020-21
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5bac4c66-d783-4034-9127-0dc6c5621580

Comment:

ADP document is also considered as part of EBP. Ministry of Planning prepares ADP. Budget consists of Operating and Development Budget. Ministry of Finance prepares operating budget while Ministry of Planning prepares development budget. Both ADP and EBP are released at the same time when budget is presented in the parliament.

However, these documents were published only on July 2, 2018, and therefore are not considered for this question.

Annual Development Program 2018-19
https://plandiv.gov.bd/site/files/7c29880c-8677-4f1f-a65b-9889b853ea2c/ADP-2018-19

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: There are issues in development budget and ADP. Development budget has two components. The first component is the approved budget for ADP and the second component is non-ADP development budget (expenditure). Only ADP is prepared by the Ministry of Planning, which is not the entire of development budget. In 2018-19 budget, the allocation for ADP was 96 percent of total development budget. Thus, the rest about 4 percent development budget is prepared by the Ministry of Finance. On the other hand, the entire ADP is not a part of the total budget. ADP consists of both unapproved and approved projects. Only the approved projects are included in the total budget for financing since the first day of the new fiscal year and these are also included in the MTBF. However, the unapproved projects wait for approval anytime in the new fiscal year but there is no guarantee that all of the listed unapproved projects would be approved by the Executive Committee of the National Economic Council (ECNEC).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree
7. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 7 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer “b,” multi-year expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

**Source:**

EBP documentation does contain multi-year expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications - administrative, economic and functional classifications.

Medium Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF)
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5bac4c66-d783-4034-9127-0dc6c5621580

Administrative classification: P.7-21

Economic classifications - shown under each Administrative Head there is a table for "Expenditure by Economic Group Wise" that shows the economic classification with projections through 2020-2021.

Expenditures on functional classifications are provided in the Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement in Chapter 3, P.57, Table 13.14
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/dc066c3a-6fc6-4a37-a6b8-46dc47314656 (Part 2) includes a table with the functional classification with projections through FY 21.

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

7b. Based on the response to Question 7, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for a multi-year period in the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

**Answer:**

Administrative classification
Economic classification
Functional classification

**Source:**

BP documentation does contain multi-year expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications - administrative, economic and functional classifications.

Medium Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF)
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5bac4c66-d783-4034-9127-0dc6c5621580

Administrative classification: P.7-21

Economic classifications - shown under each Administrative Head there is a table for "Expenditure by Economic Group Wise" that shows the economic classification with projections through 2020-2021.

Expenditures on functional classifications are provided in the Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement in Chapter 3, P.57, Table 13.14
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/dc066c3a-6fc6-4a37-a6b8-46dc47314656 (Part 2) includes a table with the functional classification with projections through FY 21.
8. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by program?

GUIDELINES:
Question 8 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health’s budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as “primary health care,” “hospitals,” or “administration.” These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer “b,” the programs shown individually in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures over the multi-year period. A “c” answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if multi-year estimates are not presented by program.

Revenues generally are separated into two major categories: “tax” and “non-tax” revenues. Taxes are compulsory transfers that result from government exercising its sovereign power. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes. The category of non-tax revenues is more diverse, ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services. Note that some forms of revenue, such as contributions to social security funds, can be considered either a tax or non-tax revenue depending on the nature of the approach to these contributions. Particularly because different revenues have different characteristics, including who bears the burden of paying the tax and how collections are affected by economic conditions, it is helpful when estimates for revenues are disaggregated and displayed based on their sources.


Answer:
a. Yes, multi-year estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:
EBP documentation does provide program-level multi-year estimates accounting for all expenditures. The program-level data is presented in Section 6 of the documentation for each administrative unit, program level expenditure estimates for medium-term horizon through FY 2020-2021 (BY+1, BY+2). Within documentation for each administrative unit, see: Table 6.2.3 - Medium Term Expenditure Estimates by Operational Unit, Programmes and Projects Medium-Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF) 2018-19 to 2020-21 https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5bac4c66-d783-4034-9127-0dc6c5621580

9. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?
GUIDELINES:
Question 9 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:
a. Yes, individual sources of tax revenue accounting for all tax revenue are presented.

Source:
EBP documentation presents Individual sources of tax revenue accounting for all tax revenue.

Operating and Development Expenditure: Economic Analysis Summary

Of 3.059 billion tax revenues, there are only two categories of 'other' taxes - Other business and professional licenses and Other licenses and permits for households

These account for less than 1% of the total taxes, so the score is 'A'.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

GUIDELINES:
Question 10 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "non-tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The category of non-tax revenues is diverse, and can include revenue ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of non-tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all non-tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of non-tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all non-tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of non-tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of non-tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:
a. Yes, individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for all non-tax revenue are presented.

Source:
EBP documentation presents Individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for all non-tax revenues. Economic Analysis Summary Consolidated Fund Receipts Estimates 2018-19

Comment:
The 'Other Receipts' category is around 2.3% of the total non-tax revenue, which is below the threshold of 3% for an A score.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
11. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 11 evaluates whether revenue estimates are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by “category,” that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of revenues classified by category for at least two years following the budget year in question.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, multi-year estimates of revenue are presented by category.

**Source:**
EBP documentation presents multi-year for revenues by broad category. The medium term estimates of revenues cover BY+1 (FY2019-20) and BY+2 (FY2020-21).

Table 4.9: Revenue projection in the medium term, Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement (MTMPS), P.72
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

12. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates for individual sources of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 12 evaluates whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and “other” or “miscellaneous” revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A “c” answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer “d” applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented for a multi-year period.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of revenue are presented.

**Source:**
Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement (MTMPS) does not contain multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue. Table 4.9, (pp.72) of MTMPS includes BY and multi-year estimates only for broad categories of revenue.
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
13. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include:
- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the central government’s total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a “b” answer, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a “c” answer, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer “d” applies no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the budget year.

Answer:
a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:
EBP documentation presents estimates for all 3 core elements related to government borrowing and debt for the budget year. Tables presented in the Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement FY19-FY21 provide budget year estimates for all 3 core elements. 1. New borrowing Table 4.12: Medium-Term Financing Outlook, P. 76. Total stock of outstanding debt Table 4.13: Medium-Term Outlook of Debt Stock, P. 77. Interest payments Table 4.14: Cost of Borrowing, P.79 Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2019-21

Comment:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf
13b. Based on the response to Question 13, check the box(es) below to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt are presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal:

Answer:
The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year
The central government’s total debt burden at the end of the budget year
The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year

Source:
Table 4.11: Budget deficit and financing, P.74
Table 4.12: Medium Term Financing Outlook, P.76
Table 4.13: Medium Term Outlook of Debt Stock, P.77
Table 4.14: Cost of borrowing, P.79

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

14. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year?"

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 14 focuses on the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 13, domestic debt is held by a country’s citizens, banks, and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country’s debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt, and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.

Answer:
c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:
EBP documentation provides budget year estimates for 1 of 3 core elements related to the composition of Government debt. Data for the amount of domestic and external stock of debt (as well as interest payments) is presented in the Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement FY19-FY21.
14b. Based on the response to Question 14, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the composition of the total debt outstanding are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Whether the debt is domestic or external

Source:

EBP documentation provides budget year estimates for 1 of 3 core elements related to the composition of Government debt. Data for the amount of domestic and external stock of debt (as well as interest payments) is presented in the Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement FY19-FY21.

Table 4.13 Medium Term Outlook of Debt Stock, P.77

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

15. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?"

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 15 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the budget’s revenue and expenditure estimates, asking whether “core” information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

While the core macroeconomic information should be a standard feature of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, the importance of some types of macroeconomic assumptions may vary from country to country. For example, the budget estimates of some countries are particularly affected by changes in the price of oil and other commodities.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short and long-term interest rates; rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present...
all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:
b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Source:
EBP documentation includes the required information for the budget year estimates (data) for 3 of the 4 core elements related to macroeconomic forecasts (nominal GDP, inflation and real GDP growth), with the only missing core element being interest rates.

Table 1.3 (P.13) of Chapter 1:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e8bc0eaa_463d_4cf9_b3be_26ab70a32a47/Ch-01%20%28English-2018%29.pdf

Table on p. 122 of the Budget Speech contains GDP Growth and Inflation, but not Nominal GDP.

The MTMPS, Table 2.4 on P. 32, includes all three elements (nominal GDP, GDP growth, Inflation), and additional forecast elements such as Export and Import Growth, Monetary Supply Growth and Credit Supply Growth. These elements count as 'beyond the core' elements and justify a "b" score.
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English_part1.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: However, the projection of interest rates is not presented in EBP or any supporting document.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

15b. Based on the response to Question 15, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast are included in the Executive’s Budget Proposal:

Answer:
Nominal GDP level
Inflation rate
Real GDP growth
Information beyond the core elements (please specify)

Source:
EBP documentation includes the required information for the budget year estimates (data) for 3 of the 4 core elements related to macroeconomic forecasts (nominal GDP, inflation and real GDP growth), with the only missing core element being interest rates.

Table 1.3 (P.13) of Chapter 1:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e8bc0eaa_463d_4cf9_b3be_26ab70a32a47/Ch-01%20%28English-2018%29.pdf

Table on p. 122 of the Budget Speech contains GDP Growth and Inflation, but not Nominal GDP.

The MTMPS, Table 2.4 on P. 32, includes all three elements (nominal GDP, GDP growth, Inflation), and additional forecast elements such as Export and Import Growth, Monetary Supply Growth and Credit Supply Growth. These elements count as 'beyond the core' elements and justify a "b" score.
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English_part1.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
16. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget? (The core information must include estimates of the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 16 focuses on the issue of whether the Executive’s Budget Proposal shows how different macroeconomic assumptions affect the budget estimates (known as a "sensitivity analysis"). It asks whether "core" information related to a sensitivity analysis is presented, estimating the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for:

- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

A sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? Or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated? Or how will revenue be affected by a decrease in the price of oil?

As noted for Question 15, changes in certain macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil and other commodities, can have a significant impact on the budget estimates. As a result, some sensitivity analyses may also examine the impact on the budget estimates of changes in assumptions such as the price of oil that are beyond the core elements of the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to a "sensitivity analysis" as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to a "sensitivity analysis" is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on "sensitivity analysis" is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to different macroeconomic assumptions is not presented.

Source:

EBP documentation does present some information about the sensitivity of baseline macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts to alternative macroeconomic assumptions or developments. However, the documentation lacks a formal sensitivity analysis and/or presentation of alternative forecast scenarios based on alternative macroeconomic assumptions/outcomes for the 5 core elements. For relevant commentary and information, please see:

Chapter II A Decade of Unstoppable Journey towards Progress, Budget Speech 2018-19, P.7-8

Chapter 1: Macroeconomic Situation, Bangladesh Economic Review 2018
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e8bc0eaa_463d_4cf9_b3be_26ab70a32a47/Ch-01%20%28English-2018%29.pdf

Chapter 2&3, Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018-19 to 2020-21
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_606d1a2bb7a/MTMPS-English_part1.pdf

Comment:

While there is extensive discussion of the macroeconomic forecast in the cited documents, the discussion does not now show how changes in the forecast would affect revenues, expenditures, or debt, therefore the score here is D.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

17. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy
proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people’s lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Answer:
c. Yes, information that shows how some but not all new policy proposals affect expenditure is presented.

Source:
EBP documentation includes limited discussions of distinguishing new versus existing policies (and their respective fiscal impacts). A thorough narrative discussion of budget policy initiatives and their linkage to the Government’s broad policy priorities is presented, but it is difficult to assess with any certainty that the coverage of this narrative discussion in EBP documents (the Budget Speech and the Medium-Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement) is complete in its coverage of all new policy proposals.

Chapter VI Reforms and Good Governance, Budget Speech 2018-19, P. 76-90
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/9ea7529b-c8ef-49b5-8b8e-87e7f72a2b3ec
Chapter Three: Government Spending and Sectoral Priorities, Medium Term

Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018-19 to 2020-21, P. 34-57
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_606d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

On p. 53, the Allocation of the Health Population Nutrition Strategy is defined as 115486 crore for a period of 2017-2022, however there are many other policies or initiatives discussed that do not have an associated costing within this document.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: However, the correct title of Health Population Nutrition Strategy is “Health, Population and Nutrition Sector Development Program (HPNSDP)”

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

18. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people’s lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Prior-year information constitutes an important benchmark for assessing the proposals for the upcoming budget year. Estimates of prior years should be presented in the same formats (in terms of classification) as the budget year to ensure that year-to-year comparisons are meaningful. For example, if the budget proposes shifting responsibility for a particular program from one administrative unit to another – such as shifting responsibility for the training of nurses from the health department to the education department – the prior-year figures must be adjusted before year-to-year comparisons of administrative budgets can be made.
Typically, when the budget proposal is submitted, the year prior to the budget year (BY-1), also known as the current year, has not ended, so the executive will provide estimates of the anticipated outcome for BY-1. The soundness of these estimates is directly related to the degree to which they have been updated to reflect actual expenditures to date, legislative changes that have occurred, and anticipated changes in macroeconomic, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

The first year that can reflect actual outcomes, therefore, is generally two years before the budget year (BY-2). Thus the OECD recommends that data covering at least two years before the budget year (along with two years of projections beyond the budget year) are provided in order to assess fully the trends in the budget.

Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how some but not all new policy proposals affect revenues are presented.

Source:

EBP documentation includes information showing how some but not all new policy proposals affect revenues. EBP documentation includes estimates of individual revenue sources for the budget year, but with no comprehensive listing to distinguish the fiscal impacts of new versus existing revenue policies. EBP documentation does provide an extensive narrative discussion of revenue policy initiatives, with some explanation for how specific initiatives reflect the Government's broad policy priorities. The scope of narrative discussion describing individual policy measures is particularly extensive and detailed in one chapter of the Budget Speech, while a chapter in the Medium-Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement (MTMPS) provide some limited measurement of expected revenue impacts from policy changes. Chapter Seven Revenue Collection Activities, Budget Speech 2018-19, P. 91-93
Chapter Eight Income Tax, VAT and Import & Export Duties, Budget Speech 2018-19, P. 94 -117
Budget Speech 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/591b7120-945c-4b88-9bd5-3447963f6249

Chapter 4: Revenue Mobilization and Debt Strategy, Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018-19 to 2020-21, P.58-79
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/dc066c3a-6fc6-4a37-a6b8-46dc47314656

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

19. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 19 asks if expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented by one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-1 by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” expenditure estimates for BY-1 must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

EBP documentation presents estimates for BY-1 for all three expenditure classifications - administrative, economic, and functional classification. 1. Administrative classification Statement II: Operating and Development Expenditure, pp.6-14 Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fcfca696650a/St_2_en.pdf
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fcfca696650a/St_2b_en.pdf
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fcfca696650a/St_4_en.pdf
Functional classification Chapter 3 -Government Spending and Sectoral Priorities, Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018-19 to 2020-
20. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 20 asks if expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health’s budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as “primary health care,” “hospitals,” or “administration.” These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-1. To answer “b,” the programs shown individually in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-1. A “c” answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:
a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented for BY-1.

Source:
EBP documentation presents program-specific information for expenditures in BY-1 (FY2017-18) accounting for all expenditures. All program-level information is provided in documentation for each administrative unit as part of the Annual Development Program 2018-19 and the Medium-Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF) 2018-19 to 2020-21 Program level data for BY-1 (FY2017-18) can be found in 2 tables prepared for each administrative unit: 6.1.3 Medium Term Expenditure Estimates by Institutional Unit, Schemes and Projects 6.2.3 Medium Term Expenditure Estimates by Institutional Unit, Schemes and Projects https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5bac4c66-d783-4034-9127-0dc6c5621580 Annual Development Program 2018-19 https://plandiv.gov.bd/site/files/7c29880c-8677-4f1f-a65b-9889b853ea2c/ADP-2018-19

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
### Question 21

**Guidelines:**

Question 21 asks whether the expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) have been updated from the original enacted levels. Updates can reflect actual experience to date, revised estimates due to shifting of funds by the executive, as permitted under the law, enactment of supplemental budgets; and revised assumptions regarding macroeconomic conditions, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

**Answer:**

*a.* Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

**Source:**

EBP documentation expenditure estimates for BY-1 (FY2017-18) have been updated from the original enacted levels. For example, see: Budget at a Glance, pp.1-2 Budget in Brief 2018-19

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/At_A_Galance_en.pdf

**Comment:**

Both 'Budget' and 'Revised' figures are provided for FY 2017-2018

---

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

---

### Question 22

**Guidelines:**

Question 22 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**Answer:**

*a.* Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

**Source:**

EBP documentation presents estimates for BY-2 for all three expenditure classifications - administrative, economic, and functional classification. 1. Administrative classification Statement II: Operating and Development Expenditure, pp.6-14 Budget in Brief 2018-19

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2_en.pdf


https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2b_en.pdf


https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_4_en.pdf

Functional classification Chapter 3 -Government Spending and Sectoral Priorities, Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018-19 to 2020-21

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

**Comment:**

All tables have a column for 'Actual 2016-2017' which is BY-2.

---

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree
22b. Based on the response to Question 22, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year in the Executive Budget Proposal:

Answer:
Administrative classification
Economic classification
Functional classification

Source:
EBP documentation presents estimates for BY-2 for all three expenditure classifications - administrative, economic, and functional classification. 1. Administrative classification Statement II: Operating and Development Expenditure, pp. 6-14 Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2_en.pdf
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2b_en.pdf
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_4_en.pdf
Functional classification Chapter 3 - Government Spending and Sectoral Priorities, Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018-19 to 2020-21
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

23. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 23 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year before the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health’s budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as “primary health care,” “hospitals,” or “administration.” These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-2 and prior years. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-2 and prior years. A "c" answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:
a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:
EBP documentation presents program-specific information for expenditures in BY-2 (FY2016-17) accounting for all expenditures. All program-level information is provided in documentation for each administrative unit as part of the Annual
Development Program 2018-19 and the Medium-Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF) 2018-19 to 2020-21 Program level data for BY-2 (FY2016-17) can be found in 2 tables prepared for each administrative unit: 6.1.3 Medium Term Expenditure Estimates by Institutional Unit, Schemes and Projects 6.2.3 Medium Term Expenditure Estimates by Institutional Unit, Schemes and Projects
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5bac4c66-d783-4034-9127-0dc6c5621580

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

24. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:
Question 24 asks for which year the actual outcomes for expenditures are shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated all its expenditure data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an “a” answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

Answer:
a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Source:
EBP documentation presents estimates for BY-2 for all three expenditure classifications - administrative, economic, and functional classification. 1. Administrative classification Statement II: Operating and Development Expenditure, pp.6-14 Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2_en.pdf
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_2b_en.pdf
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_4_en.pdf
Functional classification Chapter 3-Government Spending and Sectoral Priorities, Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018-19 to 2020-21
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

Comment:
All tables have a column for ‘Actual 2016-2017’ which is BY-2

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

25. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.
26. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
a. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue are presented for BY-1.

Source:
EBP documentation presents Individual sources of non-tax revenue for BY-1 (FY2017-18) accounting for all non-tax revenues. Economic Analysis Summary Consolidated Fund Receipts Estimates 2018-19

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

27. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have the original estimates of revenue for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated to reflect actual revenue collections?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:
EBP documentation does present updated revenue estimates for BY-1 (FY2017-18). For example, see: Statement I: Broad Details of Revenue Receipts, P.5 Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_1_en.pdf

Comment:
28. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by category.

Source:
EBP documentation does present revenue estimates for BY-2 (FY2016-17) by broad category (e.g. tax and non-tax revenues) Statement I: Broad Details of Revenue Receipts, P.5 Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_1_en.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

29. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:
c. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues are presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:
EBP documentation presents Individual sources of revenue for BY-2 accounting for less than 2/3 of total revenue (as many categories don’t have sufficient details to be counted as individual sources).

Statement I: Broad Details of Revenue Receipts, P.5 Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c8_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_1_en.pdf

Comment:
Categories are:
- Taxes on Income Profits and Capital Gains
- Other Taxes
- Dividends and Profits
- Administrative Fees
- Fines, Penalties and Forfeiture
- Service Fees
- Other Receipts (other than tax)
30. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?

**GUIDELINES:** Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

**Answer:**

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

**Source:**
EBP documentation provides actual revenue data for BY-2 (FY 2016-2017). For example, see: Statement I: Broad Details of Revenue Receipts, P. S Budget in Brief 2018-19

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/e9e8a8c6_8a8b_4536_a18b_fc5ca696650a/St_1_en.pdf

**Comment:**
BY-2 is FY 2016-2017

31. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

(The core information must include the total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1; the amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1; interest payments on the debt; interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 31 focuses on prior-year debt information, rather than on prior-year expenditures or revenues, asking whether "core" information is provided on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1).

The "core" information includes:

- total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1;
- amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1;
- interest payments on the debt;
- interest rates on the debt instruments;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether it is domestic or external debt.

This core information for BY-1 is consistent with the budget year information for borrowing and debt, which is examined in Questions 13 and 14.

In addition, some governments provide information beyond the core elements, such as gross new borrowing required during BY-1; currency of the debt; whether the debt carries a fixed or variable interest rate; whether it is callable; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to government borrowing and
32. In the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 32 asks for which year the actual outcome for debt is shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated its debt data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

**It is essential that all government activities that may have an impact on the budget — in the current budget year or in future budget years — be fully disclosed to the legislature and the public in budget documents. In some countries, for instance, entities outside central government (such as public corporations) undertake fiscal activities that could affect current and future budgets. Similarly, activities that can have a significant impact on the budget, such as payment arrears and contingent liabilities, sometimes are not properly captured by the regular presentations of expenditure, revenue, and debt.**

**Answer:**

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

**Source:**
EBP documentation includes actual data for debt-related indicators for BY-2 (FY2016-17) For example, see: Table 4.12: Medium-Term Financing Outlook, P.76
Table 4.13: Medium-Term Outlook of Debt Stock, P.77
Table 4.14: Cost of Borrowing, P.79
33. "Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund; and complete income, expenditure, and financing data on a gross basis.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 33 focuses on extra-budgetary funds, asking whether "core" information related to these funds, which exist outside the budget, are presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund (i.e., why was a particular fund set up? what is it used for?); and
- estimates of its income, expenditure, and financing. (These estimates should be presented on a gross basis so that it is possible to tell how much money flows through each extra-budgetary fund.)

In most countries, governments engage in certain budgetary activities that are not included in the central government’s budget. Known as extra-budgetary funds, they can range in size and scope. For example, countries frequently set up pension and social security programs as extra-budgetary funds, where the revenues collected and the benefits paid are recorded in a separate fund outside the budget. Another example of an extra-budgetary fund can be found in countries dependent on hydrocarbon/mineral resources, where revenues from producing and selling these resources are channeled through systems outside the annual budget.

In some cases, the separation engendered by an extra-budgetary fund serves a legitimate political purpose, and the finances and activities of these funds are well documented. In other cases, however, this structure is used for obfuscation, and little or nothing is known about a fund’s finances and activities.

The availability of information related to extra-budgetary funds is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the government’s true fiscal position. In addition to the core information, other information about extra-budgetary funds is also desirable. Such information includes a discussion of the risks associated with the extra-budgetary fund; expenditures classified by economic, functional, or administrative unit; and the rules and procedures that govern the operations and management of the extra-budgetary fund.


To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to extra-budgetary funds as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. A "b" answer applies if the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation presents all of the core information. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to extra-budgetary funds is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on extra-budgetary funds.

Answer:
d. No, information related to extra-budgetary funds is not presented.

Source:
EBP documentation does not provide information on extra-budgetary funds.

Comment:
2016 PEFA report: https://pefa.org/sites/default/files/BD-Jun16-PFMPR-Public%20with%20PEFA%20Check_0.pdf

P. 26: "In Bangladesh, there are various kinds of extra-budgetary funds existing in the budget. Some are investment in nature and some are by contribution such as endowment funds and grants. These latter funds primarily exist for social welfare to disadvantaged segments of society such as provided by Climate Change Trust Fund, Bangladesh NGO Foundation Endowment Fund, Gas Development Fund/Renewable Energy Development..."
34. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 34 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documents present the finances of the central government on a consolidated basis, showing both its budgetary and extra-budgetary activities. Virtually all of the questions in the OBS questionnaire focus on budgetary central government — the activities of the ministries, departments, or agencies of central government. In addition, Question 33 asks about extra-budgetary funds, such as social security funds that are not included in the budget.

Coverage is an important aspect of fiscal reporting. Budget documents should cover the full scope of government’s financial activity. In many countries, extra-budgetary activities are substantial, and can represent a sizable share of the central government’s activities. To get a full picture of the central government’s finances, therefore, it is necessary to examine both activities that are included in the budget and those that are extra-budgetary. This question asks whether such a consolidated presentation of central government finances is provided.

The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local government, and public corporations. (See Box 2.1 under Principle 1.1.1 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018): https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781464331859/24788-9781464331859.xml For the purpose of answering this question, please consider only the central government level.

In order to answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year.

Answer:
b. No, central government finances are not presented on a consolidated basis.

Source:
Although there are tables referring to “Consolidated Funds”, it appears that there are also EBFs that are not fully captured by these “consolidated” reports. Table 1 of Annual Financial Statement: Summary of Budget Estimates This table shows Consolidated Fund - Receipts and Consolidated Fund -Expenditure as well as other items previously cited as aggregate EBF receipts and payments (Public Accounts). However, other indications suggest that not all EBFs are included within this accounting.
Annual Financial Statement 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/bf22921f-9090-49b7-b4e3-7eb0e3f5c7b2
Consolidated Fund Receipts 2018-19

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

35. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of intergovernmental transfers for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 35 asks about intergovernmental transfers. In many cases, the central government supports the provision of a good or service by a lower level of government through an intergovernmental transfer of funds. This is necessary because, independent from the level of administrative decentralization that
exists in a given country, the capacity for revenue collection of a local government is unlikely to be sufficient to pay for all its expenses. However, because the activity is not being undertaken by an administrative unit of the central government, it is unlikely to receive the same level of review in the budget. Thus it is important to include in the budget proposal a statement that explicitly indicates the amount and purposes of these transfers.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all intergovernmental transfers and a narrative discussing these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all intergovernmental transfers are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, intergovernmental transfers (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no estimates of intergovernmental transfers are presented.

36. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present alternative displays of expenditures (such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region) to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 36 asks about “alternative displays” of expenditures that highlight the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens. As discussed above, expenditures are typically presented by at least one of three classifications—administrative, functional, and economic classifications (see Questions 1-5) — and by individual program (Question 6). In addition, governments can provide alternative displays to emphasize different aspects of expenditure policies and to show who benefits from these expenditures.

For the purpose of answering this question, the alternative presentation must differ from the presentations (such as administrative, functional, or economic classifications or presentation by program) used to answer other questions. The alternative display can cover all expenditures or only a portion of expenditures. For instance, it can show how all expenditures are distributed according to geographic region or it can show how selected expenditures (such as the health budget or the agriculture budget) are distributed to different regions. But such a geographic display must be something different than the presentation of intergovernmental transfers used to answer question 35. One exception is when a country includes a special presentation of all policies intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (and is used to answer Question 52) then that can be considered an alternative display for purposes of answering this question as well. Finally, brief fact sheets showing how proposals in the budget benefit particular groups would be insufficient; only more detailed presentations would be considered.

The IBP Budget Brief, “How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget’s Impact on Poverty and Inequality?” (https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf) includes a discussion of the importance of alternative displays of budget information and provides a number of examples. For instance,

- Bangladesh in its 2017-18 Budget included a detailed supplementary Gender Budgeting Report, which presents the spending dedicated to advancing women across various departments. (https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/49a0b4a8_a06a_434d_ac0e_885d85f92ff7/afs_st_04_e.pdf)
- The UK’s 2017 budget included a supplementary analysis that provided a distributional analysis of the budget by households in different income groups (see https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/661465/distributional_analysis_autumn_budget_2017.pdf)
- South Africa’s 2017 Budget Review goes beyond the standard presentation of intergovernmental transfers, discussing the redistribution that results from national revenue flowing to the provinces and municipalities and presenting the allocations on a per capita basis (see chapter 6, http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2017/review/FullBR.pdf).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least three different presentations that illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens for at least the budget year. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least two different alternative displays of expenditures. A “c” applies only one type of alternative display of expenditure is presented.

Answer:
c. Yes, estimates of some but not all intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Source:
EBP documentation includes an estimate of all intergovernmental transfers, but with no narrative discussion. However, as the estimate is presented as an aggregate figure, answer choice “c” is selected.

"Grants to Other General Government Units"
Block Allocations, Statement IV: Economic Analysis of Operating Expenditure
Budget in Brief 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/49a0b4a8_a06a_434d_ac0e_885d85f92ff7/afs_st_04_e.pdf

Comment:
Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Answer "d" applies if no alternative display of expenditure is presented.

Answer:
d. Yes, at least three alternative displays of expenditures are presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

Source:
EBP documentation includes two alternative displays of expenditures to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.
Gender Budget Report 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/a13bcfe0-243e-4039-abb8-87b16a9e8cd3
Poverty and Gender 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/90f1a603-079b-4859-9035-491e44b5d8ef/Poverty-&-Gender
Climate Financing for Sustainable Development 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/c8152900-0839-44b5-b271-4414cbc29a9b
Child Budget 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/13b6bd26-b886-4a5e-bf63-bf0c1b2b4d8f

Comment:
Table: Poverty reducing expenditure

36b. Based on the response to Question 36, select the box(es) below to identify which types of alternative displays are included in the Executive’s Budget Proposal:

Answer:
- Policy impacts based on gender
- Distribution of health expenditures by geographic region
- Distribution of education expenditures by geographic region
- Other displays of expenditure (please specify)
- Policy impacts based on income

Source:
EBP documentation includes two alternative displays of expenditures to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.
Gender Budget Report 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/a13bcfe0-243e-4039-abb8-87b16a9e8cd3
Poverty and Gender 2018-19
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/90f1a603-079b-4859-9035-491e44b5d8ef/Poverty-&-Gender
Climate Financing for Sustainable Development 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/c8152900-0839-44b5-b271-4414cbc29a9b
Child Budget 2018-19
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/13b6bd26-b886-4a5e-bf63-bf0c1b2b4d8f

Comment:
Table: Poverty reducing expenditure
Suggested Answer: Even though policy impacts based on gender in EBP is available in the Gender Budget Report and MTBF, impact of fiscal policy based on income is not available in any EBP or supporting document. A highly aggregated (for only ten categories) one-pager on poverty reducing expenditure only shows the broad categories of expenditure (operating and development expenditures, Net Outlay for Food Account, and Loans & Advances) dedicated on poverty reduction. It cannot be called “Policy impacts based on income”.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response
Agreed with the peer reviewer

IBP Comment
Thank you to the peer reviewer’s comments. However, for the purposes of this question, even broad categories of expenditure for poverty reduction do show spending aimed at lower-income groups. While this information could be more comprehensive, the researcher’s original response is confirmed.

37. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of transfers to public corporations for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 37 asks about transfers to public corporations. It is often the case that governments have a stake in enterprises that manage resources that are particularly relevant for the public good (such as electricity, water, and oil). While these public corporations can operate independently, in some cases the government will provide direct support by making transfers to these corporations, including to subsidize capital investment and operating expenses.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all transfers to public corporations and a narrative discussing the purposes of these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all transfers to public corporations are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, transfers to public corporations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item. Answer “d” applies if no estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented.

Answer:
a. Yes, estimates of all transfers to public corporations are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:
EBP documentation presents estimates of all transfers to public corporations in a separate document produced along with budget documents for FY2018-19, together with a narrative discussion. Budget for State owned Enterprises (SoE) 2018-19 https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/5eed2680-c68c-4782-9070-13e129548aac

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: To my view, budget for State Owned Enterprises (SOEs) is sensitive in nature because most of the SOEs are overburdened with mounting cumulative losses. It has been a matter of great controversy and concern among experts and donors. However, the government cannot undertake privatization and deregulation mainly because of political pressure and loss of employment. Therefore, the government publishes a summary of their financial position in a separate report as a part of transparency in spending money for them and place it with the EBP.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

38. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity and the intended beneficiaries.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 38 focuses on quasi-fiscal activities, asking whether “core” information related to such activities is presented. These core components include:

- A statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity (i.e., what is the reason for engaging in this activity?);
- The identification of intended beneficiaries of the quasi-fiscal activity.
The term "quasi-fiscal activities" refers to a broad range of activities that are fiscal in character and could be carried out through the regular budget process but are not. For example, a quasi-fiscal activity could take place if, instead of providing a direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution provides an indirect subsidy by offering loans at below-market rates for that activity. Similarly, it is a quasi-fiscal activity when an enterprise provides goods or services at prices below commercial rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government’s policy goals.

The above examples are policy choices that may be approved by the government and legislature. However, quasi-fiscal activities can also involve activities that violate or circumvent a country’s budget process laws or are not subject to the regular legislative approval process for expenditures. For example, the executive may issue an informal order to a government entity, such as a public commercial enterprise, to provide the executive with goods and services that normally would have to be purchased with funding authorized by the legislature. All quasi-fiscal activities should be disclosed to the public and subject to public scrutiny.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about quasi-fiscal activities, including for example: the anticipated duration of the quasi-fiscal activity; a quantification of the activity and the assumptions that support these estimates; and a discussion of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the activity, including the impact on the entity carrying out the activity. Principle 3.3.2 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml) provides examples of quasi-fiscal activities that can be consulted as needed. And more details on quasi-fiscal activities can be found in the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to all quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “c” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to quasi-fiscal activities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on quasi-fiscal activities.

If quasi-fiscal activities do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark “e.” However, please exercise caution in answering this question.

Answer:
c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some quasi-fiscal activities.

Source:
EBP documentation presents some information about QFAs.
References in Budget Speech 2018-19,
Loans provided to SMEs and refinancing activities from the Bangladesh Bank. These are not fiscal activities so appear to be quasi-fiscal in nature.
See p. 65-66.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: “Quite a few refinancing schemes are in operation through Bangladesh Bank to provide low cost bank loans for industries under women entrepreneurship; agro-industries, new entrepreneurs and other productive sectors.” (p. 66) “Providing different loan facilities at a low interest rate with easy terms under various refinancing schemes” (p. 87) “Refinance Scheme policy for providing credit support at 5 percent rate for promoting milk production in Bangladesh” (p. 125) Budget speech 2018-19 provides these statements regarding refinancing schemes. In most cases there is no description of these schemes and quantitative details of the financing proposals.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

39. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets, and an estimate of their value.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 39 focuses on financial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. These core components include:

- A listing of the financial assets; and
- An estimate of their value.

Governments own financial assets such as cash, bonds, or equities. Unlike private sector businesses, however, few governments maintain balance sheets that show the value of their assets and liabilities.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about financial assets, including for example: a discussion of their purpose; historical information on defaults; differences between reported values and market values; and a summary of financial assets as part of the
government's balance sheet.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to all financial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to financial assets is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on financial assets held by the government.

**Answer:**

b. Yes, the core information is presented for all financial assets.

**Source:**

EBP documentation provides information about financial assets held by the government, Economic Analysis Summary, Consolidated Fund Receipts 2018-19, P.7


**Comment:**

The value listed is updated for the Budget 2018-2019, along with the listing of the assets.

---

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

---

**40. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on nonfinancial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?**

(The core information must include a listing of the assets by category.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 40 focuses on nonfinancial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. The core information is a listing of nonfinancial assets, grouped by the type (or category) of asset.

Nonfinancial assets are things of value that the government owns or controls (excluding financial assets) such as land, buildings, and machinery. The valuation of public nonfinancial assets can be problematic, particularly in cases where the asset is not typically available on the open market (such as a government monument). In these cases, it is considered acceptable to provide summary information in budget documents from a country’s register of assets. But, in some cases, governments are able to value their nonfinancial assets; some present a summary of nonfinancial assets as part of their balance sheets. For an example of how nonfinancial assets are presented in one of the many supporting documents to the New Zealand Executive’s Budget Proposal, see the Forecast Financial Statement 2011, Notes to the Financial Statements (Continued), Note 14, accessible here: https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2011-05/befu11-pt6of8.pdf.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year a listing by category of all nonfinancial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to nonfinancial assets is presented, but some nonfinancial assets are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on nonfinancial assets held by the government.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some nonfinancial assets.

**Source:**

EBP documentation provides some information about non-financial assets held by the government, but core elements are excluded.

Sale of non-financial assets, P.6-7, Consolidated Fund Receipts, Economic Analysis Summary


**Comment:**

All information is reported as flows (sales) and not stocks therefore the answer is D.
41. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure arrears for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 41 asks about estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due. (For more information see sections 3.49-3.50 of the IMF’s GFS Manual 2001, http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf (page 29)). Though equivalent to borrowing, this liability is often not recorded in the budget, making it difficult to assess fully a government’s financial position. Moreover, the obligation to repay this debt affects the government’s ability to pay for other activities.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all expenditure arrears and a narrative discussing the arrears. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all expenditure arrears are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, expenditure arrears (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no estimates of expenditure arrears are presented.

If expenditure arrears do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark “e.” However, please exercise caution in answering this question. Public expenditure management laws and regulations often will allow for reasonable delays, perhaps 30 or 60 days, in the routine payment of invoices due. Expenditure arrears impacting a small percentage of expenditure that are due to contractual disputes should not be considered a significant problem for the purpose of answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of expenditure arrears are not presented.

Source:
EBP documentation does not present any estimates for payments of expenditure arrears for the budget year.

Comment:
As evidence the PEFA Report - that notes there are arrears but data on these arrears is not reliably collected. See p. 46 https://pefa.org/sites/default/files/BD-Jun16-PFMPR/Public%20with%20PEFA%20Check_0.pdf

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

42. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities, such as government loan guarantees or insurance programs, for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability; the new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments (the gross exposure) at the end of the budget year.)

GUIDELINES:
Question 42 focuses on contingent liabilities, asking whether “core” information related to these liabilities is presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability;
- the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and
- the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments at the end of the budget year. This reflects the gross exposure of the government in the case that all guarantees or commitments come due (even though that may be unlikely to occur).
Contingent liabilities are recognized under a cash accounting method only when the contingent event occurs and the payment is made. An example of such liabilities is the case of loans guaranteed by the central government, which can include loans to state-owned banks and other state-owned commercial enterprises, subnational governments, or private enterprises. Under such guarantees, government will only make a payment if the borrower defaults. Thus a key issue for making quantitative estimates of these liabilities is assessing the likelihood of the contingency occurring.

In the budget, according to the OECD, “[w]here feasible, the total amount of contingent liabilities should be disclosed and classified by major category reflecting their nature; historical information on defaults for each category should be disclosed where available. In cases where contingent liabilities cannot be quantified, they should be listed and described.”

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about contingent liabilities, including for example: historical default rates for each program, and likely default rates in the future; the maximum guarantee that is authorized by law; any special financing associated with the guarantee (e.g., whether fees are charged, whether a reserve fund exists for the purpose of paying off guarantees, etc.); the duration of each guarantee; and an estimate of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the guarantees.


To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to contingent liabilities as well as additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to contingent liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on contingent liabilities.

Answer:

d. No, information related to contingent liabilities is not presented.

Source:
EBP documentation presents some information about contingent liabilities for the budget year, but some core elements are not provided. More specifically, two EBP documents include information about the value of each loan guarantee, a summary assessment of the total value of all contingent liabilities and a general statement of the policy rationale for such contingent liabilities. However, statements of the policy purpose for each contingent liability is not provided.

1. Budget in Brief 2018-19 - provides a complete listing of guarantees by sector along with the value of each guarantee (P.41-45). 
2. Contingent Liability, Medium Macroeconomic Policy Statement FY19-21, P.80
Some discussions but no information on the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; 
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a8ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60dd61a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

Comment:
However, the Budget in Brief 2018-19 listing of the contingent liabilities is only the valuation as of June 2018, that is, the start of the fiscal year. It does not provide the gross amount expected by the “end” of the budget year, nor the new guarantees proposed for the year.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The correct title is “Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement”

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
During an IBP cross-country consistency check, this score is revised from C to D, as the information provided is not for the budget year (2018-2019) as required by this question.

43. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present projections that assess the government’s future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term?

(The core information must cover a period of at least 10 years and include the macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used and a discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 43 focuses on government’s future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term, asking whether “core” information related to
these issues is presented. These core components must include:

- Projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.
- The macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used in making the projections.
- A discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections. Good public financial management calls for budgets to include fiscal sustainability analyses.

The IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) recommends that governments regularly publish the projected evolution of the public finances over the longer term (see Principle 3.1.3.). Future liabilities are a particularly important element when assessing the sustainability of public finances over the long term. Future liabilities are the result of government commitments that, unlike contingent liabilities, are virtually certain to occur at some future point and result in an expenditure. A typical example consists of government obligations to pay pension benefits or cover health care costs of future retirees. Under a cash accounting system, only current payments associated with such obligations are recognized in the budget. To capture the future impact on the budget of these liabilities, a separate statement is required.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about the sustainability of their finances, including for example: projections that cover 20 or 30 years; multiple scenarios with different sets of assumptions; assumptions about other factors (such as the depletion of natural resources) that go beyond just the core macroeconomic and demographic data; and a detailed presentation of particular programs that have long time horizons, such as civil service pensions.

For more details on future liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget.

To answer a, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of government finances over the longer term as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer b, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to future liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government’s finances.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of finances over the longer term is not presented.

**Source:**

EBP documentation does not provide long-term projections of fiscal sustainability (covering a period of at least 10 years) based on a stated set of macroeconomic and demographic assumptions nor a statement of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the such long-term projections. There is only a general reference in the MTMPS document (P.78-79) to a Debt Sustainability Analysis (DSA) conducted as part of the Government’s medium and long-term planning for borrowing Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018-19 to 2020-21.

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English-Paet-2.pdf

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

---

### 44. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind, for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 44 asks about estimates of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind assistance. Such assistance is considered non-tax revenue, and the sources of this assistance should be explicitly identified. In terms of in-kind assistance, the concern is primarily with the provision of goods (particularly those for which there is a market that would allow goods received as in-kind aid to be sold, thereby converting them into cash) rather than with in-kind aid like advisors from a donor country providing technical assistance.

To answer a, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all donor assistance and a narrative discussing the assistance. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all donor assistance are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, donor assistance (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “c” also applies if the sources of donor assistance are not presented, but the total amount of donor assistance is presented as a single line item. Answer “d” applies if no estimates of donor assistance are presented. Select answer “e” if your country does not receive donor assistance.
45. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on tax expenditures for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each tax expenditure, the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 45 focuses on tax expenditures, asking whether “core” information related to these tax preferences is presented. These core components must include for both new and existing tax expenditures:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale;
- a listing of the intended beneficiaries; and
- an estimate of the revenue foregone.

Tax expenditures arise as a result of exceptions or other preferences in the tax code provided for specified entities, individuals, or activities. Tax expenditures often have the same impact on public policy and budgets as providing direct subsidies, benefits, or goods and services. For example, encouraging a company to engage in more research through a special tax break can have the same effect as subsidizing it directly through the expenditure side of the budget, as it still constitutes a cost in terms of foregone revenues. However, expenditure items that require annual authorization are likely to receive more scrutiny than tax breaks that are a permanent feature of the tax code.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about tax expenditures, including for example: the intended beneficiaries by sector and income class (distributional impact); a statement of the estimating assumptions, including the definition of the benchmark against which the foregone revenue is measured; and a discussion of tax expenditures as part of a general discussion of expenditures for those program areas that receive both types of government support (in order to better inform policy choices). For more details on tax expenditures, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf) and Principle 1.1.4 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, all of the core information related to tax expenditures as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core components noted above is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to tax expenditures is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on tax expenditures.
Answer:
c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some tax expenditures.

Source:
EBP documentation provides some limited information about tax expenditures through selective identification and discussions of selected specific tax-related concessions, but there is no comprehensive purpose-specific statement of tax expenditures and no estimates of revenue foregone for the tax concessions that are mentioned. The source of the information about selected tax concessions is the Budget Speech 2018-19 Chapter VII: Revenue Collection Activities (P.91-117)

This section of the Budget Speech highlights some specific tax concessions including in some cases a brief explanation of their respective policy rationale and the concessionary rates, but there are no estimates of the impacts on Government revenues (i.e. foregone revenue).

Information on tax exemption on p. 95 onwards in the Budget Speech 2018-19

Comment:
Peer Reviewer
  Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
  Opinion: Agree

46. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of earmarked revenues for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 46 asks about estimates of earmarked revenues, which are revenues that may only be used for a specific purpose (for example, revenues from a tax on fuel that can only be used for building roads). This information is important in determining which revenues are available to fund the government’s general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all earmarked revenues and a narrative discussing the earmarks. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all earmarked revenues are presented, then a “b” answer is appropriate. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, earmarked revenues (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented. An “e” response applies if revenue is not earmarked or the practice is disallowed by law or regulation.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of earmarked revenues are not presented.

Source:
EBP documentation does not provide estimates for earmarked revenues.

Comment:
There is an earmarked excise tax on tobacco, as an example of an unreported earmarked tax.
https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/206007/9789241510424_eng.pdf?sequence=1

Peer Reviewer
  Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
  Opinion: Agree

47. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government’s policy goals for the budget year?
GUIDELINES:
Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government’s policy goals. The budget is the executive’s main policy document, the culmination of the executive’s planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget — that is, an explicit explanation of how the government’s policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government’s policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand’s 2011 Statement of Intent (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive’s Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are “translated” into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government’s policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals.

---

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates that show how the proposed budget is linked to all the government’s policy goals for the budget year are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:
EBP documentation provides estimates showing how the proposed budget is linked to all the Government’s policy goals for the budget year, along with a narrative discussion. Multiple EBP documents contribute to a comprehensive presentation of how budget proposals are linked to the Government’s policy priorities.

1. Budget Speech 2018-19 The Budget Speech articulates many of the linkages between specific budget policy initiatives and the Government’s stated policy goals, including data for proposed allocations for specific policies within the narrative discussion. Chapter V: The Journey Towards Prosperous Future: Sectoral Strategies, Action Plans and Resource Allocation (p.36-75)


2. Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018 to 2020-21 The MTMPS document also includes both data and narrative discussion linking the budget year (and medium-term) estimates to the Government’s broad and sector-specific strategic objectives and policy goals. This can be found in Chapter 1: Prosperity and equity, pp.1-13

https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_606d1a2b87a/MTMPS-English_part1.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

---

48. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government’s policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:
Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government’s policy goals. The budget is the executive’s main policy document, the culmination of the executive’s planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget — that is, an explicit explanation of how the government’s policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government’s policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand’s 2011 Statement of Intent (http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive’s Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are “translated” into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government’s policy goals (regardless of whether
it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals.

Answer: 

a. Yes, estimates that show how the proposed budget is linked to all the government’s policy goals for a multi-year period are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source: 

EBP documentation provides estimates showing how the proposed budget is linked to all the Government’s policy goals for a medium-term horizon, along with a narrative discussion. Multiple EBP documents contribute to a comprehensive presentation of how budget proposals and estimates over a medium term horizon are linked to the Government’s policy priorities. 1. Budget Speech 2018-19 The Budget Speech focuses primarily on the annual budget for FY2018-19, but in articulating linkages between specific budget-year policy initiatives and the Government’s stated policy goals, there is also some reference to the annual plans being in line with medium-term policy objectives.


2. Medium Term Macroeconomic Policy Statement 2018 to 2020-21 The MTMPS document also includes both data and narrative discussion linking the budget year (and medium-term) estimates to the Government’s broad and sector-specific strategic objectives and policy goals. This can be found in two chapters: Chapter 1: Prosperity and equity, pp.1-13 and Chapter 2:Macroeconomic Performance and Medium Term Outlook (P.20-32) https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/7a5ca8f3_a025_4b0f_a007_60d6d1a2687a/MTMPS-English_part1.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: MTBF 2018-19 to 2020-21 provides the most detailed account of information on how proposed budget by MDA is linked to government’s policy goals for the budget year 2018-19 and the projected year 2019-20 and 2020-21.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

49. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on inputs to be acquired for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 49 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on inputs for the budget year. (Nonfinancial data on outputs and outcomes are addressed in Question 50.)

The budget should disclose not only the amount of money that is being allocated on a program but also any information needed to analyze that expenditure. Nonfinancial data and performance targets associated with budget proposals are used to assess the success of a given policy. For example, even when allocated funds are spent according to plan, there remains the question of whether the policy delivered the results that it aimed to achieve.

Nonfinancial data can include information on:

Inputs - These are the resources assigned to achieve results. For example, in regards to education, nonfinancial data on inputs could include the number of books to be provided to each school or the materials to be used to build or refurbish a school.

Outputs - These are products and services delivered as a result of inputs. For example, the number of pupils taught every year; the number of children that received vaccines; or the number of beneficiaries of a social security program.

Outcomes - These are the intended impact or policy goals achieved. For example, an increase in literacy rates among children under 10, or a reduction in rates of maternal mortality.

In addition, governments that set performance targets must use nonfinancial data for outputs and outcomes to determine if these targets have been met.

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program is organized by functions. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on inputs for all administrative units or all functions, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on inputs are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on inputs is presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, nonfinancial data on inputs are presented for some programs and/or some administrative units (or functions).
50. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on results (in terms of outputs or outcomes) for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 50 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 49).

To answer "a," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on results for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on results for each individual program is organized by functional classification. To answer "b," the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on results for all administrative units or all functional classifications, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on results are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on results is presented.

Answer:
b. Yes, nonfinancial data on results are presented for all administrative units (or functions) but not for all (or any) programs.

Source:
EBP documentation provides non-financial data on results for all functions but not for all programs.
Multiple EBP documents contribute to the extensive non-financial data/information provided for result across all functions (and many individual administrative units and programs). Budget Speech 2018-19 (P 54-56) focuses on non-financial data such as, number of beneficiaries under different social safety net programs, P.9 focuses on number of schools, number of hospitals, nursing institutes etc. including their results (in terms of outputs or outcomes)

Comment:
EBP documentation includes performance targets for all non-financial data on results. The Medium- Term Budget Framework documents for each individual administrative unit provide a comprehensive set of performance targets assigned to non-financial data on results at both an organizational level and a program level. - Key Performance Indicator (KPIs) for each overarching administrative unit - Activities, Output Indicators and Targets aligned to specific programs for each administrative subdivision Medium-Term Budgetary Framework 2018-19 to 2020-21
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/ff33147f_10f0_4082_976c_7348c5e3e141/01_President_English.pdf

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

51. Are performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation?

GUIDELINES:
Question 51 asks about performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. The question applies to those nonfinancial results shown in the budget, and that were identified for purposes of Question 50.
To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to all nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget for at least the budget year. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to a majority (but not all) of the nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget. A “c” response applies performance targets are assigned only to less than half of the nonfinancial data on results. Answer “d” applies if no performance targets are assigned to nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget, or the budget does not present nonfinancial results.

Answer:

a. Yes, performance targets are assigned to all nonfinancial data on results.

Source:
EBP documentation includes performance targets for all non-financial data on results. The Medium-Term Budget Framework documents for each individual administrative unit provide a comprehensive set of performance targets assigned to non-financial data on results at both an organizational level and a program level. - Key Performance Indicator (KPIs) for each overarching administrative unit - Activities, Output Indicators and Targets aligned to specific programs for each administrative subdivision Medium-Term Budgetary Framework 2018-19 to 2020-21
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/ff33147f_10f0_4082_976c_7348c5e3e141/01_President_English.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

52. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations in at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 52 asks whether the budget highlight policies, both new and existing, that benefit the poorest segments of society. This question is intended to assess only those programs that directly address the immediate needs of the poor, such as through cash assistance programs or the provision of housing, rather than indirectly, such as through a stronger national defense. This information is of particular interest to those seeking to bolster government’s commitment to anti-poverty efforts. For purposes of answering this question, a departmental budget (such for the Department of Social Welfare) would not be considered acceptable. In general, this question is asking whether the EBP includes a special presentation that pulls together estimates of all the relevant policies in one place. However, if the country uses “program budgeting,” where programs are presented as expenditure categories with specific and identified objectives, and it identifies anti-poverty programs within each administrative unit, then that is also acceptable for this question.

The IBP Budget Brief, “How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget’s Impact on Poverty and Inequality?” (https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp_2019.pdf) includes a discussion of countries that have provided information on how its policies affect the poor.

For instance, Pakistan provides a detailed breakdown of pro-poor expenditure as part of its 2017-18 budget proposal. In one document, the government sets out policy priorities, expected outputs, and estimates of past and future spending for several programs aimed at poverty alleviation. Another supporting document provides a comprehensive overview of ongoing policies, including a chapter on social safety nets, covering both financial and performance information of poverty alleviation schemes over a period of eight years. (http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf_2018_21.pdf and http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must for at least the budget year both present estimates covering all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations and include a narrative discussion that specifically addresses these policies. (For countries using program budgeting that breaks out individual anti-poverty programs, there should be a separate narrative associated with each such program.) Answer “b” if a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented. Answer “c” if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” if no estimates of policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of all policies that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:
EBP documentation provides estimates for all policies intended to benefit the country’s impoverished, disadvantaged and at-risk population, together with a narrative discussion. Multiple EBP documents contribute to a comprehensive presentation of how annual budget proposals (and medium-term estimates) are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished, disadvantaged and at-risk population groups.

1. Medium-Term Budgetary Framework (MTBF) 2018-19 to 2020-21 Firstly, the "Medium-term Budget Framework" documents for each individual
administrative unit provide concise, comprehensive and detailed descriptions of individual spending programs and a complete set of program-level estimates for budget year and for a medium-term horizon inclusive of BY+1 (FY2019-20) and BY+2 (FY2020-21). These documents for each of the main administrative units (with a breakdown in each document for the component divisions within each main administrative unit) provide the required complete estimates data for all programs intended to benefit disadvantaged groups, along with a well-articulated narrative description of each program's objectives, outputs, performance targets and how the spending programs relate to the administrative unit's overall "mission" and objectives. One can view this comprehensive information for program-level estimates, activities, outputs, performance target and policy objectives for all programs targeting support to disadvantaged or vulnerable population groups in the MTBF documentation for any of the key administrative units responsible for such programs, including (but not limited to): I. Ministry of Social Welfare


Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

53. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal (that is, a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 53 asks about the budget timetable. An internal timetable is particularly important for the executive's management of the budget preparation process, in order to ensure that the executive accounts for the views of the different departments and agencies in the proposed budget. The timetable would, for instance, set deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. So that civil society is aware of the various steps in the budget formulation process, and when opportunities may exist to engage the executive, it is essential that this timetable be made available to the public.

To answer "a," the executive must prepare a detailed budget timetable and release it to the public. A "b" answer applies if the timetable is made public, but some details are not included. A "c" response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government. Answer "d" applies if no timetable is made available to the public. As long as a timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal is released, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Executive's Budget Proposal is not made publicly available.

Answer:
a. Yes, a detailed timetable is released to the public.

Source:
A detailed timetable of budget preparation process is released to the public. Budget Call for Circular 1
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/3b2a4ae5_98c8_4b37_a084_541843bde1aa/19_20_BC-1.pdf

and Call-circular 2

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

54. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)
GUIDELINES:

Question 54 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether “core” information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short- and long-term interest rates; the rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer “a,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to the macroeconomic forecast is not presented.

Source:
The PBS is not released to the public in Bangladesh

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).
Comments: Since the PBS is not released to the public in Bangladesh, answer “e” would be appropriate.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you the Peer Reviewer for the comment. When the document is not available, the correct answer to this question is D because the information is not available.

55. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government’s expenditure policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities and an estimate of total expenditures.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 55 focuses on the government’s expenditure policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether “core” information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total expenditures.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed programmatic proposals (such detailed information is typically only presented in the budget itself), it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least total expenditures associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can include some detail, for instance, estimates provided by any of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications.

To answer “a,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government’s expenditure policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the government’s expenditure policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information on the government’s expenditure policies and priorities is presented.
56. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government’s revenue policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of revenue policies and priorities and an estimate of total revenues.)

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 56 focuses on the government’s revenue policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether “core” information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- a discussion of revenue policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total revenue.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed revenue proposals, it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least the total revenue associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can also include more detail, for instance, with estimates provided by revenue category — tax and non-tax — or some of the major individual sources of revenue, such as the Value Added Tax or the income tax.

To answer “a,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government’s revenue policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to the government’s revenue policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information on the government’s revenue policies and priorities is presented.

**Answer:**
d. No, information related to the government’s revenue policies and priorities is not presented.

**Source:**
The PBS is not released to the public in Bangladesh

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).  
Comments: Since the PBS is not released to the public in Bangladesh, answer “e” would be appropriate.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you the Peer Reviewer for the comment. When the document is not available, the correct answer to this question is D because the information is not available.
Thank you the Peer Reviewer for the comment. When the document is not available, the correct answer to this question is D because the information is not available.

57. Does Pre-Budget Statement present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 57 asks whether the Pre-Budget Statement includes three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year;
- the central government’s total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt for at least the upcoming budget year. For a "b" answer, the Pre-Budget Statement must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the PBS must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the PBS.

Answer:

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

Source:
The PBS is not released to the public in Bangladesh

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).
Comments: Since the PBS is not released to the public in Bangladesh, answer "e" would be appropriate.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you the Peer Reviewer for the comment. When the document is not available, the correct answer to this question is D because the information is not available.

58. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 58 asks about multi-year expenditure estimates in the Pre-Budget Statement.

To answer "a," expenditure estimates for at least two years beyond the upcoming budget year must be presented. The estimates must be for at least total expenditures, but could include more detail than just the aggregate total.
59. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 59 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of the three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

**Answer:**
c. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

**Source:**
Detailed ministry wise budget 2018-19 Appropriation Act 2018

**Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

59b. Based on the response to Question 59, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Enacted Budget:

**Answer:**
Administrative classification
60. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 60 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Enacted Budget must present all programs, which account for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer “b,” the Enacted Budget must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A “c” answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Enacted Budget.

**Answer:**
d. No, the Enacted Budget does not present expenditure estimates by program.

**Source:**
Enacted budget is known as Appropriation Act 2018 (as per article 90 of the Constitution of the Government of Bangladesh) presents expenditure estimates by administrative classification but not by program.

**Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

---

61. Does the Enacted Budget present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 61 asks whether revenue estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by “category”—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” the Enacted Budget must present revenue estimates classified by category.

**Answer:**
b. No, the Enacted Budget does not present revenue estimates by category.
62. Does the Enacted Budget present individual sources of revenue?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 62 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Enacted Budget. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue that account for less than two-thirds of revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented.

**Answer:**
d. No, the Enacted Budget does not present individual sources of revenue.

**Source:**

**Comment:**
Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer “a,” the Enacted Budget must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a “b” answer, the Enacted Budget must present two of those three estimates. For a “c” answer, the Enacted Budget must present one of the three estimates. Answer “d” applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

Source:
Enacted budget is known as Appropriation Act 2018 (as per article 90 of the Constitution of the Government of Bangladesh) presents expenditure estimates by administrative classification but none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.


Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

64. What information is provided in the Citizens Budget?

(The core information must include expenditure and revenue totals, the main policy initiatives in the budget, the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based, and contact information for follow-up by citizens.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 64 focuses on the content of the Citizens Budget, asking whether "core" information is presented. These core components include:

- expenditure and revenue totals;
- the main policy initiatives in the budget;
- the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based; and
- contact information for follow-up by citizens.

To answer “a,” the Citizens Budget or supporting documentation must present all of the above core information as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Citizens Budget must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if the Citizens Budget includes some of the core components above, but other core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if a Citizens Budget is not published.

Answer:

c. The Citizens Budget provides information, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:
Expenditure and Revenue Totals - Budget at a Glance
Main Policy Initiatives - Not provided
Macroeconomic forecast - Not provided
Contact information for follow-up - Not provided

The document is only tables and graphs, and therefore doesn't provide many of the core CB elements.

Comment:
Budget in Brief: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6
65. How is the Citizens Budget disseminated to the public?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 65 asks how the Citizens Budget is disseminated to the public. Citizens Budgets should be made available to a variety of audiences. Therefore, paper versions and an Internet posting of a document might not be sufficient.

To answer "a," the executive must use three or more different types of creative media tools to reach the largest possible share of the population, including those who would not normally have access to budget documents or information. Dissemination would also be pursued at the very local level, so that the coverage is targeted both by geographic area and population group (e.g., women, elderly, low income, urban, rural, etc.). Option "b" applies if significant dissemination efforts are made through a combination of two means of communications, for instance, both posting the Citizens Budget on the executive’s official website and distributing printed copies of it. Option "c" applies if the Citizens Budget is disseminated through only posting on the executive’s official website. Option "d" applies when the executive does not publish a Citizens Budget.

**Answer:**

c. A Citizens Budget is disseminated only by using one means of dissemination.

**Source:**

Budget in Brief: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946ae4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6

Only disseminated via the website.

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
d. A Citizens Budget is not published.
Comments: The Citizens Budget on the entire EPB has not been published. However, citizens budget only on climate change has been published.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you to the Peer Reviewer for the comment. Please see the researcher response in CB-1.
To answer “a,” the executive must have established mechanisms to consult with the public, and these mechanisms for consultation are both accessible and widely used by the public. Such mechanisms can include focus groups, social networks, surveys, hotlines, and meetings/events in universities or other locations where people gather to discuss public issues. In countries where Citizens Budgets are consistently produced and released, it may be sufficient for the government to provide the public with contact information and feedback opportunities, and subsequently use the feedback to improve its management of public resources.

Option “b” applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation that are accessible to the public, but that the public nonetheless does not use frequently. That is, the public does not typically engage with the executive on the content of the Citizens Budget, even though the executive has created opportunities for such consultation. Option “c” applies if the executive has established mechanism for consultation with the public, but they are poorly designed and thus not accessible to the public. Option “d” applies if the executive has not created any mechanisms to seek feedback from the public on the content of the Citizens Budget.

**Answer:**
d. No, the executive has not established any mechanisms to identify the public’s requirements for budget information in the Citizen’s Budget.

**Source:**
There was no engagement to determine the priorities for a Citizens Budget as of the end of 2018.

Comment:

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**IBP Comment**
Thank you to the Peer Reviewer for the comment. Please see the researcher response in CB-1.

---

**67. Are “citizens” versions of budget documents published throughout the budget process?**

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 67 asks if “citizens” versions of budget documents are published throughout the budget process. While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a “citizens” version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle.

To answer “a,” a citizens version of at least one budget document is published for each of the four stages of the budget process (budget formulation, enactment, execution, and audit) — for a total of at least four citizens budget documents throughout the process. Option “b” applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process. Option “c” applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least one of the four stages of the budget process. Select option “d” if no “citizens” version of budget documents is published.

**Answer:**
c. A citizens version of budget documents is published for at least one stage of the budget process.

**Source:**
Budget in Brief: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/946aee4d-78bd-43b5-be2a-ed29342acba6

This document relates to the EBP. No other CBs are produced.

Comment:

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:
d. No citizens version of budget documents is published.

Comments: The Citizens Budget on the entire EPB (budget documents) has not been published. However, citizens budget on climate change has been published.

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree
68. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES: Question 68 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” actual expenditures must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if actual expenditures are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if actual expenditures are not presented by any of the three classifications in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

  d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by any expenditure classification.

Source:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
  Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
  Opinion: Agree

68b. Based on the response to Question 68, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the In-Year Reports:

Answer:

  None of the above

Source:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
  Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
  Opinion: Agree
69. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for individual programs?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 69 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer “b,” In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A “c” answer applies if In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” applies if actual expenditures are not presented by program in In-Year Reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**
The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

70. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date expenditures with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 70 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual expenditures to-date with either the enacted levels or actual expenditures for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date expenditures in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget’s forecast expenditures (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer “a,” comparisons must be made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b. No, comparisons are not made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**
The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
71. Do In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

**GUIDELINES:** Questions 71 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual revenues by “category”—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present revenue estimates classified by category.

**Answer:**
- b. No, In-Year Reports do not present actual revenue by category.

**Source:** The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

**Comment:**

- Peer Reviewer: Opinion: Agree
- Government Reviewer: Opinion: Agree

72. Do In-Year Reports present the individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected?

**GUIDELINES:** Question 72 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual collections of individual sources of revenue (such as income taxes, VAT, etc.). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present actual collections for all individual sources of revenue, and “other” or “miscellaneous” revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer “b,” In-Year Reports must present actual collections for individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue collected, but not all revenue. A “c” answer applies if In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue that account for less than two-thirds of all revenue collected. Answer “d” applies if individual sources of actual revenue are not presented.

**Answer:**
- d. No, In-Year Reports do not present individual sources of actual revenue.

**Source:** The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

**Comment:**

- Peer Reviewer: Opinion: Agree
- Government Reviewer: Opinion: Agree

73. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date revenues with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

**GUIDELINES:** Question 73 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual revenues to-date with either the enacted levels or actual revenues for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date revenues in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget’s forecast revenues.
(based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer “a,” comparisons must be made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Answer:
b. No, comparisons are not made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

74. Do In-Year Reports present three estimates related to actual government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing; the total debt outstanding; and interest payments?

GUIDELINES:
Question 74 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

· the amount of net new borrowing so far during the year;
· the central government’s total debt burden at that point in the year; and
· the interest payments to date on the outstanding debt.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a “b” answer, In-Year Reports must present two of those three estimates. For a “c” answer, IYRs must present one of the three estimates. Answer “d” applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in In-Year Reports.

Answer:
d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

Source:
The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
75. Do In-Year Reports present information related to the composition of the total actual debt outstanding?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 75 focuses on the composition of government debt, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 74, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens and banks and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt, and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt to-date as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" response applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding in In-Year Reports.

**Answer:**

d. No, information related to composition of total actual debt outstanding is not presented.

**Source:**

The Monthly Fiscal Reports and the Monthly Macro-Fiscal Updates were published late in the previous 12 months of the OBS Research, therefore all IYRs are assessed as Published Late.

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

76. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 76 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated macroeconomic forecast and explain all of the differences between the initial forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and the updated forecast. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the macroeconomic forecast must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated forecasts are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the macroeconomic forecast has not been updated.
77. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 77 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of expenditure for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must include updated expenditure estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. The expenditure estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A “c” response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated expenditure estimates, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A “d” response applies if the expenditure estimates have not been updated.

**Answer:**
d. No, expenditure estimates have not been updated.

**Source:**
The Budget Implementation Status Report (the MYR) was Published Late for 2017/2018.

**Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

78. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present expenditure estimates for the budget year underway by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 78 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Mid-Year Review.
d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

Source: The Budget Implementation Status Report (the MYR) was Published Late for 2017/2018.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

78b. Based on the response to Question 78, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Mid-Year Review:

Answer: None of the above

Source: The Budget Implementation Status Report (the MYR) was Published Late for 2017/2018.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

79. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present expenditure estimates for the budget year underway for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:
Question 79 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer: d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source: The Budget Implementation Status Report (the MYR) was Published Late for 2017/2018.

Comment:
80. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:
Question 80 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of revenue for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must include updated revenue estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer “b,” the revenue estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A “c” response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated revenue estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A “d” response applies if the revenue estimates have not been updated.

Answer:
d. No, revenue estimates have not been updated.

Source:
The Budget Implementation Status Report (the MYR) was Published Late for 2017/2018.

Comment:

81. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present revenue estimates for the budget year underway by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 81 asks whether revenue estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by “category”—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:
b. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present revenue estimates by category.

Source:
The Budget Implementation Status Report (the MYR) was Published Late for 2017/2018.

Comment:
82. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 82 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Mid-Year Review. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenues, and “other” or “miscellaneous” revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer “b,” the Mid-Year Review must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A “c” answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer “d” applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Mid-Year Review.

**Answer:**
d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present individual sources of revenue.

**Source:**
The Budget Implementation Status Report (the MYR) was Published Late for 2017/2018.

**Comment:**

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

83. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 83 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive’s Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- The central government’s total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- The interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive’s Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

To answer “a,” the Mid-Year Review must include an updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, and explain all of the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive’s Budget Proposal (or Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer “b,” the estimates of borrowing and debt must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A “c” response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A “d” response applies if the estimates of borrowing and debt have not been updated.

**Answer:**
d. No, estimates of government borrowing and debt have not been updated.

**Source:**
The Budget Implementation Status Report (the MYR) was Published Late for 2017/2018.
84. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 84 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual expenditures for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all expenditures, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.

**Answer:**
d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for expenditures are not presented.

**Source:**
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

---

85. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 85 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications — by administrative, economic, and functional classifications — which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. Answer "b" if expenditure estimates are presented by two of these three classifications. Answer "c" if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Year-End Report.

**Answer:**
d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

**Source:**
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.
85b. Based on the response to Question 85, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Year-End Report:

Answer: None of the above
Source: FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

86. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES: Question 86 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term “program,” and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term “program” to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: “Program” level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. Answer “c” if the Year-End Report presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer “d” if expenditures are not presented by program in the Year-End Report.

Answer: d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by program.
Source: FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.
87. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

GUIDELINES:
Question 87 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues, along with a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if estimates of the differences for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all revenues, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer “d” if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.

| Answer: d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for revenues are not presented. |
| Source: FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website. |
| Comment: |

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

88. Does the Year-End Report present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 88 asks whether revenue estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by “category”—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must present revenue estimates classified by category.

| Answer: b. No, the Year-End Report does not present revenue estimates by category. |
| Source: FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website. |
| Comment: |

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

89. Does the Year-End Report present individual sources of revenue?
Question 89 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Year-End Report. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

Answer:

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:

FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

90. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 90 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates and the actual outcome for the fiscal year for borrowing and debt, including its composition, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. 

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive’s Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the central government’s total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive’s Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:

FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

Comment:
90b. Based on the response to Question 90, check the box(es) to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, have the differences between the original forecast and the actual outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:
Information beyond the core elements:
Source:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.
Comment:

91. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 91 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast in the Executive’s Budget Proposal. Core components include estimates of the nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates, although the importance of other macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil, can vary from country to country.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.
Source:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.
Comment:
91b. Based on the response to Question 91, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast have the differences between the original forecast and the outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:
None of the above

Source:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

92. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:
Question 92 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 49 for the nonfinancial data on inputs included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

93. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:
Question 93 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 92).
Refer to Question 50 for the nonfinancial data on results included in the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

94. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:
Question 94 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 52 for assistance to the most impoverished populations in the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

95. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome?
GUIDELINES:

Question 95 asks whether the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 33 for estimates of extra-budgetary funds in the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

To answer “a,” the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer “b” if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer “c” if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A “d” response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome is not presented.

Source:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

96. Is a financial statement included as part of the Year-End Report or released as a separate report?

GUIDELINES:

Question 96 asks whether a financial statement is included as part of the Year-End Report, or whether it is released as a separate report. The financial statement can include some or all of the following elements: a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting. For purposes of responding to this question, the financial statement in question does not need to be audited. For an example of a financial statement, see the document “Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand 2013” (https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2013-10/fsgnz-year-jun13.pdf)

To answer “a,” a financial statement must either be included in the Year-End Report or must be released as a separate report. Answer “a” applies if a financial statement is released as a separate report, even if the Year-End Report is not publicly available. Answer “b” applies if no financial statement is released either as part of the Year-End Report or as a separate report.

Answer:
b. No, a financial statement is neither part of the Year-End Report nor released as a separate report.

Source:
FY 2016/2017 YER was not published on the website. There is no financial statement either.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

97. What type of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) has the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) conducted and made available to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 97 asks about the types of audits conducted by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). There are three basic types of audits:
Financial audits are intended to determine if an entity’s financial information is accurate (free from errors or fraud) and presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting and regulatory framework. See ISSAI 200 for more detail.

Compliance audits look at the extent to which the relevant regulations and procedures have been followed. See ISSAI 400 for more details.

Performance audits assess whether activities are adhering to the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. See ISSAI 300 for more details.

Financial and compliance audits are more common than performance audits, which usually occur only once a performance framework has been agreed upon. In some countries, the SAI’s mandate limits the type of audit it can conduct.

To answer “a,” the SAI must have conducted all three types of audit — financial, compliance, and performance — and made all of them available to the public. A “b” response applies if the SAI has conducted two of the three audit types, and a “c” applies if it has conducted only one type of audit. Answers “b” and “c” may be selected even if the Audit Report is not publicly available, as long as the SAI has conducted compliance or performance audits and made them available to the public. A “d” response applies if the SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

**Answer:**

d. The SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

**Source:**
The Comptroller and Auditor General of Bangladesh has a website that lists all three types of audits.

However, not all audits have been conducted in the recent years.

**There are no Financial Audits available:** http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/5/Financial-Audit-Report

The most recent Performance Audit is from 2014: http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/3/Performance-Audit-Report

The most recent Compliance Audit is from FY 2013-2014: http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/1/Compliance-Audit-Report

There are also Special Issue Audits, however none of these have been Published Recently: http://www.cagbd.org/audit-list/2/SpecialIssue-Based-Audit-Report

**Comment:**
Since there have been no audits published in recent years, this score is therefore assessed as "D".

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

---

**Question 98**

What percentage of expenditures within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 98 focuses on the coverage of audits by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), asking what percentage of expenditures within the SAI’s mandate has been audited.

The SAI’s mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to budgetary central government (ministries, departments, and agencies) that are within the SAI’s mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 99 addresses audits of extra-budgetary funds.) Further, the question does not apply to “secret programs” (for example, security-related expenditures that are confidential). Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. Financial audits and compliance audits, or a hybrid of the two, can be taken into account to answer this question. Performance audits should not be considered for this question.

To answer “a,” all expenditures within the SAI’s mandate must be audited. A “b” response applies if at least two-thirds, but not all, expenditures within the SAI’s mandate have been audited. A “c” response is appropriate when less than two-thirds of expenditures within the SAI’s mandate have been audited. A “d” response applies when no expenditures have been audited.

**Answer:**

d. No expenditures have been audited.

**Source:**

---
No audit reports have been published related to the relevant OBS research time-period (FY 2016-2017), therefore this question is scored as "D".

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

99. What percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:

Question 99 focuses on audits of extra-budgetary funds, asking what percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited. These funds, although technically outside the budget, are governmental in nature and thus should be subject to the same audit requirement as other government programs.

The SAI’s mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to extra-budgetary funds within the SAI’s mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 98 addresses audits of budgetary central government.) Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

To answer “a,” all extra-budgetary funds within the SAI’s mandate must be audited. A “b” response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI’s mandate have been audited. A “c” response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI’s mandate have been audited. A “d” response applies if extra-budgetary funds have not been audited.

Answer:
d. No extra-budgetary funds have been audited.

Source:
No audit reports have been published related to the relevant OBS research time-period (FY 2016-2017), therefore this question is scored as 'D'.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

100. Does the annual Audit Report(s) prepared by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) include an executive summary?

GUIDELINES:

Question 100 asks whether the annual Audit Report includes an executive summary. Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. The Audit Report can be a fairly technical document, and an executive summary of the report’s findings can help make it more accessible to the media and the public.

To answer “a,” the Audit Report must include at least one executive summary summarizing the report’s content. Answer “b” applies if the Audit Report does not include an executive summary, or the Audit Report is not made publicly available.

Answer:
b. No, the annual Audit Report(s) does not include an executive summary.

Source:
No audit reports have been published related to the relevant OBS research time-period (FY 2016-2017), therefore this question is scored as "D".
Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the annual Audit Report(s) includes one or more executive summaries summarizing the report’s content.
Comments: The performance audit reports (in Bengali) contain executive summary. For example, http://www.cag.org.bd/assets/upload/audit_report/c42831ef78408dd9c323247c27862613.pdf However, compliance audit reports (in Bengali) do not contain executive summary, even though they contain the summary of audit observations. For example, http://www.cag.org.bd/assets/upload/audit_report/5dc542b3c112e355cfa4c363ce14097d.pdf Therefore, since some of the audit reports have executive summary, therefore to me "a" is the correct answer.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you to the Peer Reviewer for the comment. However, this question specifically asks about the Audit Report of the government’s financial accounts, which is assessed in the OBS as not published. Therefore, since this report is not available, it is not assessed as having an executive report. The researcher’s response is confirmed.

---

101. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

GUIDELINES:
Question 101 asks whether the executive reports to the public on the steps it has taken to address audit recommendations made by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). The ultimate purpose of audits is to verify that the budget was executed in a manner consistent with existing law, and to hold the government accountable for this execution and its future improvement. The extent to which audits achieve the latter depends on whether there is adequate and timely follow-up on the recommendations provided in the SAI’s audit reports.

To answer “a,” the executive must report publicly on the steps it has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address audit finding, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if the executive does not report at all on its steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.

Source:
SAI has conducted audits (compliance, financial, or performance) but has not made them available to the public within time-frame (within 18 months after end of the financial year). The Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General (OCAG), the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) of Bangladesh http://www.cagbd.org/
All audit findings must be replied by the offices concerned. And all ministries, divisions and other institutions must answer all audit observations made by the SAI’s. All concerned must answer within the stipulated time, otherwise it might go to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Accounts discusses on audit recommendations or findings and take initiative for remedial action.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
102. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?

GUIDELINES: Question 102 asks whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or the legislature track actions by the executive to address audit recommendations. After audit results and recommendations are discussed and validated by the legislature, the executive is normally asked to take certain actions to address the audit findings. For accountability purposes, the public needs to be informed about the status of those actions, and steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. In addition to the executive reporting on its actions (see Question 101), the SAI and legislature — as the key oversight institutions — have a responsibility to keep the public informed by tracking the executive's progress in addressing audit recommendations.

To answer "a," the SAI or legislature must report publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the SAI or legislature reports publicly on the steps the executive has taken, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if neither the SAI nor the legislature reports on the executive’s steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

| Source: Public Accounts Committee (PAC) reports publicly on most audit recommendations. Hard copies of Annual Report by Public Accounts Committee (PAC) are available upon request, but the report is not available online. Public Accounts Committee (PAC) make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action. "The Public Accounts Committee (PAC) of Bangladesh Parliament derives its mandate from the Article- 76 of the Constitution of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh and the Rule-233 of the Rules of Procedure of Parliament of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh. The functions of PAC are to examine reports prepared by the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) with an aim to identifying financial issues to be resolved as well as making recommendations on how to improve performance in the future". SAI has conducted audits (compliance, financial, or performance) but has not made them available to the public within time-frame (within 18 months after end of the financial year). The Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General (OCAG), the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) of Bangladesh Neither the SAI nor legislature reports on steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. | http://www.cagbd.org/ |

Comment: 

Peer Reviewer Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer Opinion: Agree

103. Is there an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) that conducts budget analyses for the budget formulation and/or approval process?

GUIDELINES: Question 103 examines whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) exists that contributes budget analyses to the budget formulation and/or approval process. According to the Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions, adopted by the OECD Council in 2014, "independent fiscal institutions are publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance", and with "a forward-looking ex ante diagnostic task". In practice, they come in two main forms:

- Parliamentary budget offices (also known as PBOs) such as the Congressional Budget Office in the United States (https://www.cbo.gov/), the Parliamentary Budget Office in South Africa (https://www.parliament.gov.za/parliamentary-budget-office), and the Center for Public Finance Studies in Mexico (Centro de Estudios de las Finanzas Públicas, http://www.cefp.gob.mx/); or


For more information, see von Trapp et al. 'Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions and Case Studies', OECD Journal on Budgeting 15:2 (special issue, 2016), https://doi.org/10.1787/budget-15-5jm2795tv625

To answer "a," there must be an IFI, and its independence must be set in law. In addition, it must have sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to...
carry out its tasks. Answer “b” applies if an IFI exists, but either its independence is not set in law or its staffing and resources are insufficient to carry out its tasks. Answer “c” applies if an IFI exists, but its independence is not set in law and it lacks sufficient staffing and resources. Answer “d” applies if no IFI exists.

If the answer is “a,” “b,” or “c,” please specify in the comments the name and type of IFI that exists (e.g., parliamentary budget office or fiscal council). If the answer is "a" or "b," identify the law that guarantees its independence, and provide evidence in support of the assessment of the adequacy of its staffing and resources. This can include the IFI’s total budget allocation over recent years, any press reports that discuss perceived funding shortfalls, assessments by international organizations, and/or information from interviews with staff of the IFI.

---

**Question 104:**

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 104 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a role in producing the macroeconomic forecast (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, etc.) and/or the fiscal forecast (revenues, expenditure, deficits, and debt), and if so, what kind of role it has. Macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasting is a typical core function across IFIs, but their role in forecasting takes several forms (von Trapp et al. 2016, p. 17 and Table 2). Some IFIs produce just a macroeconomic forecast, while others produce a complete fiscal forecast (which also typically requires an underlying macroeconomic forecast). In some cases, the fiscal forecast reflects continuation of current budget policies; such forecasts can be used by the legislature, the media, or the public to assess the projections in the executive’s budget reflecting the government’s policy proposals.

Some IFIs produce the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts used in the executive’s budget. In other cases, IFIs do not prepare their own independent forecasts, but rather produce an assessment of the official estimates, or provide an opinion on, or endorsement of, the government’s forecasts. Some others have no role at all in forecasting.

To answer “a,” there must be an IFI that publishes both its own macroeconomic AND fiscal forecasts. Answer “b” applies if an IFI publishes its own macroeconomic OR fiscal forecast (but not both). Answer “c” applies if the IFI does not publish a macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but rather publishes an assessment of the official forecasts produced by the executive and used in the budget. Choose option “d” if there is no IFI, or if there is an IFI that neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts for the budget.
Macroeconomic forecasts may include indicators relating to economic output and economic growth, inflation, and the labor market, amongst others. Fiscal forecasts may include estimates of revenues, expenditures, the budget balance, and debt. If the answer is “a” or “b,” please specify which indicators and estimates are included in the forecasts and whether the forecast is used by government as the official forecast. If the answer is “c,” please describe the nature and depth of the assessment (e.g., the length of the commentary, or whether it covers both economic and fiscal issues).

Answer:
d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts produced by the executive.

Source:

Comment:
There is no IFI in Bangladesh

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
c. No, the IFI does not publish its own macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but it does publish an assessment of the official macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts produced by the executive.
Comments: BAMU is the IFI within the Parliament Secretariat. It’s booklets published during the budget session (approval process) contain some macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecast produced by EBP and supporting documents.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response
There is a help desk in the National Parliament which was was set up in cooperation with BAMU. MPs can collect information from the help desk before addressing the session on the budget issues. I think BAMU is not functioning well.

IBP Comment
Thank you for the comments. Please see the confirmation of the researcher's response in Q103.

105. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish its own costings of new policy proposals, to assess their impact on the budget?

GUIDELINES:
Question 105 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a costing function that involves assessing the budgetary implications of new policy proposals for both revenues and expenditures, and if so, what kind of role it has. Many IFIs have a costing role, but with substantial diversity in the nature and extent of this work (von Trapp et al 2016, pp. 17-18 and Table 2). Some assess virtually all new policy proposals, while others cost only a selection of new policy proposals. Others only publish opinions on, or scrutinize the costings of, budget measures produced by the executive.

To answer “a,” the IFI must publish its own costings of all (or virtually all) new policy proposals. Answer “b” applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only for major new policy proposals – for instance, only those proposals that cost or save above a certain amount. Answer “c” applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only on a limited number of proposals. This could occur, for instance, if the IFI lacked the capacity to assess proposals dealing with certain sectors. Instead of producing a cost estimate, it can also publish an assessment of the estimates produced by the executive. Answer “d” applies if there is no IFI; or if the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals or provide an assessment of the official costings of new policy proposals.

Answer:
d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

Source:

Comment:
There is no IFI in Bangladesh

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: There is IFI (BAMU) within the Parliament Secretariat but it does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
106. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 106 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Almost all IFIs interact with the legislature in some form (von Trapp et al 2016, p. 18), but the intensity of the interaction varies. This question assesses this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the IFI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the IFI staff member in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). As evidence to support your answer, you can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the IFI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; and "c" for once or twice. Answer "d" should be selected if the head or a senior staff member of the IFI never took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature, or if there is no IFI.

**Answer:**
d. Never, or there is no IFI.

**Source:**
There is no IFI in Bangladesh

**Peer Reviewer**
**Opinion:** Agree
**Comments:** There is an IFI (BAMU) but its head or any senior staff member did not participate in a hearing take part and testify in hearings of a parliamentary committee.

**Government Reviewer**
**Opinion:** Agree

107. Does the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 107 asks whether the legislature debates budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal. In general, prior to discussing the Executive’s Budget Proposal for the coming year, the legislature should have an opportunity to review the government’s broad budget priorities and fiscal parameters. Often times this information is laid out in a Pre-Budget Statement, which the executive presents to the legislature for debate. (See Questions 54-58.)

A number of countries conduct a pre-budget debate in the legislature around six months before the start of the budget year. In some cases, they adopt laws that guide the upcoming budget, for example the Budget Guidelines Law in Brazil and the Spring Fiscal Policy Bill in Sweden. A pre-budget debate can serve two main purposes: 1) to allow the executive to inform the legislature of its fiscal policy intentions by presenting updated reports on its annual and medium-term budget strategy and policy priorities; and 2) to establish “hard” multi-year fiscal targets or spending ceilings, which the government must adhere to when preparing its detailed spending estimates for the upcoming budget year.

To answer “a,” the full legislature must debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.

Answer “b” applies if a legislative committee (but not the full legislature) debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, and approves recommendations for the budget. Option “b” also applies if, in addition to the action by the committee, the full legislature also debates budget policy in advance of the budget, but does not approve recommendations.

Answer “c” applies if the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but does not approve recommendations for the budget. Answer “d” applies if neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

In your comment, please indicate the dates of the budget debate, and if both the full legislature and a legislative committee held a debate. Note that a debate does not need to be open to the public, but a public record of the meeting or a public notice that the meeting occurred is required. In addition, please indicate whether the budget debate was focused on a Pre-Budget Statement published by the Executive. If the Executive did not publish a Pre-Budget Statement, then please indicate what served as the focus of the legislature’s debate (for instance, a report released by an IFI or some other institution).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but it does not approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is a pre-budget discussion meeting organized by the Ministry of Finance with four parliamentary standing Committee each year in the mid-March. The dates of the meetings with the Ministry of Finance were shared in a calendar about their meetings with all stakeholders from February to April - which includes meetings with members of each of the four Parliamentary committees. This calendar is not available online, it is an internal document that was not published.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, Ministry of Finance of the Government of Bangladesh organizes series of pre-budget discussions during March to May every year with various stakeholders including four parliamentary standing committee on Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Planning, Public Accounts and Public Undertakings. The chairpersons and members of these four committee and all chairpersons of the parliamentary standing committee of various ministries debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive Budget Proposal and make recommendations for the upcoming budget, but there is no report published.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peer Reviewer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opinion: Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Government Reviewer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opinion: Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

108. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 108 examines how far in advance of the start of the budget year the legislature receives the Executive’s Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive’s Budget Proposal should be submitted to the legislature far enough in advance to allow the legislature time to review it properly, or at least three months prior to the start of the fiscal year. (See, for instance, Principle 2.2.2 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) [https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml](https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml)).

For the purposes of responding to this question, if – and only if – the most recent budget submission occurred later than usual as a result of a particular event, such as an election, please use a more normal year as the basis for the response. If, however, delays have been observed for more than one budget year, and the legislature has not received the Executive’s Budget Proposal in a timely manner on more than one occasion in the last three years, then “d” will be the appropriate answer.

To answer "a," the legislature must receive the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least three months in advance of the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature receives the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year. Answer “c” applies if the legislature receives the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. Answer “d” applies if the legislature does not receive the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least one month prior to the start of the budget year, or does not receive it at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d. The legislature receives the Executive’s Budget Proposal less than one month before the start of the budget year, or does not receive it all.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget Speech 2018-19, 7th June 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The legislature receives the Executive’s Budget Proposal less than one month before the start of the budget year. They receive the budget documents at the same time when it is placed before the parliament. For example, Budget 2018-19 was placed before the parliament on 7th June 2018 and financial year started on 1st July 2018.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peer Reviewer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opinion: Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Government Reviewer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opinion: Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
109. When does the legislature approve the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:
Question 109 examines when the legislature approves the Executive’s Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive’s Budget Proposal should be approved by the legislature before the start of the fiscal year the budget proposal refers to. This gives the executive time to implement the budget in its entirety, particularly new programs and policies.

In some countries, the expenditure and revenue estimates of the Executive’s Budget Proposal are approved separately; for purposes of this question, at least the expenditure estimates must be approved. Further, approval of the budget implies approval of the full-year budget, not just a short-term continuation of spending and revenue authority.

To answer “a,” the legislature must approve the Executive’s Budget Proposal at least one month before the start of the budget year. Answer “b” applies if the legislature approves the Executive’s Budget Proposal less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year. Answer “c” applies if the legislature approves the Executive’s Budget Proposal less than one month after the start of the budget year. Answer “d” applies if the legislature approves the Executive’s Budget Proposal more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.

Answer:
b. The legislature approves the budget less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year.

Source:

Comment:
The legislature approves the budget by 30th June every year before start of the financial year on 1st July.

For FY 2018-2019, the budget was approved on 28 June 2018.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

110. Does the legislature have the authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:
Question 110 examines the legislature’s power to amend—as opposed to simply accept or reject—the budget proposal presented by the executive. This question is about legal authority rather than actions the legislature takes in practice. The legislature’s powers to amend the budget can vary substantially across countries.

The “a” response is appropriate only if there are no restrictions on the right of the legislature to modify the Executive’s Budget Proposal, including its right to change the size of the proposed deficit or surplus. The “b” response would be appropriate if, for instance, the legislature is restricted from changing the deficit or surplus, but it still has the power to increase or decrease funding and revenue levels. The more limited “c” response would apply if, for instance, the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive’s Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues. Finally, response “d” would apply if the legislature may not make any changes (or only small technical changes), or if amendments must first be approved by the executive. In these cases, the legislature is essentially only able to approve or reject the budget as a whole. If the answer is “b” or “c”, please indicate the nature of the amendment powers available to the Parliament and how they are limited.

Answer:
b. Yes, the legislature has authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal, with some limitations.

Source:
Article 89 of the constitution of the Government of Bangladesh regarding procedure relating to Annual Financial Statement: 1) “So much of the Annual Financial Statement as relates to expenditure charged upon the Consolidated Fund may be discussed in, but shall not be submitted to vote of, Parliament”. 2) “So much of the Annual Financial Statement as relates to other expenditure shall be submitted to Parliament in the form of demands for grants, and Parliament shall have power to assent to or to refuse to assent to any demand, or to assent to it just to a reduction of the amount specified therein.”

Comment:
111. During the most recent budget approval process, did the legislature use its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

**Question 111** assesses whether any formal authority of the legislature to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal is used in practice. The responses to this question should be determined based on action by the legislature related to the Enacted Budget used in the OBS. Choose answer "a" if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal during the most recent budget approval process, and amendments were adopted (all, or at least some of them). Answer "a" also applies if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but the amendments were rejected by executive veto. Answer "b" applies if the legislature used its authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but none of these amendments were adopted. Answer "c" applies if the legislature has the authority in law to amend the budget, but no amendments were proposed during its consideration. Answer "d" applies when the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget (that is, Question 110 is answered "d").

If the answer is "a" or "b", please specify in the comments the number of amendments introduced by the legislature (and in the case of an "a" response, the number adopted, or if applicable, information about an executive veto) and describe their nature. For example, did the amendments result in an increase or decrease of the deficit? What were the most significant amendments to revenues and to expenditures in terms of the sums involved? How did amendments affect the composition of expenditures? If the answer is "a", please specify which amendments were adopted, and provide evidence for it.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive’s Budget Proposal, and (at least some of) its amendments were adopted.

**Source:**

Finance Bill 2018


**Comment:**

The Parliament passed the Finance Bill 2018 on 28th June 2018 making some amendment to the tax duty proposals. For example, the Finance Bill 2018 was passed curtailing VAT on internet. The VAT came down to 5.0 per cent from the existing 15 per cent.

**PeerReviewer**

Opinion: Agree
Comments: The amendments were minor.

**GovernmentReviewer**

Opinion: Agree

112. During the last budget approval process, did a specialized budget or finance committee in the legislature examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

**Question 112** assesses the role of a specialized budget or finance committee during the budget approval stage. Effective committee involvement is an essential condition for legislative influence in the budget process. Specialized committees provide opportunities for individual legislators to gain relevant expertise, and to examine budgets and policy in depth. Yet, the involvement of committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures have separate committees to examine spending and tax proposals, while others have a single finance committee. Not all legislatures have a specialized budget or finance committee to examine the budget. In addition, there can be differences in the time available for the committee’s analysis of the budget.

A report with the committee’s findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, therefore it must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget.

Response "a" requires that, in the last budget approval process, a specialized budget or finance committee had one month or more to examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such a committee examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if a committee examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal.
**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 113* assesses the role of committees of the legislature that are responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.) during the budget approval stage. The role of sectoral committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures do not involve them in the budget approval process, while others do. In addition, the time available for committee analysis differs.

A report with the committee’s findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, so therefore must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget. Response “a” requires that sector committees had one month or more to examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal, and published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response “b” applies where such committees examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response “c” applies if sectoral committees examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response “d” applies where sectoral committees did not examine the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

Please provide in the comments a brief overview of the committee structure and specify the number of days that sectoral committees had available to examine the budget and to publish their reports. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a sample copy of at least one of the reports. Please note if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

**113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive’s Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?**

**Answer:**

*c.* Yes, a specialized budget or finance committee examined the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but it did not publish a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

**Source:**

Parliamentary standing committee on Ministry of Finance examined the proposed budget 2018-19, but it didn't publish any report on this. The committee also took part in the budget session.

This was confirmed through discussions with Ministry of Finance officials, MPs and parliamentary committee members.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

---

**113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive’s Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?**

**Answer:**

*c.* Yes, sector committees examined the Executive’s Budget Proposal, but they did not publish reports with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

**Source:**

The concerned parliamentary standing committee reviews the progress of the budget.

**Comment:**

Parliamentary standing committee on different ministries (e.g. health, education) examined the proposed budget 2018-19, but they didn't publish any report on this. The committee also took part in the budget discussions.
114. In the past 12 months, did a committee of the legislature examine in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 114 is about legislative oversight of budget execution. It assesses whether and how often a committee examined the implementation of the budget during the budget execution period (i.e., financial year) for which it was approved, and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. This question does not apply to the ex post review of implementation following the end of the budget year as part of the audit stage, which is assessed separately. Nor does it apply to the legislature’s review of the budget that it may undertake as part of the process of considering a supplemental budget during the year. In-year monitoring by the legislature will be affected by the frequency that the executive publishes In-Year Reports.

To answer “a,” a committee must have examined in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget at least three times during the course of the relevant budget year and published reports with findings and recommendations. Answer “b” applies where this occurred only once or twice during the year.

Exception: If a legislature is in session only twice during the year, and it examines the implementation of the budget during both sessions, then it would be eligible for an “a” response.

Choose “c” if a committee examined in-year implementation (without regard to frequency), but did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer “d” applies where no committee examined in-year implementation.

If the answer is “a” or “b,” please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is “c,” please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation.

For purposes of responding to this question, if more than one committee holds in-year reviews of the budget, use the committee that is best performing – that is, the one that examines in-year implementation the most times and that publishes a report.

**Answer:**
c. Yes, a committee examined in-year implementation, but it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

**Source:**
Parliamentary standing committee Ministry of Finance examined in-year implementation, but it didn’t publish any report with findings and recommendations.

**Comment:**
Parliamentary standing committee Ministry of Finance examined in-year implementation, but it didn’t publish any report with findings and recommendations.

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree
Comments: However, Ministry of Finance is a staff ministry, not a line ministry. Therefore, examination of in-year implementation of budget of Ministry of Finance by its parliamentary standing committee does not carry significant value on the status of implementation. Rather, examination of in-year implementation of budget by parliamentary standing committee on important line MDAs, such as Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives, and Ministry of Disaster Management and Relief that implement large number of projects and provide services at the grassroots, as well publishing report with observations and recommendations will be more important in strengthening transparency and accountability of budget implementation.

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Researcher Response**
Agreed but answer will remain C

115. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units that receive explicit funding in the Enacted Budget, and is it legally required to do so?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 115 examines whether the executive seeks approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and whether it is legally required to do so.
In some countries, the executive has the power in law to adjust funding levels for specific appropriations during the execution of the budget. This question examines rules around shifting funds between administrative units (ministries, departments, or agencies) or whatever funding unit (or "vote") is specified in the Enacted Budget.

The conditions under which the executive may exercise its discretion to shift funds should be clearly defined in publicly available regulations or law. In addition, the amount of funds that the executive is allowed to transfer between administrative units should not be so excessive as to undermine the accountability of the executive to the legislature.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before shifting funds, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to shift funds between administrative units and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. Answer "d" also applies if the executive is authorized to shift an amount considered so excessive as to undermine accountability (roughly equal to 3 percent of total budgeted expenditures). A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the shifting of funds after it has already occurred.

In the comments, please indicate any law or regulation that provides the executive with standing authority to shift funds between administrative units and, if so, equal to 3 percent of total budgeted expenditures). A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the shifting of funds after it has already occurred.

GUIDELINES:

Question 116 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if additional revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, which often happens in oil/mineral-dependent countries, and it was not accounted for in the Enacted Budget, there should be a procedure in place to ensure that the legislature approves any proposed use of these "new" funds. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might deliberately underestimate revenue in the budget proposal it submits to the legislature, in order to have additional resources to spend at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before spending any funds resulting from higher-than-expected revenues, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if prior legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to spend excess revenue and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the additional spending after it has already occurred.

Typically, legislative approval of additional spending beyond what was reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur with the adoption of a supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for gaining approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

c. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, but in practice the executive shifts funds before obtaining approval from the legislature.

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, and in practice the
117. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls (that is, revenues lower than originally anticipated) or other reasons during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

**GUIDELINES:**
Question 117 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to cutting spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls or for any other reason, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if less revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, the legislature should approve or reject any proposed reductions in expenditures that are implemented as a result. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might substantially change the composition of the budget at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

Typically, legislative approval of proposals to reduce spending below the levels reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur as part of the supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive received legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to obtain legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to implement such cuts and the executive does not obtain such approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the spending cuts after they have already occurred.

**Answer:**

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, and in practice the executive implements these spending cuts before seeking prior approval from the legislature.

Source:
Public Money and Budget Management Act 2009
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/311e440e_4ad0_4c97_8a7e_e727707db410/11.pdf
Constitution of the Government of Bangladesh

Comment:
Article 12 of the Public Money and Budget Management act 2009: Finance Minister shall present a revised budget before the parliament.
Article 91 of Supplementary and Excess Grants of the Constitution of the Government of Bangladesh
The government submits revised expenditure amounts toward the end of the fiscal year along with the budget proposal to parliament. The supplementary budget for FY 2017/2018 was released on June, 2018: https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/4deed460-37ed-4cfa-bf7a-d46c1fbc5238/Supplementary-Budget
Because the revised budget is approved only by Parliament at the end of the fiscal year, this means there is no prior approval from Parliament.
118. Did a committee of the legislature examine the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 118 is about ex post oversight following the implementation of the budget. It probes whether a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. A key issue is how soon after the SAI releases the report does it legislature review it. This question does not apply to the legislative scrutiny of in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period, which is assessed separately. Also, the question is asking specifically about the SAI’s annual report on the execution of the budget, not about other audit reports that the SAI may produce. (This is the Audit Report used for responding to Question 98.)

To answer "a," a legislative committee must have examined the annual Audit Report within three months of it being released by the SAI, and then published a report (or reports) with findings and recommendations. (Note that the three-month period should only take into account time when the legislature is in session.)

Answer "b" applies when the committee examines it within six months of it being released (but more than three months), and then published a report with its findings and recommendations. Choose "c" if a committee examined the annual Audit Report more than six months after it became available or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined the annual Audit Report.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed the Audit Report, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation. Answers "a," "b," or "c" may be selected if the Audit Report is produced by the SAI but not made publicly available.

**Answer:**

`c.	Yes, a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget, but it did so after the report had been available for more than six months or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.`

**Source:**

Public Accounts Committee reviews the audit reports; discuss and follow up the audit recommendations. PAC also organize public hearings with the concerned stakeholders/officials.

**Comment:**

Some of the audit reports are scrutinized by the Public Accounts Committee.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

119. Was the process of appointing (or re-appointing) the current head of the SAI carried out in a way that ensures his or her independence?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 119 concerns the appointment process of the current head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). Appointment procedures vary greatly across countries, as well as across different types of SAIs. Moreover, conventions and informal practices can greatly affect the de facto independence of the head of the SAI. While these factors make it difficult to devise a single metric against which all SAIs can be assessed with regard to this particular aspect, this question focuses on whether the legislature or judiciary must appoint or approve the appointment of the head of the SAI as a way to ensure the SAI’s independence from the executive. However, if the appointment is carried out in another way that nonetheless ensures the independence of the SAI head, then that approach could be also considered.

To answer “a,” the legislature or judiciary must appoint (or re-appoint) the head of the SAI, or approve the recommendation of the executive, as a way that
ensure his or her independence from the executive. (As noted above, alternative approaches may also be acceptable.) Choose “b” if the appointment process does not ensure the independence of the head of the SAI, e.g. the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Irrespective of which answer you selected, provide a description of how the head of the SAI is appointed.

Answer:

b. No, the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Source:
Constitution of Bangladesh:

Comment:
Article 127(1) of the Constitution of Bangladesh: There shall be a Comptroller and Auditor General of Bangladesh (hereinafter referred to as the auditor General) who shall be appointed by the President.
Article 127(2) - Subject to the provisions of this Constitution and of any law made by Parliament, the conditions of service of the Auditor General shall be the such as the President may, by order, determine.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

120. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?

GUIDELINES:

Question 120 covers the manner in which the head or senior members of the SAI may be removed from office. This question draws on best practices identified in the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf), including measures intended to guarantee the office’s independence from the executive.

To answer “a,” the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the head of the SAI is removed. For example, the legislature or judiciary may give final consent following a certain external process, such as a criminal proceeding. So while the executive may initiate a criminal proceeding, the final consent of a member of the judiciary — or a judge — is necessary to render a verdict of wrongdoing that may lead to the removal from office of the head of the SAI. Answer “b” applies if the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

Answer:

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before he or she is removed.

Source:
Constitution of Bangladesh

Comment:
Article 129(2) of the Constitution: The Head of SAI (The Auditor General) has a constitutional position and therefore can't be removed from his office except in like manner and on the like ground ground as a judge of the supreme court.

The removal of judges is defined in Article 69, which states:
1. Subject to the other provisions of this article, a Judge shall hold office until he attains the age of sixty seven years.
2. A Judge shall not be removed from his office except by an order of the President passed pursuant to a resolution of Parliament supported by a majority of not less than two-thirds of the total number of members of Parliament, on the ground of proved misbehaviour or incapacity.
3. Parliament may by law regulate the procedure in relation to a resolution under clause (2) and for investigation and proof of the misbehaviour or incapacity of a Judge.
4. A Judge may resign his office by writing under his hand addressed to the President.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
121. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 121 asks who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). To ensure objective audits of government budgets, another important component of the SAI's independence from the executive is the extent to which the SAI's budget is determined by a body other than the executive, and whether the SAI has adequate resources to fulfill its mandate.

Answer "a" applies if the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate, AND either the SAI determines its own budget and then submits it to the executive (which accepts it with little or no change) or directly to the legislature, or the budget of the SAI is determined directly by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body). Answer "b" applies if the SAI's budget is determined by the executive (absent a recommendation from the SAI), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "c" applies if the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body) determines the SAI's budget, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "d" applies if the executive determines the SAI's budget, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Please provide evidence in support of the assessment that the funding level is or is not broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Answer:
b. The budget of the SAI is determined by the executive, and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Source:

Comment:
The budget of the SAI is determined by the Ministry of Finance in consultation with SAI. It is presented to the parliament as a Charged Expenditure just for discussion but it is not dependent on the Parliament vote.

122. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have the discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?

GUIDELINES:

Question 122 explores the scope of the investigative powers of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) as prescribed in law.

Question 97 asks which of the three types of audits – financial, compliance, and performance – the SAI conducts. This question asks if the SAI is constrained by law (rather than by a lack of capacity or an inadequate budget) from undertaking any form of audit or investigating irregularities in any program or activity.

There are numerous examples of limitations. For instance, some SAIs are not permitted by their legal mandate to audit joint ventures or other public-private arrangements. Others are only allowed to undertake financial audits, precluded from conducting performance or value-for-money audits. The SAIs in some countries do not have the legal mandate to review arrangements involving oil or stabilization funds, or other types of special or extra-budgetary funds. The SAI may also not have the ability to audit commercial projects involving the public and private sector.

To answer "a," the SAI must have full discretion in law to decide which audits to undertake. Answer "b" applies if some limitations exist, but the SAI enjoys significant discretion to undertake those audits it wishes to. Answer "c" applies if the SAI has some discretion, but significant legal limitations exist. Answer "d" applies if the SAI has no power at all to choose which audits to undertake.

Consulting the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclar.pdf) may be useful in answering this question as its provisions serve to define the appropriate scope of a SAI's legal mandate and jurisdiction.
123. Are the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) reviewed by an independent agency?

GUIDELINES:
Question 123 assesses whether and to what extent the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) are subject to review by an independent agency. The latter could be a peer SAI, an international organization, an academic institution with relevant expertise, or an independent domestic agency with quality assurance functions in the area of financial reporting.

To answer "a," an independent agency must conduct and publish a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis. Answer "b" applies if a review was carried out within the past five years, and published, but it is not conducted annually, but. Choose answer "c" if the SAI has an internal unit that reviews the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis, but an independent agency does not conduct such a review. Answer "d" applies if the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

If the answer is either "a" or "b," please specify the name of the independent agency and when last it conducted such a review, and provide a copy of the published report. If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the unit within the SAI that is tasked with conducting such reviews.

Answer:
d. No, the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

Source:

Comment:
Digital Audit Management System of the Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General (OCAG) of Bangladesh has been introduced on February 7, 2013 which includes Audit Management and Monitoring Systems (AMMS) and other Computer Assisted Audit Techniques (CAAATs).

This is a digital management system for managing the audit process by the internal audit unit of CAG office.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
d. No, the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

Comments: No independent public or private agency reviews the audit processes of the SAI. The SAI has established a digital audit management systems to rapidly prepare quality reports and to improve the audit process. The Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General (OCAG) has installed an integrated software system called Audit Monitoring and Management System (AMMS) by connecting all of its directorates and developed a centralized database. This system helps identify pre-auditing risks and updates the status of all audit objections. As I understand, this monitoring system is not aimed to review the audit processes of the SAI.

http://www.cagbd.org//assets/upload/publication/1933c41c74acd4f9b2c4dd93d8d0f286.pdf
http://www.cag.org.bd/assets/upload/publication/1a0795d5a798754709b66d9c5ce8349d9.pdf

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
124. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:
Question 124 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Many SAIs interact with the legislature in some form, but the nature and intensity of the interaction varies. This question probes this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the SAI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the SAI representative in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). You can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the SAI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; "c" for once or twice, and "d" for never.

**Answer:**
d. Never.

**Source:**

**Comment:**
The head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) rarely take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature.

**Peer Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

**Government Reviewer**

**Opinion:** Agree

125. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget (prior to the budget being tabled in parliament)?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive. The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option “a” in the responses) and "consultation" (option “b”). See: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in the formulation of the annual budget, including annual pre-budget discussions. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government’s efforts to incorporate citizens’ input into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as spending and tax policy, funding and revenue levels, and macro-fiscal planning.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:
1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.
and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:
c. Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget formulation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:
"Every year at the time of budget formulation, I hold discussion with different professional groups. There is no exception to the practice this year as well. This process started long before which was initiated by the all-party parliamentary group. They presented their well thought-out written recommendations on 29th January this year. A total 6 of 16 such meetings were held up to 18th May. Apart from this, I met each Parliamentary Standing Committees, social elites, renowned economists, economic association, trade bodies, print-electronic media and journalists, secretaries of ministries/divisions, NGO leaders, 3 TV channels and Economic Reporters Forum. I not only met the farmers of southern Sylhet at the initiative of Independence Award winning Channel I TV presenter Shykh Seraj but also received valuable written recommendations from the programme 'Agriculture Budget, Farmers Budget'. All these reinforced my attempt to understand public opinion on national budget. NBR and FBCCI held special discussion in a conference organised by them."

Finance Minister in the Budget Speech 2018-19, P.6
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/9ea7529b-c8ef-49b5-8b8e-87ef72a2b3ec

Comment:
A series of pre-budget consultations are held with the legislatures, economists, business associations leaders, economic reporters, farmers and other civil society groups etc. exchanging views on the budget both at the national and local level.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: However, the executive means executive branch of the government, i.e., "the part of a government that is responsible for making certain that laws and decisions are put into action" according to Cambridge Dictionary. To my view, Even though Finance Minister is the top official of Ministry of Finance, he does not formulate the budget throughout the year. Instead, Budget Wing of the Finance Division is responsible for formulating the budget. Therefore, "Does the executive use participation mechanisms" means whether Finance Division or specifically Budget Wing uses participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget prior to placing the budget in the Parliament for discussion and debate. The answer is "no" even though the Minister of Finance takes part in various pre-budget meetings organized by professional groups, NGOs and media outside the Finance Division. Since he never presents outline of the proposed budget or major proposals in these meeting to seek their opinions, such consultations seem to be mere formality. The same is true for the Chairman of National Board of Revenue (NBR) who also attends meetings and interactions organized by various professional groups outside. Their participation in pre-budget meeting are voluntary and there is no obligation and formal mechanism for the Budget Wing and NBR to (i) attend those meetings and interact, and (ii) reflect the issues raised in the meetings in the EBP documents.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
The peer reviewer's comments are noted. In many cases, consultations held by the Budget Wing may be more effective at engaging the public in central-level budgetary discussions. However, for the purposes of this question, the types of engagements described in the Budget Speech qualify for a C score on this question.

126. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive take concrete steps to include vulnerable and under-represented parts of the
GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on the executive's efforts to seek out the views of members of the public from socially vulnerable groups and/or who are underrepresented in the process.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from vulnerable and underrepresented communities and/or civil society organizations representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives of vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:
b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:
"Every year at the time of budget formulation, I hold discussion with different professional groups. There is no exception to the practice this year as well. This process started long before which was initiated by the all-party parliamentary group. They presented their well thought-out written recommendations on 29th January this year. A total 6 of 16 such meetings were held up to 18th May. Apart from this, I met each Parliamentary Standing Committees, social elites, renowned economists, economic association, trade bodies, print-electronic media and journalists, secretaries of ministries/divisions, NGO leaders, 3 TV channels and Economic Reporters Forum. I not only met the farmers of southern Sylhet at the initiative of Independence Award winning Channel I TV presenter Shykh Seraj but also received valuable written recommendations from the programme "Agriculture Budget, Farmers Budget". All these reinforced my attempt to understand public opinion on national budget. NBR and FBCCI held special discussion in a conference organised by them."
Finance Minister in the Budget Speech 2018-19, P.6
https://mof.gov.bd/site/page/9ea7529b-c8ef-49b5-8b8e-87ef72a2b3ec

Comment:
Finance Minister's meeting with farmers, physically challenged people, ethnic minority to have their views regarding problems and prospects of agriculture. local consultations (for example, meeting with farmers) are open to all, anyone can participate.

The meeting with farmers is organized in associated with the local administration, and is fully open to all. Farmers in Bangladesh are a particularly vulnerable group, with higher rates of poverty.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
Comments: Please see my response to Question 125.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you for the Peer Reviewer's response. During an IBP consistency check, the score for this question is revised from A to B. The meetings held by the Minister are an important step towards inclusion, but do not represent a robust and systematic effort of the government to make sure that the consultations reflect the voices of vulnerable and disadvantaged groups. There does appear to be an effort made on behalf of civil society to collect the views of farmers. For example, see the efforts of the media in collecting budget-related proposals from farmers (see www.shykseraj.com) and the "Farmers voice in budget" videos. However, these efforts were done on behalf of civil society, not the finance ministry. While the minister also mentions the engagement in the budget speech, there also is not any clear reaction or use of the inputs received from the farmers groups. For these reasons, the score is revised from A to B.

127. During the budget formulation stage, which of the following key topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Timeliness”. Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the formulation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics – and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive’s engagement with the public during budget formulation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above – for the purpose of answering this question, “key topics” are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the executive’s engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., “what issues is the public invited to engage on?”) and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b. The executive’s engagement with citizens covers at least three (but less than six) of the above-mentioned topics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source:
- https://www.thedailystar.net/business/outline-private-sector-pension-next-budget-muhith-1527742

Comment:
Topics covered, as noted in the links above, macroeconomic issues, revenue policies, and social spending.

These topics are discussed in a series of pre-budget consultations are held during the budget formulation stage with the legislatures, economists, business associations leaders, economic reporters, farmers and other civil society groups etc. covering most of the topics mentioned above.

There is no written agenda that is issued before the meetings, however.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: However, engagement of the Finance Minister and Chairman of NBR with citizens, not the executive as the entity.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response
Finance Minister and Chairman of National Board of Revenue (NBR) discuss with various citizens groups on behalf of the government.

128. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles on “Inclusiveness” and “Timeliness” and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of “involvement” (option “a” in the responses) and “consultation” (option “b”). See:

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget. If the executive has designated a central coordinating agency to implement participation mechanisms throughout the national budget process, researchers may consider these mechanisms. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government’s efforts to incorporate citizens’ input into the implementation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenue administration, public service delivery, public investment project implementation, including procurement, and the administration of social transfer schemes.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to
support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings, online, deliberative exchanges, procurement complaint mechanisms, and social monitoring and dialogue.

Answer “b” applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input on the implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include public hearings, surveys, focus groups, report cards, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer “c” applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to provide input on budget implementation, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured, happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options “a” and “b” apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice “c”, the researcher must have evidence that the government is holding participation mechanisms that have some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples include hotlines, Facebook announcements, one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a “c” response.

Answer “d” applies if the requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a “c” response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

Though the executive doesn’t use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget but at the local level there is a monthly coordinating committee meeting at the Upazila level discuss about the implementation of different activities.

However, since the Upazila level is a local-level mechanism that doesn’t directly involve the Ministry of Finance at the central level, it is not considered for this question.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

129. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of “Inclusiveness”, and examines the executive’s effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on national government’s efforts to obtain input from members of the public who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes during the implementation of the annual budget.

To answer “a,” the executive must actively seek out individuals from vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organizations representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government’s efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government officials, and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives from vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer “b” applies if the national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.
130. During the implementation of the annual budget, which of the following topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Changes in macroeconomic circumstances
2. Delivery of public services
3. Collection of revenue
4. Implementation of social spending
5. Changes in deficit and debt levels
6. Implementation of public investment projects

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the implementation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget implementation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be ONLY the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:
Since the response to Q128 is D, the response to this question is also D.

While the local-level mechanisms are an important participatory mechanism, this question looks at engagement of the central-level executive (Ministry of Finance) with the public on the budget process.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
131. When the executive engages with the public, does it provide comprehensive prior information on the process of the engagement, so that the public can participate in an informed manner?

Comprehensive information must include at least three of the following elements:

1. Purpose
2. Scope
3. Constraints
4. Intended outcomes
5. Process and timeline

GUIDELINES:
This question relates to the GIFT principle of “Openness,” and addresses whether the executive provides relevant information on the process of the engagement before public participation takes place, in order to help citizens engage effectively. The question addresses whether the “rules of the public engagement” are clearly spelled out, in advance and in detail, so that those members of the public who want to engage know how to do so, in terms of when they can do so, what they are expected to provide input on, by when, to whom, etc. This question does not cover the substance of the engagement, which is covered by questions 127 and 130.

Non-comprehensive information means that the government provides information that includes at least one but less than three of the elements listed above.

Purpose refers to a brief explanation of why the public engagement is being undertaken, including the executive’s objectives for its engagement with the public.

Scope refers to what is within the subject matter of the engagement as well as what is outside the subject matter of the engagement. For example, the scope may include how a current policy is administered but exclude the specifics of the policies themselves.

Constraints refers to whether there are any explicit limitations on the engagement. An example of a constraint could be that any policy changes must not cost (or forgo revenue) more than a specific amount or have no net fiscal cost.

Intended outcomes refers to what the executive hopes to achieve as a result of the engagement. Examples of intended outcomes could be revising a policy to better reflect citizen or service-user views or to improve the way in which a particular program is administered.

Process refers to the methods by which the public engagement will take place and the discrete steps in the process. For example, the process may simply be a one-off Internet-based consultation, with a summary published of public inputs and the official response. The process may involve simultaneous or overlapping steps, and may be conducted in one round or in two or more rounds of engagement.

Timeline refers to the specific dates on which the discrete steps in the process will take place, or during which they will be completed, and clear start and end dates for the overall engagement.

Answer “d” applies if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage.

Answer:
c. Information is provided in a timely manner in both or one of the two phases, but it is not comprehensive.

Source:

Comment:
During the budget consultations (as assessed in Q125) some information is provided to the public about the consultation meetings held by the ministry of finance, however it is not broadly available to all citizens or comprehensive.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

132. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive provide the public with feedback on how citizens’ inputs have been used in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Sustainability”, and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used in the formulation of the annual budget, and how/why.

Answer:
By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used.

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:
d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:
Though a series of pre-budget consultations are held during the formulation of annual budget but the executive doesn’t provide a written record which includes neither the list of the inputs received nor a report or summary on how they are used.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

133. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive provide the public with information on how citizens’ inputs have been used to assist in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received during the implementation of the annual budget, which ones are taken into account to improve budget monitoring, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs received (e.g., a written transcript) from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were or were not taken into account by the executive during budget monitoring.
Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:
d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:
Executive doesn’t provide a written record which includes neither the list of the inputs received nor a report or summary on how they are used in monitoring the annual budget.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

134. Are participation mechanisms incorporated into the timetable for formulating the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles on “Sustainability,” “Timeliness” and “Complementarity” and addresses whether the executive is able to link participation mechanisms to the administrative processes that are used to create the annual budget.

Please note that "timetable" refers to a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget’s formulation. This document is sometimes referred to as the budget calendar and is the same document referenced in Question 53.

Answer "a" applies if the national executive establishes a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget. For answer choice "a", the timetable must be available to the public prior to the budget preparation process beginning.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not establish a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation stage.

Answer:
b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:
https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/f1268eb5-729e-4ae5-88ed-194f3bc1f050/Call-Circular-2

Comment:
There is no instructions given in the Budget Call Circular 1 and 2 related to timetable of budget to engage the citizen participation while formulating the executive budget proposal.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: It is because the pre-budget engagements of the Finance Minister and Chairman of NBR are not compulsions as formal steps of the budget formulation.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
135. Do one or more line ministries use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES

While questions 125 – 135 focus only on participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency currently use to allow the public to participate in the national budget process, this question asks about participation mechanisms used by line ministries to allow the public to participate in national budget processes. Thus, participation mechanisms used by the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by a line ministry or if multiple line ministries use participation mechanisms, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government’s efforts to incorporate citizens’ input into the formulation and/or implementation of the annual budget.

This question reflects the GIFT principles on “Inclusiveness” and “Timeliness” and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of “involvement” (option “a” in the responses) and “consultation” (option “b”). See:

To answer “a,” a line ministry must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer “b” applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which a line ministry seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer “c” applies if a line ministry has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) A line ministry consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the line ministry determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options “a” and “b” apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice “c”, there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a “c” response.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a “c” response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

Answer:

c. Yes, at least one line ministry uses participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:

Comment:

There are committees at the local level are mainly composed of locally-elected officials, and these elections are required by a series of laws. These local governments, and the committees, are under the oversight of the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives (MLGRD&C), which also coordinates the different central ministry involvement on the committees.

This Ministry has divisions that support the planning and project implementation of the projects at the local level, and a monitoring wing that collects data from each of the local governments.

These local committees are also involved in monitoring the implementation of projects from other line ministries, and include citizen (CSO) representation as part of their membership, however participation is limited to these representatives and is not open to everyone.
Every month Upzalia Nirbahi Officer (UNO), local administrative unit of the central government, discuss the progress of the activities during monthly coordination meeting where local government representatives (elected by the citizen at the local level) and other line ministry officials can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget.

**Peer Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**
Opinion: Agree

**IBP Comment**
IBP confirms a C response for this question. These local committees are under the oversight of the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives (MLGRD&C), which also coordinates the different central ministry involvement on the committees. This Ministry has divisions that support the planning and project implementation of the projects from the ADB block grants, and a monitoring wing that collects data from each of the local governments. The Ministry of Finance is only involved in the allocation of the block grants, that are transferred to the local governments. That said, these local committees are also involved in monitoring the implementation of projects from other line ministries, and not just the block grant projects, and representatives from central government departments are present as non-voting members on the committees. See: http://www.gsdrc.org/docs/open/pf11.pdf.

136. Does the legislature or the relevant legislative committee(s) hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) has put in place and is using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the annual budget. This includes deliberations during the pre-budget phase (i.e., when the executive is still in the process of formulating the draft budget) and the budget discussions after the budget has been tabled to parliament and before it is approved. In the comment box, please specify during which stage of the budget cycle the legislature has put in place a public participation mechanism.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual Members of Parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

If there is more than one mechanism, please select a mechanism that best shows/reflects the legislature’s efforts to incorporate citizens into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenues, policy selection, and macro-fiscal planning (please note that the issue of coverage is covered in a subsequent question).

To answer "a," the legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

**Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:**
- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs; but
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

**Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:**
- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, but
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)

**Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget**

**Answer:**
c. Yes, public hearings are held. No testimony from the public is provided during the public hearings, and there are no other mechanisms through which public contributions are received, but the legislature invites specific individuals or groups to testify or provide input (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:

Comment:
Public hearings are often held by the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Ministry of Finance during formulation of the budget.


Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: I personally attended one pre-budget public hearing arranged by the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Ministry of Finance in the parliament premises. Free and interactive discussions take place in the hearing, and proposals are placed by the participants on the upcoming budget.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
IBP confirms the researcher's response of C. Please also see Parliament's guidelines that note that the select committee can invite experts to testify: (http://www.parliament.gov.bd/index.php/bn/parliamentary-business/2013-03-08-02-53-43/2014-06-17-07-26-54)

137. During the legislative deliberations on the annual budget (pre-budget or approval stages), which of the following key topics does the legislature's (or relevant legislative budget committee) engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Timeliness”. Please consider the range of mechanisms currently used by the legislature to promote public participation during legislative deliberations on the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics, for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the legislature's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer “d” applies if the requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

Answer: c. The legislature seeks input on at least one (but less than three) of the above-mentioned topics.

Source:

Comment:
The legislature seeks input on at least one (but less than three) of the above-mentioned topics particularly at the pre-budget stage. Parliamentary standing committee on Ministry of Finance is holding meetings with various citizen groups to discuss some of the issues mentioned in question 137 but the meeting minutes are not available.
138. Does the legislature provide feedback to the public on how citizens' inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question reflects the GIFT principles of “Transparency” and “Sustainability”, and examines the extent to which the legislature provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received and how inputs were used during legislative deliberations (please note that these deliberations can refer to the pre-budget and approval phases). By “written record” in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the legislature.

Answer “a” applies when the legislature provides a written document with:
- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

Answer “b” applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used in legislative deliberations on the annual budget (please note that these deliberations refer to the pre-budget and approval phases).

Answer “c” applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer “d” applies if the requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

**Answer:**
d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

**Comment:**
The legislature doesn't provide a written record which includes neither the list of the inputs received nor a report or summary on how they were used.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

139. Does the legislature hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report?

**GUIDELINES:**
This question reflects the GIFT principle on “Sustainability,” “Transparency,” and “Complementarity” and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

A key constitutional role of the legislature in almost all countries is to oversee the government’s management of public resources. While the Supreme Audit Institution is responsible for checking the government’s accounts and publishing the outcome of their audits, for accountability purposes it is essential that the legislature reviews and scrutinizes those reports, and checks on whether the executive is taking the appropriate corrective actions based on the Supreme Audit Institution’s recommendations.
Holding public hearings to review audit findings allows the public to learn more about how the government has managed its resources for the budget years that have ended, and demand accountability in case of mismanagement and irregularities. Reviewing and discussing those reports in public is therefore a key responsibility of a legislature.

Please note that by “Audit Report” we refer to the same audit report assessed in the transparency section of this Survey, i.e., one of the eight key budget documents that all governments (in this case, the Supreme Audit Institution) must produce, according to best practice.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) have put in place and using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the Audit Report.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual members of parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

To answer “a,” the national legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer “b” should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public; BUT
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer “c” should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, BUT
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)

Answer “d” applies if the requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:
Legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.

PAC often organize public hearings with the concerned stakeholders and give press briefings thereafter. However, as the Audited Financial Statements are released with significant delay, this score remains D.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

140. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI’s audit program (for example, by bringing ideas on agencies, programs, or projects that could be audited)?

GUIDELINES:
This question assesses whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program. When deciding its audit agenda, the SAI may undertake audits for a sample of agencies, projects, and programs in the country, and such a selection could be based on complaints and suggestions made by members of the public. To receive such suggestions, the SAI may create formal mechanisms, like setting up a website, hotline, or office (or assigning staff to liaise with the public).
141. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) provide the public with feedback on how citizens’ inputs have been used to determine its audit program?

**GUIDELINES:**

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the Supreme Audit Institution provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used to determine the Supreme Audit Institution’s audit program. By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the Supreme Audit Institution.

Answer "a" applies when the Supreme Audit Institution provides a written document with:
- The inputs received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

Answer "b" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:
- The inputs received from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used to determine the SAI’s annual audit program.

Answer "c" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:
- The received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI’s audit program.

**Answer:**

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

Comment:
The SAI doesn't maintain any formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in its audit program

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree
142. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents, witnesses, etc.)?

GUIDELINES: This question mirrors question 140, but instead of covering public assistance in formulating the SAI’s audit program, it focuses on whether the Supreme Audit Institution has established mechanisms through which the public can participate in audit investigations. In addition to seeking public input to determine its audit agenda, the SAI may wish to provide formal opportunities for the public and civil society organizations to participate in the actual audit investigations, as witnesses or respondents.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:
The SAI doesn’t maintain any formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents, witnesses, etc.).

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree