

Open Budget Survey 2019

Questionnaire

Cambodia

April 2020

Country Questionnaire: Cambodia

PBS-1. What is the fiscal year of the PBS evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2019

Source:

Law on Public Finance System 2008: Article 11 (Budget fiscal year starts from 1 January and ends on 31 December).
Article 39 of Law on Public Finance System 2008, Budget Strategic Planning is prepared during March to May, Budget Package is prepared during June to September, and Budget Approval during October to December by the Ministry of Economy and Finance.

Comment:

According to article 39 of Law on Public System 2008, Budget Strategic Planning is during March to May, the Ministry of Economy and Finance of the preparation of Budget Strategic Planning

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-2. When is the PBS made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for a PBS to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration. If the PBS is not released to the public at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the PBS.

Answer:

a. At least four months in advance of the budget year, and at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is introduced in the legislature

Source:

By June 11, 2018, the circular on the preparation of the budget law 2019 was published on the website of General Department of Budget (<http://gdb.mef.gov.kh>)

Comment:

Normally from January to March, the Ministry of Economy and Finance conducts the public forum on the Macro Economic, driven on the budget planning process and those should publish at any website of the government.

Some budget publications are now available at official Facebook page of Ministry of Economy and Finance.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The circular on the budget law has also published within a quarter on another website (<https://mef.gov.kh/laws-and-regulation/budget.html>) after approval.

PBS-3a. If the PBS is published, what is the date of publication of the PBS?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
11/06/2018

Source:
<http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/index.php?page=front>

Comment:
The circular on the preparation budget law for 2019 published at website of General Department of Budget of MEF on 11 June 2018.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: The circular on the budget law has also published within a quarter on another website (<https://mef.gov.kh/laws-and-regulation/budget.html>) after approval.

PBS-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the PBS.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
The PDF file has been published on 11 June 2018 at the website of General Department of Budget of the Ministry of Economy and Finance

Source:
<http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/>
https://docs.google.com/a/servingweb.com/viewer?url=http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

PBS-4. If the PBS is published, what is the URL or weblink of the PBS?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Source:

[http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?](http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXIZmYm50XmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR)

[file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXIZmYm50XmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR](http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXIZmYm50XmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR)

Comment:

Javascript confirmed a publication date of June 11, 2018

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-5. If the PBS is published, are the numerical data contained in the PBS available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the PBS is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

[http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?](http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXIZmYm50XmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR)

[file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXIZmYm50XmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR](http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXIZmYm50XmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR)

Comment:

Only PDF file is available

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Comments: Basically, we never publish documents or any data as Comma-Separated Values, Microsoft Excel, and JavaScript Object Notation on the website. Only PDF is available.

IBP Comment

Thank you for the Government Reviewers comment. For this question, it asks about these CSV, Excel and JSOR and other machine-readable formats. As only the PDFs are available, the score is confirmed as C.

PBS-6a. If the PBS is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the PBS is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question PBS-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question PBS-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

[http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?](http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXlZmYm5OXmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR)

[file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXlZmYm5OXmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR](http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/download.php?file=&field_name=IJGYIZGTm5KXlZmYm5OXmpjBmpGWm6TL1cTXz8LUwtDQwqPXx8jH18Cy1cbSxNPD18rR0Y6Uk5KbkdHGyQ==&place=zNXH0NSR)

Comment:

The contents of circular for budget preparation 2019 included: 1- the situation of the macro economic framework of Cambodia in 2019 including the expected growth rate for the major revenue sector 2- Policy framework for the Public financial for management 2019 including the revenue mobilization plan and expected expenditure as well as the strategies for effectiveness of expenditure 3- Policy and technical process for the budget planning in 2019 through the program base budgeting.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question PBS-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

PBS-7. If the PBS is produced, please write the full title of the PBS.

For example, a title for the Pre-Budget Statement could be "Proposed 2019 State Budget" or "Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Plan and Budget for 2018/19."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

circular on Budget preparation of public finance for management 2019

Source:
https://docs.google.com/a/servingweb.com/viewer?url=http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: it should be translated from Khmer as " Circular on the preparation of draft law on financial management 2019"

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the PBS?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

There is no citizens version of the PBS.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: We only produce budget in brief.

EBP-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EBP evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2019

Source:

According to the Law on Public Finance System (2008), EBP is prepared by the Ministry of Economy and Finance.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EBP-1b. When is the EBP submitted to the legislature for consideration?

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
29/10/2018

Source:
<https://www.phnompenhpost.com/national/pm-approves-2019-budget>
http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2018-10/26/c_137560886.htm
<https://www.facebook.com/671717862924355/posts/1922424587853670/>
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:
There is no source available at Ministry of Economy and Finance's website and National Assembly's website on the date which EBP submitted to the legislature. However, according to local news including Phnom Penh Post, Xinhuanet and the Facebook page and website of MEF, the draft budget 2019 will be sent to the National Assembly during the week of 26 October to 2 November 2018 for approval.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Comments: the draft law should be sent to the National Assembly on or after 26 October, and before 15 November 2018. The law was approved by NA on 15 Nov, and by the National Senate on 29 November 2018 (<http://www.national-assembly.org.kh/group-law/114>) (accessed on 17 July)

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EBP-2. When is the EBP made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EBP to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public while the legislature is still considering it and before the legislature approves (enacts) it. If the EBP is not released to the public before the legislature approves it, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EBP.

The OBS definition of an Executive's Budget Proposal is a document(s) that (i) the executive submits to the legislature as a formal part of the budget approval process and (ii) the legislature either approves or on which it approves proposed amendments.

The OBS will treat the Executive's Budget Proposal as "Not Produced," in the following cases:

- *The executive does not submit the draft budget to the legislature; or*
- *The legislature receives the draft budget but does not approve it or does not approve recommendations on the draft budget;*
- *The legislature rejects the draft budget submitted by the executive, but the executive implements it without legislative approval; or*
- *There is no legislature, or the legislature has been dissolved.*

Answer:
c. Less than two months in advance of the budget year, but at least in advance of the budget being approved by the legislature

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

<https://www.facebook.com/ministry.economy.finance/photos/pcb.1922424587853670/1922424417853687/?type=3&theater>

Comment:

The executive summary consisted of 17 pages document of the EBP 2019 uploaded at the official website on 26 October 2018 and Facebook page of MEF on 27 October 2018, which is less than one month before the approval date on 15 November 2018 by the National Assembly of Cambodia.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-3a. If the EBP is published, what is the date of publication of the EBP?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their date of publication.

Answer:

27/10/2018 27/10/2018

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

<https://www.facebook.com/ministry.economy.finance/photos/pcb.1922424587853670/1922424417853687/?type=3&theater>

Comment:

The executive summary of EBP 2019 is publicly available at MEF's website on 26 October 2018 and MEF's Facebook page on 27 October 2018 which is about less than one month ahead of approval date on 15 November 2018 by the National Assembly of Cambodia.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EBP.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

the executive summary of PDF file described by Java on the same date with date shown on MEF's website as 10/26/2018 11:27:53

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

<https://www.facebook.com/ministry.economy.finance/photos/pcb.1922424587853670/1922423854520410/?type=3&theater>

Comment:

The formal full version document of EBP is not published. The executive summary only is public available at both MEF's website and Facebook page.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: the full version is later available on the National Assembly website: <http://www.national-assembly.org.kh/group-law/114>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-4. If the EBP is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EBP?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their URL or weblink.

Answer:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

<https://www.facebook.com/671717862924355/posts/1922424587853670/>

Comment:

This is just the executive summary of EBP only which is available at MEF's website on 26 October 2018 and MEF's Facebook page on 27 October 2018

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: agree, the links are still active as of 15 July 2019

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-5. If the EBP is published, are the numerical data contained in the EBP or its supporting documents available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

Option "d" applies if the EBP is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

<https://www.facebook.com/671717862924355/posts/1922424587853670/>

Comment:

The publication is made into the PDF and photos of document and posted on the website and Facebook page of MEF.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-6a. If the EBP is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EBP is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EBP-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EBP-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf
<https://www.facebook.com/671717862924355/posts/1922424587853670/>

Comment:

The executive summary is not the full version document of EBP 2019. With executive summary MEF made it available at MEF's website on 26 October 2018 and at MEF's Facebook page on 27 October 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: these links are still active as of 17 July 2019

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EBP-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EBP was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EBP-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

With JavaScript to alert the date of document publicly available, we seen the date of posting on MEF's website is 10/26/2018 11:27:53

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf
<https://www.facebook.com/671717862924355/posts/1922424587853670/>

Comment:

EBP 2019 as seen in the website and Facebook page of MEF as executive summary consisted of 17 pages and PDF and photos documents. This is not the full version document of EBP 2019, so it should be the internal use document of MEF.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: This answer contradicts to your response to EBP-6a. It is considered published. It should be marked as "N/A" for this answer.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: EBP 2019 as seen in the website and Facebook page of MEF as executive summary consisted of 17 pages and PDF and photos documents. This document is for public use.

IBP Comment

Thank you for the clarifying comments from the PR and GR. These answers are correct, in that there is part of the EBP that is publicly available before the budget is approved. However, as this is the Executive Summary, the rest of the budget proposal, including the detailed supporting documents, are not released and are not considered for answering Section 2 of the OBS.

EBP-7. If the EBP is produced, please write the full title of the EBP.

For example, a title for the Executive's Budget Proposal could be "Draft Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for BY 2018-19, produced by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development."

If there are any supporting documents to the EBP, please enter their full titles in the comment box below.

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Executive Summary of the draft law on Finance for Management 2019 Draft law on Finance for Management 2019

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

<https://www.facebook.com/671717862924355/posts/1922424587853670/>

Comment:

The PDF and photos file of the executive summary of EBP 2019 are descriptive in Cambodian language and can be translated as "executive summary of the draft law on Finance for Management 2019"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: it should be "Executive Summary of the draft law on Financial Management 2019"

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EBP?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

The Citizens version of EBP is not available.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: We only produce an executive summary of EBP. It was designed with feature that easy for everyone to understand.

EB-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY2018

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-1b. When was the EB approved (enacted) by the legislature?

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

17/11/2017

Source:

<https://www.khmertimeskh.com/91070/na-approves-national-budget-2018/>
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Date of the EB approval highlighted in the budget law 2018 document as well as in the news of Khmer Times.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-2. When is the EB made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EB to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public three months after the budget is approved by the legislature. If the EB is not released to the public at least three months after the budget is approved by the legislature, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EB.

Answer:

b. Between two weeks and six weeks after the budget has been enacted

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

The Enacted Budget 2018 or Law on Finance for Management 2018 or Budget Law 2018 made available to public at the website of MEF on 19 January 2018 after promulgated by the King on 09 December 2017, which is between two weeks and six week after the budget has been approved.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-3a. If the EB is published, what is the date of publication of the EB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

19/1/2018

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

The EB was promulgated by the King on 09 December 2017 and made available at the MEF's website on 19 January 2018

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

The EB was promulgated by the King on 09 December 2017 and made available at the MEF's website on 19 January 2018

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-4. If the EB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-5. If the EB is published, are the numerical data contained in the EB available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the EB is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Data and content of the EB found as PDF file which is not machine readable format.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Comments: As commented on the another question, we never post it as other file besides PDF file. We would like to prefer D not rather than C.

IBP Comment

Thank you for the comment from the Government Reviewer. As per OBS methodology, when information is only available in PDF format, the response to this question is C. The researcher's response is confirmed.

EB-6a. If the EB is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EB is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EB-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EB-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EB-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EB-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-7. If the EB is produced, please write the full title of the EB.

For example, a title for the Enacted Budget could be "Appropriation Act n. 10 of 2018."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Law on Financial Management 2018

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

The full title of EB in Cambodia is written as Cambodian language and can be translated as "Law on Finance for Management 2018 or National Budget Law 2018"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: it should be translated as "Law on Financial Management 2018"

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Thank you to the peer reviewer for this correction to the translation of the document's title.

EB-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EB?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:

Published January 11, 2018

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf (the link is still active)

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: We produced a book of budget in brief for each fiscal year with an easiness to understanding manner.

CB-1. What is the fiscal year of the CB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for each CB please indicate the document the CB simplifies/refers to, and the fiscal year.

Answer:
FY2018

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:
The CB is descriptive in Cambodian language as Budget in Brief for fiscal year 2018.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-2a. For the fiscal year indicated in CB-1, what is the public availability status of the CB?

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document (Executive's Budget Proposal or Enacted Budget) you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its public availability status.

Remember that publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology
Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

Answer:
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:
The CB is publicly available on MEF website on 11 January 2018

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf (still active as of 17 July 2019)

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-2b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question CB-2a, please specify how you determined whether the CB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question CB-2a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

CB-3a. If the CB is published, what is the date of publication of the CB?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.
Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its dates of publication.

Answer:
11/1/2018

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:
The date was verified by Javascript

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: We posted it in the website and the book of budget in brief is published.

CB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the CB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
The CB document is verify by Java Script and say the same date as publication on 11 January 2018

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:
The date of 11 January 2018 verified by Javascript

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: I agree and there is no objection with the Javascript.

CB-4. If the CB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the CB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its URL or weblink.

Answer:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-5. If the CB is produced, please write the full title of the CB.

For example, a title for the Citizens Budget could be "Budget 2018 People's Guide" or "2019 Proposed Budget in Brief: A People's Budget Publication."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for the other CB, indicate the document the CB refers to and, next to it, its full title.

Answer:
Budget in Brief for Fiscal Year 2018

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: the brief has subtitle in English as quoted by the researcher

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-6. If the CB is produced, please indicate which budget document it corresponds to.

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and which budget document it simplifies.

Answer:

Budget in brief for 2018 is simplifies for Enacted Budget 2018

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Budget in Brief for Fiscal year 2018.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-1. What is the fiscal year of the IYRs evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY2017-FY2018

Source:

[Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf), http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf

[Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf), http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf

[Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf), http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf

[Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf), http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf

[Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf), http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf

[Flash_Report_September_201809_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf), http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

[flash_report_october_november_2018_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf),

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

[Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf),

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf

[Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf), http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf

[Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf), http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

[Tofe_on finance and economy operation](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf), <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

[Flash Report for Sep-Oct 2017, December 04, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201709-10_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201709-10_KH.pdf

[Flash_report for Aug and Sept 2017, November 06, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201708-09_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201708-09_KH.pdf

[Flash_report for July and Aug 2017, October 03, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201707-08_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201707-08_KH.pdf

[Flash_report for June and July 2017, August 30, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201706-07_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201706-07_KH.pdf

[Flash_report for May and June 2017, August 02, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201705-06_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201705-06_KH.pdf

[Flash_report for Apr and May 2017, June 28, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201704-05_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201704-05_KH.pdf

[Flash_report for Mar and Apr 2017, June 08, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201703_Kh.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201703_Kh.pdf

[Flash_report for Feb and Mar 2017, May 02, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_20170203_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_20170203_KH.pdf

[Flash_report for Jan and Feb 2017, April 04, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_january_february_2017.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_january_february_2017.pdf

[Flash_report for Dec 2016 and Jan 2017, March 01, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_201612~201701_kh.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_201612~201701_kh.pdf

[Bulletin_Q4, February 28, 2018](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q4_2017_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q4_2017_KH.pdf

[Bulletin_Q3, December 19, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_2017_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_2017_KH.pdf

[Bulletin_Q2, October 31, 2017](http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_2017_KH.pdf)

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_Aug_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q1, October 30, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q1_May_2017_KH.pdf
TOFE for December 2017, February 08, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Dec-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for November 2017, January 08, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Nov-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for October 2017, December 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Oct-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for September 2017 November 01, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Sept-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for August 2017, October 03, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for July 2017, September 06, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July%202017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for June 2017, August 04, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for May 2017, July 10, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for April 2017, June 08, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for March 2017, May 08, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for February 2017, April 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-February-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for January 2017, April 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-January-2017-KH.pdf>

Comment:

IYRs publicly available as Flash Report "social economy trend" produced bi-monthly, Bulletin on "Economy and Finance statistic" produced by quarterly and the TOFE on "Finance and Economy Operation" produced by monthly.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Each of the flash report on socio-economic trends shows a snapshot of salary related expenditures, whereas TOFE shows the details of the expenditure aggregated by ministries.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-2. When are the IYRs made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the IYRs.

Answer:

c. At least every quarter, and within three months of the period covered

Source:

Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH, April 23, 2018, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH, June 13, 2018, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH, July 23, 2018, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH, September 12, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH, October 16, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_September_201809_KH, November 29, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf
flash_report_october_november_2018_KH, January 03, 2019,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH, June 26, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH, November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH, November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018, December 19, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018, November 29, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-September-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018, October 04, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018, September 14, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018, August 22, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018, July 09, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018, June 13, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018, May 17, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018, March 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Jan-Feb-2018-KH.pdf>

Flash Report for Sep-Oct 2017, December 04, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201709-10_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Aug and Sept 2017, November 06, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201708-09_KH.pdf
Flash_report for July and Aug 2017, October 03, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201707-08_KH.pdf
Flash_report for June and July 2017, August 30, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201706-07_KH.pdf
Flash_report for May and June 2017, August 02, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201705-06_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Apr and May 2017, June 28, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201704-05_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Mar and Apr 2017, June 08, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201703_Kh.pdf
Flash_report for Feb and Mar 2017, May 02, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_20170203_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Jan and Feb 2017, April 04, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_january_february_2017.pdf
Flash_report for Dec 2016 and Jan 2017, March 01, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_201612~201701_kh.pdf
Bulletin_Q4, February 28, 2018
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q4_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q3, December 19, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q2, October 31, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_Aug_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q1, October 30, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q1_May_2017_KH.pdf
TOFE for December 2017, February 08, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Dec-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for November 2017, January 08, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Nov-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for October 2017, December 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Oct-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for September 2017 November 01, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Sept-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for August 2017, October 03, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for July 2017, September 06, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July%202017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for June 2017, August 04, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for May 2017, July 10, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for April 2017, June 08, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2017-KH.pdf>

TOFE for March 2017, May 08, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for February 2017, April 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-February-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for January 2017, April 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-January-2017-KH.pdf>

Comment:
IYRs are publicly available as:

Flash Report - Published every two months, 8 of 8 reports in the previous year published online, but more than 1 month after the end of the reporting period.

Economic and Finance Statistics Bulletin - 3/4 quarterly reports were published online on-time, but more than 1 month after the reporting period, as of September 2018.

TOFE reports - 11/12 reports assessed were published online on-time, but more than 1 month after the reporting period, between October 2017-September 2018.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-3a. If the IYRs are published, what are the dates of publication of the IYRs?

Specifically: if quarterly In-Year Reports are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least three of the last four IYRs that were publicly available. If monthly IYRs are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least seven of the last 12 IYRs that were publicly available.

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD Month YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05 September 2018. If the document is not published or not produced, please mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

The monthly TOFE report for October 2018 was publicly available online on 18 December 2018 at:
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

The Flash report for September 2018 was publicly available online on 28 November 2018 at:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

The quarterly report for September 2018 was publicly available online on 15 November 2018 at:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH_published on 23 April 2018,

Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH_published on 13 June 2018,
Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH_published on 23 July 2018,
Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH_published on 12 September 2018,
Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH_published on 16 October 2018,
Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_published on 29 November 2018,
flash_report_october_november_2018_KH_published on 03 January 2019,
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH_published on 26 June 2018,
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH_published on 15 November 2018,
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_published on 15 November 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_published on 19 December 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018_published on 29 November 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018_published on 04 October 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018_published on 14 September 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018_published on 22 August 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018_published on 09 July 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018_published on 13 June 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018_published on 17 May 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018_published on 29 March 2018,

Source:

For the TOFE reports, 11/12 reports assessed were published online on-time, but more than 1 month after the reporting period, between October 2017- September 2018:

The monthly report for October 2018 was publicly available online on 18 December 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

The monthly report for September 2018 was publicly available online on 28 November 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-September-2018-KH.pdf>

The monthly report for August 2018 was publicly available online on 4 October 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2018-KH.pdf>

The monthly report for July 2018 was publicly available online on 14 September 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July-2018-KH.pdf>

The monthly report for June 2018 was publicly available online on 21 August 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2018-KH.pdf>

The monthly report for May 2018 was publicly available online on 8 July 2018 at: <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2018-KH.pdf>

The monthly report for April 2018 was publicly available online on 12 June 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2018-KH.pdf>

The monthly report for March 2018 was publicly available online on 17 May 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2018-KH.pdf>

The report for January and February 2018 was publicly available online on 28 March 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Jan-Feb-2018-KH.pdf>

A separate report for January 2018 was not published, however.

The monthly report for December 2017 was publicly available online on 7 February 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Dec-2017-KH.pdf>

The monthly report for November 2017 was publicly available online on 7 January 2018 at:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Nov-2017-KH.pdf>

For the Flash Report - Socioeconomic Trends, this is produced on a bi-monthly basis with 8/8 reports published on-time, but more than 1 month after the reporting period, between September 2017-2018.

The Flash report for September 2018 was publicly available online on 28 November 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809-KH.pdf

The Flash report for June-July 2018 was publicly available online on 16 October 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07-KH.pdf

The Flash report for May-June 2018 was publicly available online on 22 July 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06-KH.pdf

The Flash report for March-April 2018 was publicly available online on 13 June 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04-KH.pdf

The Flash report for January-February 2018 was publicly available online on 22 April 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02-KH.pdf

The Flash report for November-December 2017 was publicly available online on 15 February 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201711-12-KH.pdf

The Flash report for October-November 2017 was publicly available online on 8 January 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201710-11-KH.pdf

The Flash report for September-October 2017 was publicly available online on 3 December 2017 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201709-10-KH.pdf

For the Economic and Finance Statistics Bulletin - 3/4 quarterly reports were published online on-time, but more than 1 month after the reporting period, as of September 2018:

The quarterly report for September 2018 was publicly available online on 15 November 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

The quarterly report for June 2018 was published late on 15 November 2018

at:http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf

The quarterly report for March 2018 was publicly available online on 25 June 2018 at:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf

The quarterly report for December 2017 was publicly available online on 27 February 2018 at: <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents>

Flash Report for Sep-Oct 2017, December 04, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201709-10-KH.pdf

Flash_report for Aug and Sept 2017, November 06, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201708-09-KH.pdf

Flash_report for July and Aug 2017, October 03, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201707-08-KH.pdf

Flash_report for June and July 2017, August 30, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201706-07-KH.pdf

Flash_report for May and June 2017, August 02, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201705-06-KH.pdf

Flash_report for Apr and May 2017, June 28, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201704-05-KH.pdf

Flash_report for Mar and Apr 2017, June 08, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201703_Kh.pdf

Flash_report for Feb and Mar 2017, May 02, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_20170203_KH.pdf

Flash_report for Jan and Feb 2017, April 04, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_january_february_2017.pdf

Flash_report for Dec 2016 and Jan 2017, March 01, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_201612~201701_kh.pdf
Bulletin_Q4, February 28, 2018
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q4_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q3, December 19, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q2, October 31, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_Aug_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q1, October 30, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q1_May_2017_KH.pdf
TOFE for December 2017, February 08, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Dec-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for November 2017, January 08, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Nov-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for October 2017, December 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Oct-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for September 2017 November 01, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Sept-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for August 2017, October 03, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for July 2017, September 06, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July%202017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for June 2017, August 04, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for May 2017, July 10, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for April 2017, June 08, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for March 2017, May 08, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for February 2017, April 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-February-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for January 2017, April 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-January-2017-KH.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the IYRs.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Dates checked with Javascript for all PDF files Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH_published on 23 April 2018, Javascript alert as 04/23/2018 08:54:11

Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH_published on 13 June 2018, Javascript alert as 06/13/2018 11:16:30

Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH_published on 23 July 2018, Javascript alert as 07/23/2018 09:15:58

Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH_published on 12 September 2018, Javascript alert as 09/12/2018 16:42:38

Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH_published on 16 October 2018, Javascript alert as 10/16/2018 16:51:29

Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_published on 29 November 2018, Javascript alert as 11/29/2018 08:45:57

flash_report_october_november_2018_KH_published on 03 January 2019, Javascript alert as 01/03/2019 08:49:17

Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH_published on 26 June 2018, Javascript alert as 06/26/2018 09:38:07

Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH_published on 15 November 2018, Javascript alert as 11/15/2018 12:05:13

Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_published on 15 November 2018, Javascript alert as 11/15/2018 12:05:22

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_published on 19 December 2018, Javascript alert as 12/19/2018 10:32:54

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018_published on 29 November 2018, Javascript alert as 11/29/2018 08:46:25

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018_published on 04 October 2018, Javascript alert as 10/04/2018 14:34:06

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018_published on 14 September 2018, Javascript alert as 09/14/2018 11:33:14

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018_published on 22 August 2018, Javascript alert as 08/22/2018 10:40:14

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018_published on 09 July 2018, Javascript alert as 07/09/2018 08:56:01

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018_published on 13 June 2018, Javascript alert as 06/13/2018 10:59:38

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018_published on 17 May 2018, Javascript alert as 05/17/2018 15:53:42
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018_published on 29 March 2018, Javascript alert as 03/29/2018 09:31:13

Source:

Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ April 23, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 23, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 12, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 16, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 26, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ December 19, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-September-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 04, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 14, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ August 22, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 09, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ May 17, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ March 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Jan-Feb-2018-KH.pdf>

Flash Report for Sep-Oct 2017, December 04, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201709-10_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Aug and Sept 2017, November 06, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201708-09_KH.pdf
Flash_report for July and Aug 2017, October 03, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201707-08_KH.pdf
Flash_report for June and July 2017, August 30, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201706-07_KH.pdf
Flash_report for May and June 2017, August 02, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201705-06_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Apr and May 2017, June 28, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201704-05_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Mar and Apr 2017, June 08, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201703_Kh.pdf
Flash_report for Feb and Mar 2017, May 02, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_20170203_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Jan and Feb 2017, April 04, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_january_february_2017.pdf
Flash_report for Dec 2016 and Jan 2017, March 01, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_201612~201701_kh.pdf
Bulletin_Q4, February 28, 2018
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q4_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q3, December 19, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q2, October 31, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_Aug_2017_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Q1, October 30, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q1_May_2017_KH.pdf

TOFE for December 2017, February 08, 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Dec-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for November 2017, January 08, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Nov-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for October 2017, December 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Oct-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for September 2017 November 01, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Sept-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for August 2017, October 03, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for July 2017, September 06, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July%202017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for June 2017, August 04, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for May 2017, July 10, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for April 2017, June 08, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for March 2017, May 08, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for February 2017, April 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-February-2017-KH.pdf>
TOFE for January 2017, April 12, 2017
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-January-2017-KH.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No objection with Javascript tool.

IYRs-4. If the IYRs are published, what is the URL or weblink of the IYRs?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Researchers should provide the weblink to the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the weblinks to older IYRs.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/tofe/10-p.html>

Source:

Most recent IYRs:

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ December 19, 2018,

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Comment:

Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ April 23, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 23, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 12, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 16, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf

Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf
flash_report_october_november_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ January 03, 2019,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 26, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ December 19, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-September-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 04, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 14, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ August 22, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 09, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ May 17, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ March 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Jan-Feb-2018-KH.pdf>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Links are still working as of 19 July 2019

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-5. If the IYRs are published, are the numerical data contained in the IYRs available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the IYRs are not published or not produced, therefore their machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ April 23, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 23, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 12, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 16, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 26, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ December 19, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-September-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 04, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 14, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ August 22, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 09, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ May 17, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ March 29, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Jan-Feb-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:
All IYRs are in PDF format.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format
Comments: We only posted all documents in PDF file. The answer should be B.

IBP Comment
Thank you for the Government Reviewer comment. As per OBS methodology, when information is only available in PDF the score for this question is C, as PDF is not considered a machine-readable format. The researcher's original response is confirmed.

IYRs-6a. If the IYRs are not publicly available, are they still produced?

If the IYRs are not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question IYRs-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question IYRs-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ April 23, 2018, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 23, 2018, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 12, 2018, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 16, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 26, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ December 19, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-September-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 04, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 14, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ August 22, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 09, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ May 17, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ March 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Jan-Feb-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question IYRs-6a, please specify how you determined whether the IYRs were produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question IYRs-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

IYRs-7. If the IYRs are produced, please write the full title of the IYRs.

For example, a title for the In-Year Report could be "Budget Monitoring Report, Quarter 1" or "Budget Execution Report January-March 2018."

If In-Year Reports are not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Researchers should provide the full title of the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the full titles of older IYRs.

Answer:

តារាងប្រតិបត្តិការហិរញ្ញវត្ថុ និង សេដ្ឋកិច្ច Table of Government Financial Operations (TOFE)
និទ្ទាហរសេដ្ឋកិច្ចសង្គម Flash Report - Socioeconomic Trends
ប្រតិបត្តិប្រតិបត្តិសេដ្ឋកិច្ច និងហិរញ្ញវត្ថុ Quarterly Economic and Finance Statistics Bulletin

Source:

Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ April 23, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 23, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 12, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 16, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf
flash_report_october_november_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ January 03, 2019,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 26, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 15, 2018,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ December 19, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ November 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-September-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ October 04, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ September 14, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ August 22, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-June-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ July 09, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-May-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ June 13, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-April-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ May 17, 2018, <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-March-2018-KH.pdf>
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018_កាលបរិច្ឆេទ៖ March 29, 2018,
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Jan-Feb-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH_published on 23 April 2018,
Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH_published on 13 June 2018,
Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH_published on 23 July 2018,
Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH_published on 12 September 2018,
Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH_published on 16 October 2018,
Flash_Report_September_201809_KH_published on 29 November 2018,
flash_report_october_november_2018_KH_published on 03 January 2019,
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH_published on 26 June 2018,
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH_published on 15 November 2018,
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH_published on 15 November 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_October_2018_published on 19 December 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_September_2018_published on 29 November 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_August_2018_published on 04 October 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_July_2018_published on 14 September 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_June_2018_published on 22 August 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_May_2018_published on 09 July 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_April_2018_published on 13 June 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_March_2018_published on 17 May 2018,
Tofe_on finance and economy operation_Jan-Feb_2018_published on 29 March 2018,

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: TOFE: "Tableau des Opérations Financières et Economiques" (TOFE) in French, or "Table of Financial and Economic Operations" in English

Comments: Each Flash Report - Socioeconomic Trends has a small section of Budget execution which features only salary and administrative expenses, should not be considered as IYRs.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Thank you to the peer reviewer for noting that correction in the translation of the TOFE title. For the Flash reports, even as they only have limited fiscal information on expenditures, this information is released on a regular basis and is considered part of the IYRs for the OBS questions.

IYRs-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the IYRs?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

There was only one version of the IYRs.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-1. What is the fiscal year of the MYR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2018

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

MYR is available on MEF's website. This MYR 2018 consists different contents 1)Introduction of the semester 1 of 2018 implementation page#1, 2)Policy and budget principle of the law on public finance management page#1-3, 3)Result of semester 1 of 2018 implementation page#3-28, 4)Way forward for the 2018 budget implementation page#23-28 and 5)Conclusion page#28-29.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-2. When is the MYR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an MYR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends (i.e., three months after the midpoint of the fiscal year). If the MYR is not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the MYR.

Answer:

c. More than nine weeks, but less than three months, after the midpoint

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

MYR is published on September 03, 2018 on MEF's website.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-3a. If the MYR is published, what is the date of publication of the MYR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

3/9/2018 3/9/2018

Source:

Mid Year Report 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

MYR is published on the MEF's website on 03 September 2018

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the MYR.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

MYR is published on MEF's website on 03 September 2019 From the Java script alert the date show as 09/03/2018 10:43:10

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

The javascript alert says: MYR is published on 09/03/2018 10:43:10

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-4. If the MYR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the MYR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/must-see-documents.html> <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

MRY is available on MEF's website in a timely manner.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-5. If the MYR is published, are the numerical data contained in the MYR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

Option "d" applies if the MYR is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:
MYR is available on MEF's website in PDF file.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format
Comments: We would like to prefer the answer is B. We posted documents in only in PDF file.

IBP Comment
Thank you for the Government Reviewer comment. As per OBS methodology, when information is only available in PDF the score for this question is C, as PDF is not considered a machine-readable format. The researcher's original response is confirmed.

MYR-6a. If the MYR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the MYR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question MYR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question MYR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:
MYR is available on MEF's website.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question MYR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the MYR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question MYR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

MYR-7. If the MYR is produced, please write the full title of the MYR.

For example, a title for the Mid-Year Review could be "Semi-annual Budget Performance Report, FY 2017/18" or "Mid-Year Report on the 2018 National Budget."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Mid Year Report 2018 Report on the result of budget implementation in Semester 1 and the projection result on the implementation of the law of Finance for Manager 2018

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Report on the results of first quarter budget execution, evaluation and estimated results of the implementation of law on financial management 2018

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

MYR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the MYR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

There is only one version of the MYR.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

YER-1. What is the fiscal year of the YER evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY2017 FY2017

Source:

General Department of Treasury's website 17 December 2018

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

The Senate of Cambodia, January 11, 2019

<https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90%E1%9E%9C%E1%9E%B7%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7>

Comment:

YER is publicly available on treasury website as google drive on Dec 17, 2018, while publicly available on the Senate's website on January 11, 2019 and the kind signed by Dec 12, 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: but links do not work this should be the doc the researcher referring to: <http://www.national-assembly.org.kh/tv/kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=556>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Please see the Wayback Archive of the link on the General Department Treasury's website:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20190313090053/http://www.treasury.gov.kh:80/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

YER-2. When is the YER made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an YER to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than one year after the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the YER is not released to the public within one year after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the YER.

Answer:

c. More than nine months, but within 12 months, after the end of the budget year

Source:

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

<https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90%E1%9E%9C%E1%9E%B7%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7>

Comment:

The YER is made available on General Department of Treasury's website and Senate website.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: this link should be referred to <http://www.national-assembly.org.kh/tv/kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=556>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Please see the Wayback Archive of the link on the General Department Treasury's website:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20190313090053/http://www.treasury.gov.kh:80/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

YER-3a. If the YER is published, what is the date of publication of the YER?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

17/12/2018 17/12/2018

Source:

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

<https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90%E1%9E%9C%E1%9E%B7%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7>

Comment:

YER is publicly available on treasury website as google drive on Dec 17, 2018 and the king signed on Dec 12, 2018, while publicly available on the Senate's website on January 11, 2019

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: <http://www.national-assembly.org.kh/tv/kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=556>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Please see the Wayback Archive of the link on the General Department Treasury's website:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20190313090053/http://www.treasury.gov.kh:80/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

YER-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the YER.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

YER is available on General Department of Treasury's website and the Senate website. YER is available on General Department of Treasury's website but can not be verified by javascript alert as the document is upload as in google drive.

Source:

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

<https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90%E1%9E%9C%E1%9E%B7%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7>

Comment:

YER is available on General Department of Treasury's website and Senate website but can not be verified by javascript alert as the document is upload as in google drive.

The timestamp on the Google Document, however, matches the date the government has posted on the website (Dec 17, 2018). The Senate version of the document was only published in January 2019.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: the two links do not work, but I found here: <http://www.national-assembly.org.kh/tv/kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=556>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Alternative links include: <http://web.treasury.gov.kh/download-document/94> and this link <http://nac.org.kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=556> Please also see the Wayback Archive of the link on the General Department Treasury's website:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20190313090053/http://www.treasury.gov.kh:80/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

YER-4. If the YER is published, what is the URL or weblink of the YER?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

<http://nac.org.kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=556>

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Source:

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

11 Jan 2019 [https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-](https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7)

<https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7>

Comment:

YER is available on General Department of Treasury's website and the Senate website in a timely manner.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: <http://www.national-assembly.org.kh/tv/kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=556>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

Please go to this link <http://nac.org.kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=556>

IBP Comment

Please also see the Wayback Archive of the link on the General Department Treasury's website:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20190313090053/http://www.treasury.gov.kh:80/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

YER-5. If the YER is published, are the numerical data contained in the YER available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the YER is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

11 Jan 2019 [https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-](https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7)

<https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7>

Comment:

YER is available on General Department of Treasury's website and Senate's website in PDF file only.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Comments: The answer should be B. We only posted documents as a PDF file.

IBP Comment

Thank you for the Government Reviewer comment. As per OBS methodology, when information is only available in PDF the score for this question is C, as PDF is not considered a machine-readable format. The researcher's original response is confirmed.

YER-6a. If the YER is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the YER is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question YER-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question YER-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

11 Jan 2019 [https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-](https://senate.gov.kh/kh/index.php/2018-04-30-07-39-24/152680-%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B-%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8-%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90%E1%9E%9C%E1%9E%B7%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9F%85%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%84%E1%9E%86%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%B6%E1%9F%86%E1%9F%A2%E1%9F%A0%E1%9F%A1%E1%9F%A7)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question YER-6a, please specify how you determined whether the YER was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question YER-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

Year End Report 2017 is produced in one version only.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-1. What is the fiscal year of the AR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY2016

Source:

Public Audit Report on Public Finance for Management 2016

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2015_kh.pdf

Comment:

Public Audit Report on Public Finance for Management 2016 and 2015 are available at website of National Audit Authority

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Public Audit Report on Public Finance for Management 2017 is available on the website of the National Audit Authority too.

<http://www.naa.gov.kh/public-audit-report.html>

IBP Comment

Thank you to the Government Reviewer noting the availability of the 2017 Audit Report. According to Javascript verification, this report was released in February 2019, which is after the OBS research cut-off date, which means that the 2016 is the correct fiscal year to assess for this document.

AR-2. When is the AR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an AR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the AR is not released to the public at least 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the AR.

Answer:
c. More than 12 months, but within 18 months, after the end of the budget year

Source:
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Comment:
AR publicly available by 15 months after the end of budget year.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Public Audit Report 2017. <http://www.naa.gov.kh/public-audit-report.html>

AR-3a. If the AR is published, what is the date of publication of the AR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
28/3/2018

Source:
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Comment:
AR is published by 15 months after the end of the budget year.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Audit Report 2017 <http://www.naa.gov.kh/public-audit-report.html>

AR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the AR.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
The java script alert say that the publication available online by 03/28/2018 14:28:54

Source:
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: No objection with the Javascript tool.

AR-4. If the AR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the AR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Source:
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-5. If the AR is published, are the numerical data contained in the AR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the AR is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:
c. No

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: b. Yes, some of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format
Comments: As mentioned in the previous questions, the answer should be B.

IBP Comment
Thank you for the Government Reviewer comment. As per OBS methodology, when information is only available in PDF the score for this question is C, as PDF is not considered a machine-readable format. The researcher's original response is confirmed.

AR-6a. If the AR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the AR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question AR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question AR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Public Audit Report on Public Finance for Management 2016

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2015_kh.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question AR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the AR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question AR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-7. If the AR is produced, please write the full title of the AR.

For example, a title for the Audit Report could be "Annual General Reports of the Controller and Auditor General." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
Audit Report on Public Financial Management 2016

Source:
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Comment:
Yes 2016 Audit Report is for the total government accounts with full name Audit Report on Public Finance Management 2016 and produced by National Audit Authority.

The Law on General Payment of the State Budget is for the Year End Report and produced by the National Assembly.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: Audit Report on Public Financial Management 2016

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Thank you to the peer reviewer for this correction on the title name.

AR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the AR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:
b. No

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

GQ-1a. Are there one or more websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1a asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where fiscal information can be found. For example, in New Zealand the Treasury website (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/>) hosts important budget-related information, including the Pre-Budget Statement, the Executive's Budget Proposal, the Citizens Budget, In-Year Reports, the Mid-Year Review, and the Year-End Report. In addition, New Zealand's Parliamentary Counsel Office (<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/>) posts the Enacted Budget while the Controller and Auditor-General website (<http://www.oag.govt.nz/>) publishes the annual Audit Report. The New Zealand researcher would provide the links to each of these sites. Other countries have developed portals that include fiscal information, though not in the "documents" format. For example, these portals have been created by Mexico (<https://www.transparenciapresupuestaria.gob.mx/>) and Brazil (<http://www.portaltransparencia.gov.br/>). Some countries have both a website and a portal. The Brazilian government, for example, apart from the Transparency Portal, has a dedicated website for the federal budget, where all key documents and other information can be found (www.orcamentofederal.gov.br). Researchers should include details about all of the relevant websites and/or portals that they can be used to access budget information.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Ministry of Economy and Finance, <https://www.mef.gov.kh/>
National Audit Authority, <http://naa.gov.kh/>
General Department of Budget, <http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/>
General Department of Treasury, <http://www.treasury.gov.kh/>

Comment:

Ministry of Economy and Finance published PBS, EBP (executive summary only), EB, CB (Budget in Brief for EB), IYR (Flash report, TOFE, Bulletin) MYR. Most of these document are published at he General Department of Budget website too.
National Audit Authority published AR
General Department of Treasury published YER

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: should provide dates of access to NAA TREASURY

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-1b. On these websites/portals, can revenue and/or expenditure data for the current fiscal year be downloaded as a consolidated file (or set of files)? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1b, GQ-1c, and GQ-1d ask about whether governments publish specific types of content on their websites/portals: (a) consolidated files that contain revenue and/or expenditure information for the current fiscal year; (b) consolidated files that contain revenue and/or expenditure information for multiple years in consistent formats; and (c) infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis. Researchers should provide the links to relevant webpages and some explanations of what they contain.

Answer:

d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

Source:

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/20190114-national-revenue-expenditure.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2019&legal-document.zip
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2019.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>
<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: TREASURY and NAA websites do no work as of 19 July 2019

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

As this question looks for machine-readable data (CSV and XLSX) for cross-country consistency, IBP is revising the response for this question to D.

GQ-1c. On these websites/portals, can consolidated revenue and/or expenditure data be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

Answer:

d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

Source:

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/20190114-national-revenue-expenditure.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2019&legal-document.zip
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2019.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>
<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

only in PDF file

IBP Comment

As this question looks for machine-readable data (CSV and XLSX) for cross-country consistency, IBP is revising the response for this question to D.

GQ-1d. On these websites/portals, are infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/20190114-national-revenue-expenditure.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2019&legal-document.zip
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2019.pdf
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>
<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>
http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

Comment:

The websites published the original PDF file only.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: to a certain degree, there is data visualisation. See for example,

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2019.pdf

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes

Comments: We only published as a PDF file. User is able to analysis it in the other ways.

Researcher Response

Agreed to move it to (a) as there is data visualization in budget in brief for 2019

GQ-2. Are there laws in place guiding public financial management and/or auditing? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where the law(s) contains specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

GQ-2 asks about the existence of any national laws governing public financial management and auditing. These may include a public finance act, a section of the constitution, or an organic budget law. In some countries, fiscal responsibility legislation may also be relevant. For example, the Kenya researcher may include the link to its Public Finance Management Act, 2012 (<http://www.kenyalaw.org/lex/actview.xhtml?actid=No.%2018%20of%202012>), and the Macedonian researcher may include a link to its State Audit Law (<https://www.finance.gov.mk/files/u11/Audit%20law.pdf>). Researchers should provide links to websites where such laws are published, if possible, or an electronic copy of the law itself. They should also indicate if and where (e.g. which article) these laws include specific provisions for budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Law on Public Finance System (2008): http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf

<http://www.national-assembly.org.kh/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=167>

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/law_regulation/law_on_audit_0300_10_en.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-3. Are there additional laws regulating: (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where these laws contain specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

The third and last question asks researchers to list any additional laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens' participation that are relevant for the promotion of budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes. These might include legislation related to access to information, to planning processes, or to public administration more generally. India's Right to Information Act of 2005 (<https://www.ncess.gov.in/facilities/central-public-information-officer/rti-act-details.html>) is an example of this type of law. More information on access to information legislation (constitutional provisions, laws, and regulations), including examples of model laws, can be found here: <http://www.right2info.org/laws/constitutional-provisions-laws-and-regulations#section-1>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

The draft law on access-to-information is reviewed by Ministry of Information and expected to send to council of ministers for enactment.

There is no law on Government Transparency and Citizens Participation, however, some policies, guideline and/or instruction are developed by line ministries.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: - Line ministries will develop Citizen Budget and public forum on Program budgeting

1. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 1 addresses the presentation of expenditure by administrative unit. This information indicates which government entity (ministry, department, or agency, or MDAs) will be responsible for spending the funds and, ultimately, held accountable for their use.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all administrative units, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the administrative units shown individually, in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation, must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. In other words, the sum of the expenditures assigned to the individual MDAs (education, health, infrastructure, interior, defense, etc.) must account for at least two-thirds of the total expenditure budgeted for that particular year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents administrative units that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.

Answer:

c. Yes, administrative units accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures are presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The Executive Summary on draft budget law 2019 is publicly available at MEF website on 26 October 2018 and presented as EBP 2019. The expenditure of EBP 2019 presented into (1). state current expenditures and (2). state capital expenditure of the national and sub-national budget. At the National Budget, there are four sectors expenditure classified into (1). General Administration, (2). National Defense and Security, (3). Social, and (4). Economic. At the expenditure of sub-national budget presented both current and capital. On the page 12 and 13 of the executive summary the total amount of expenditure budget allocation of 13 ministries announced. Therefore, answer "c" is less than two thirds of expenditure are presented.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

2. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by functional classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 2 addresses the presentation of expenditure by functional classification. This classification indicates the programmatic purpose, sector, or objective for which the funds will be used, such as health, education, or defense. Administrative units are not necessarily aligned with functional classifications. For instance, in one country all functions connected with water supply (which fall into the "Housing" function) may be undertaken by a single government agency, while in another country they may be distributed across the Ministries of Environment, Housing, and Industrial Development. In the latter case, three ministries have programs addressing water supply, so three ministries contribute to one function. Similarly, some administrative units may conduct activities that cut across more than one function. For instance, in the example above, some programs of the Ministry of Environment would also be classified in the "environmental protection" function.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by functional classification.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by functional classification.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

From page 7 to 11 of the Executive Summary (EBP 2019), presented the narrative discussion on the programmatic purpose sector and the objective for which funds will be used such as infrastructure, social protection, social and economic productivity etc. So, we considered as expenditure are presented by functional classification.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

3. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by functional classification, is the functional classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 3 asks whether a country's functional classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's functional classification must be aligned with the OECD and the UN's Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), or provide a cross-walk between the national functional presentation and COFOG.

The OECD Best Practices for Budget Transparency can be viewed at <http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/Best%20Practices%20Budget%20Transparency%20-%20complete%20with%20cover%20page.pdf>

COFOG can be viewed at https://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM/SeriesM_84E.pdf or at <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/ch6ann.pdf>.

Answer:

b. No, the functional classification is not compatible with international standards, or expenditures are not presented by functional classification.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

There are four sectors expenditure classified into (1). General Administration, (2). National Defense and Security, (3). Social, and (4). Economic for the national budget and the expenditure of sub-national budget presented the current and capital only, which not link to the 10 first-level headers of COFOG, including (1). Defense, (2). Economic affairs, (3). Education, (4). Environmental protection, (5). General public services, (6). Health, (7). Housing and community amenities, (8). Public order and safety, (9). Recreation, culture and religion, and (10). Social protection. So, the functional classification is not compatible with international standards.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the functional classification is compatible with international standards.

Comments: The functional classification is compatible with international standards. As Researcher mentioned above, there are four sectors expenditure classified and those four sectors were covered and link to the Ten first-level headers of the COFOG.

Researcher Response

Agreed with PR to keep it as (b) as the functional classification is not compatible with the international standards

4. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by economic classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 4 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification. Economic classification provides information on the nature of the expenditure, such as whether funds are being used to pay for wages and salaries, capital projects, or social assistance benefits.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

EBP 2019 present expenditures presented the current and capital expenditure including staff and non-staff cost public investment in-and-out country on the four sectors expenditure classified into (1). General Administration (2). National Defense and Security , (3). Social, and (4). Economic. This does not look like an economic classification, which including (1). Wages and Salaries, (2). Goods and Services, (3). Interest payments, (4). Capital spending, (5). Subsidies, (6) Grants, (7). Social benefits

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

Comments: p. 12 under functional classification

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

Comments: Four sectors expenditure classified had covered and presented economic classification.

Researcher Response

p-12 of executive summary for draft budget law 2019 presented the functional classification (by sectors) such as 1)- General administration, 2)- Social, 3)- Defend, Safety, and order , 4)-Economic sector

IBP Comment

IBP notes that in the table on p. 12 underneath each sector there is a current and capital dis-aggregation of expenditures, and where current is broken down into staff and non-staff spending, and capital is brown down into locally-financed public investment and externally financed investment. This counts as an economic classification under OBS methodology, therefore the score is revised to A.

5. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by economic classification, is the economic classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 5 asks whether a country's economic classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's economic classification must be consistent with the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) 2001 Government Finance Statistics (GFS). The GFS economic classification is presented here: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>. To learn more about Government Finance Statistics also refer to the entire IMF 2001 GFS manual (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf>).

Answer:

b. No, the economic classification is not compatible with international standards, or expenditures are not presented by economic classification.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Expenditures are not presented by economic classification. The only distinction is between recurrent and capital expenditures, thus not sufficient to

award an "a" response in the previous question.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
a. Yes, the economic classification is compatible with international standards.
Comments: The economic classification was prepared to align with GFS. <https://www.mef.gov.kh/gfs.html>

Researcher Response
No, only economic and functional classification (by sectors)

IBP Comment
The economic classification shown on p. 12 of the executive summary does not show a GFS compatible presentation. In the GFS economic classification, expenditures are shown with details such as Goods and Services, Interest Payments, and other information. As this is not presented in the EBP summary document, the researcher's score of B is confirmed.

6. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 6 asks whether expenditures are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should treat the term "program" as meaning any level of detail below an administrative unit – that is, any programmatic grouping that is below the ministry, department, or agency level. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaille. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Budget decisions for the upcoming year can also affect the parameters of future budgets. It is therefore useful to estimate revenues and expenditures for multi-year periods, understanding that these estimates might be revised as circumstances change. Sometimes referred to as a Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), a three-year period – that is, the budget year plus two more years – is generally considered an appropriate horizon for budgeting and planning.

Answer:
d. No, expenditures are not presented by program.

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:
EBP 2019 present the sectors based budgeting which cover more ministry at each sector. On the page 12 and 13 of the executive summary the total amount of expenditure budget allocation of 13 ministries announced.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Executive's Budget Proposal which is submitted to the parliament exist the expenditure of economy classification and sectors based. Yet, this Executive's Budget Proposal is presented expenditures for individual programs for the budget year when submitted to the Office of the Council of Ministers. We will include expenditure for individual program in Executive's Budget Proposal in 2022 based on the action plan of PFMRP.

7. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 7 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," multi-year expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

d. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any expenditure classification.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

EBP 2019 does not present expenditure classifications for budget years 2020 and 2021

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: As answered in the previous question (#6).

7b. Based on the response to Question 7, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for a multi-year period in the Executive's Budget Proposal?

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Economic classification

Comments: Executive's Budget Proposal which is submitted to the parliament exist the expenditure of economy classification and sectors based.

Researcher Response

No classification for multi-years

IBP Comment

IBP confirms that there is no classification that is shown for multiple years. Therefore this score is 'none of the above'.

8. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by program?

GUIDELINES:

Question 8 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures over the multi-year period. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if multi-year estimates are not presented by program.

Revenues generally are separated into two major categories: "tax" and "non-tax" revenues. Taxes are compulsory transfers that result from government exercising its sovereign power. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes. The category of non-tax revenues is more diverse, ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services. Note that some forms of revenue, such as contributions to social security funds, can be considered either a tax or non-tax revenue depending on the nature of the approach to these contributions. Particularly because different revenues have different characteristics, including who bears the burden of paying the tax and how collections are affected by economic conditions, it is helpful when estimates for revenues are disaggregated and displayed based on their sources.

For more information, please refer to the 2001 GFS manual, in particular Appendix 4 (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>).

Answer:

d. No, multi-year estimates for programs are not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

EBP 2019 does not present "program or ministry or agency" to detail at the administrative unit

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

9. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 9 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:
On the page 4, 5 and 13 of executive summary of draft budget law (EBP) 2019 on the Budget Framework 2018, national budget expenditure and sub-national budget expenditure have announced on the macroeconomic stability and sustainability of public finance, which include ensuring annual economic growth of around 7.0%, efficiency tax and non-tax income of 2016 and 2017.
However, there is no indication of the disaggregation of revenue by individual source (such as different types of taxes, individually indicated - individuals, public enterprises, trade, VAT, etc; different types of non-tax revenue, such as royalties, fees, aid, etc.)

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

10. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of government-produced goods and services) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:
Question 10 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "non-tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The category of non-tax revenues is diverse, and can include revenue ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of non-tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all non-tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of non-tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all non-tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of non-tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of non-tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:
On the page 4, 5 and 13 of executive summary of draft budget law (EBP) 2019 on the Budget Framework 2019, national budget expenditure and sub-national budget expenditure have announced on the macroeconomic stability and sustainability of public finance, which include ensuring annual economic growth of around 7.0%, efficiency tax and non-tax income of 2016 and 2017. On page 5, the narrative discussion and table presented the total amount of 15,986,005 Million Riel for 2018 and 18,230,617 Million Riel for 2019 of the tax revenue and 2,420,805 Million Riel for 2018 and 2,762,462 Million Riel for 2019 of non-tax revenue. However, there is no list detail on the tax and non-revenue.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

11. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:
Question 11 evaluates whether revenue estimates are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by "category," that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of revenues classified by category for at least two years following the budget year in question.

Answer:

b. No, multi-year estimates of revenue are not presented by category.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On pages 5 and 13 of the executive summary of the draft budget law (EBP) for BY 2019, there is some information on tax and non-tax income for BY 2017 and 2018. However, a forward looking perspective is missing.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: We do estimation of revenue in the Revenue Mobilize Strategy 2019-2023.

12. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates for individual sources of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 12 evaluates whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented for a multi-year period.

Answer:

d. No, multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue are not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On pages 5 and 13 of the executive summary of the draft budget law (EBP) 2019, some information is available on tax and non-tax income for BY 2017 and 2018. However, a forward looking perspective is missing.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: We cannot show detail information in Executive Summary. Please refer to the Revenue Mobilization Strategy 2019-2023 (RMS II) or RMS I.

13. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the budget year.

Answer:

c. Yes, one of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On page 6 of the state expenditure, debt payments are presented for 2017 (0.74% of GDP) and 2018 (10.8% of GDP). But they are in % of GDP rather than actual amount, and refer to BY-1 and BY -2.

On pages 13, 14 and 15, one can see some text description and chart on the debt situation including new debt (multilateral=3.7%, Chines=47.5%, Japan=3.9%, Korea republic=4.9%, bilateral=3.7%) and old debt 30.1%. This is, again, all in % points, rather than actual number.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Comments: The Executive Summary does not display full information. We published and updated annually on debt management such as: Cambodia Public Debt Statistical Bulletin Volume 6 – Data as of 1st Semester 2018 (<https://gdicdm.mef.gov.kh/en/2018/09/27/2261.html>), Cambodia Public Debt Statistical Bulletin Volume 7 – Data as of Year – end 2018 (<https://gdicdm.mef.gov.kh/en/2019/03/26/2443.html>), and The Strategic Document on Public Debt Management 2015-2018 (<https://gdicdm.mef.gov.kh/en/2018/10/05/2272.html>). Another way the user could access dept information is by log in The Cambodia ODA Database website (<http://odacambodia.com/>).

Researcher Response

Based on executive summary for draft budget law 2019, it should be (c) as it presented debt ceiling from the external development partners on page 14-15

IBP Comment

Deficit is presented as a percentage of GDP on p. 5 (-5.44% of GDP, where nominal GDP is also presented on p.1). P. 14 and 15 show a debt ceiling for borrowing from external lenders in 2019, however this is presented as a the limit on new debt, not estimated new debt. Therefore as one of the core elements is shown, the score is revised from D to C.

13b. Based on the response to Question 13, check the box(es) below to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On page 6 of the state expenditure, payment on the debt are present for 2017 (0.74% of GDP) and 2018 (10.8% of GDP). On page 13, 14 and 15 present as text description and chart on the debt situation including new debt (multilateral=3.7%, Chines=47.5%, Japan=3.9%, Korea republic=4.9%, bilateral=3.7%) and old debt 30.1%. Data provided on government borrowing and debt are presented in percentage which is not qualify to the OBS standard.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year

Researcher Response

agreed with GR

IBP Comment

Deficit is presented as a percentage of GDP on p. 5 (-5.44% of GDP, where nominal GDP is also presented on p.1). P. 14 and 15 show a debt ceiling for borrowing from external lenders in 2019, however this is presented as the limit on new debt, not estimated new debt. However, deficit is considered a proxy for net new borrowing.

14. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year?"

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 14 focuses on the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 13, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens, banks, and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.

Answer:

d. No, information related to composition of total debt outstanding is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Some additional debt information is presented, showing the composition of external debt including from multilateral=3.7%, China=47.5%,

Japan=3.9%, Korea republic=4.9%, bilateral=3.7%, and old debt 30.1%.
No information is presented about the interest rates and the maturity profile of the debt.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
b. Yes, the core information is presented for the composition of the total debt outstanding.

Researcher Response
Due to no reference to support GR, it should be (d) as the total debt outstanding is not presented except the new one for 2019

IBP Comment
As there is no total debt outstanding (see Q13) this response is revised from C to D for cross-country consistency. Even if there is external debt shown, there is no information on total debt, therefore the composition of the total debt is not shown.

14b. Based on the response to Question 14, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the composition of the total debt outstanding are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:
None of the above

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

15. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?"

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 15 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the budget's revenue and expenditure estimates, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

While the core macroeconomic information should be a standard feature of the Executive's Budget Proposal, the importance of some types of macroeconomic assumptions may vary from country to country. For example, the budget estimates of some countries are particularly affected by changes in the price of oil and other commodities.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short and long-term interest rates; rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On page 1 of executive summary of draft budget law (EBP) 2018, the macroeconomic year 2018 present the achievement of macroeconomic stability and sustainability of public finance, which include ensuring annual economic growth of around 7.0%, maintaining 3.0% annual inflation rate and stable exchange rate of around 4 000 Riels/USD, maintaining annual fiscal deficit of less than 5.0% of GDP, and well managing public debts. This macroeconomic achievements have also contributed to poverty reduction of more than 1% every year. The current price is up to 109,894,949 Million Riel, equivalent to 27,222 Million US Dollars, while GDP per capital is expected to rise to 1706 US Dollars, compared with 2018 is 1,563 US Dollars.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

in 2019, it presented only three of four. Those include: 1- nominal GDP level; 2- inflation rate; 3- real GDP growth; and

IBP Comment

As there are three of the four core elements (all except for interest rates), as well as information beyond the core (exchange rate and current account deficit) on p. 2, as per OBS methodology the score can be revised from C to B.

15b. Based on the response to Question 15, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Nominal GDP level

Inflation rate

Real GDP growth

Information beyond the core elements (please specify)

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On page 1 of executive summary of draft budget law (EBP) 2018, the macroeconomic year 2018 present the achievement of macroeconomic stability and sustainability of public finance, which include ensuring annual economic growth of around 7.0%, maintaining 3.0% annual inflation rate and stable exchange rate of around 4 000 Riels/USD, maintaining annual fiscal deficit of less than 5.0% of GDP, and well managing public debts. This macroeconomic achievements have also contributed to poverty reduction of more than 1% every year. The current price is up to 109,894,949 Million Riel, equivalent to 27,222 Million US Dollars, while GDP per capital is expected to rise to 1706 US Dollars, compared with 2018 is 1,563 US Dollars.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

the information is for upcoming 2019 budget as it was stated in the draft budget law 2019 Please visit this link
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

IBP Comment

Information beyond the core includes exchange rate and current account deficit.

16. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget?"

(The core information must include estimates of the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 16 focuses on the issue of whether the Executive's Budget Proposal shows how different macroeconomic assumptions affect the budget estimates (known as a "sensitivity analysis"). It asks whether "core" information related to a sensitivity analysis is presented, estimating the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for:

- *inflation rate;*
- *real GDP growth; and*
- *interest rates.*

A sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? Or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated? Or how will revenue be affected by a decrease in the price of oil?

As noted for Question 15, changes in certain macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil and other commodities, can have a significant impact on the budget estimates. As a result, some sensitivity analyses may also examine the impact on the budget estimates of changes in assumptions such as the price of oil that are beyond the core elements of the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to a "sensitivity analysis" as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to a "sensitivity analysis" is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on "sensitivity analysis" is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to different macroeconomic assumptions is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On page 1 of the Executive summary of draft budget law (EBP), some macroeconomic information is presented (GDP growth and inflation rate), but there isn't an analysis of the impact of the economy on the budget. For instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated?

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented to show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions on the budget.

Comments: http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Researcher Response

The original score(d) should be maintained as the sensitivity analysis is not presented

IBP Comment

The information presented is only a macroeconomic projection and discussion of the projection, not a sensitivity analysis of how different projections would impact the budget. The researcher's score of D is confirmed.

17. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Answer:

d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect expenditure is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On page 3 of the Executive summary of draft budget law (EBP) 2019, new policy on social development and national social protection strategic 2016-2025 are presented, but no estimation is shown on how they will impact expenditures.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how some but not all new policy proposals affect expenditure is presented.

Researcher Response

Due to no reference to support GR, it should be (d)

18. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Prior-year information constitutes an important benchmark for assessing the proposals for the upcoming budget year. Estimates of prior years should be presented in the same formats (in terms of classification) as the budget year to ensure that year-to-year comparisons are meaningful. For example, if the budget proposes shifting responsibility for a particular program from one administrative unit to another – such as shifting responsibility for the training of nurses from the health department to the education department – the prior-year figures must be adjusted before year-to-year comparisons of administrative budgets can be made.

Typically, when the budget proposal is submitted, the year prior to the budget year (BY-1), also known as the current year, has not ended, so the executive will provide estimates of the anticipated outcome for BY-1. The soundness of these estimates is directly related to the degree to which they have been updated to reflect actual expenditures to date, legislative changes that have occurred, and anticipated changes in macroeconomic, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

The first year that can reflect actual outcomes, therefore, is generally two years before the budget year (BY-2). Thus the OECD recommends that data covering at least two years before the budget year (along with two years of projections beyond the budget year) are provided in order to assess fully the trends in the budget.

Answer:

d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect revenues is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On page 3 of the Executive summary of draft budget law (EBP) 2019, new policy on social development and national social protection strategic 2016-2025 are presented, but no estimation that show their impact on revenue.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how some but not all new policy proposals affect revenues are presented.

Researcher Response

Due to no reference to support GR, it should be (d)

19. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 19 asks if expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented by one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-1 by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-1 must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

b. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented as total amount, and do not indicate who spends the money; what purpose is the money spent; and/or what the money is spent on.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Researcher Response

It should be (b) as two expenditures classification are presented in the table on p-12

IBP Comment

IBP confirmed that the table on p. 12 has values for economic and functional classification, and shows values for the 2018 enacted budget and the 2019 projected budget. Therefore the score is revised from D to B.

20. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 20 asks if expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-1. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-1. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:

d. No, expenditures are not presented by program for BY-1.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

On page 11 of the document, expenditures are presented by sector (one sector covers more than one ministry and agency). However, on page 12 there is a bar chart that presents information for the main programs for most ministries comparing between 2018 and 2013.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: P.S the researcher's response to this question contradicts his or her answer EBP section (first 50 questions).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

it should be (d) as no expenditures are presented by program for BY-1 Please visit this link

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

21. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Question 21 asks whether the expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) have been updated from the original enacted levels. Updates can reflect actual experience to date; revised estimates due to shifting of funds by the executive, as permitted under the law; enactment of supplemental budgets; and revised assumptions regarding macroeconomic conditions, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

Answer "a" applies if the estimates have been updated; answer "b" applies if the original estimates are still being used.

Answer:

b. No, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have not been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Total state budget presented 2018 enacted budget vs percentage of GDP and 2019 estimated budget vs percentage of GDP. In the table, the current state expenditure for both national and sub-national presented at the enacted budget 2018 and estimated budget 2019. The narrative discuss on the estimated budget 2019 presented from page 8 to 12. However, those expenditure could not reflect actual experience of revised estimates due to the shifting funds by the executive.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

Researcher Response

Due to no reference to support GR, thus, it should be (b)

22. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 22 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any of the three classifications

Answer:

d. No, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any expenditure classification.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Expenditure estimates for two years prior to the budget year (BY-2) for 2017 as well as for 2018 are presented as a total amount, and do not indicate who spends the money; what purpose is the money spent; and what the money is spent on.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

22b. Based on the response to Question 22, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year in the Executive Budget Proposal:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:
Expenditure are presented as a total amount, and do not indicate who spends the money; what purpose is the money spent; and what the money is spent on.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: Economic classification

Researcher Response

Agreed to keep the original score due to no expenditure classifications have been estimated for more than one year prior to the budget year in the Executive Budget Proposal

23. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 23 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year before the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-2 and prior years. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-2 and prior years. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:
d. No, expenditures are not presented by program for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:
Program accounting for all expenditure is not presented as broken down into several subgroups.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
c. Yes, programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures are presented for BY-2 and prior years.
Comments: Budget Negotiation prior year.

IBP Comment

Thank you to the government reviewer. This question asks for programs for BY-2 and prior years. See the responses in questions 6 and 8 that show there are no programs presented for BY and BY-1, and similarly the summary does not present programs for BY-2 and prior years. The researcher's response of D is confirmed.

24. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 24 asks for which year the actual outcomes for expenditures are shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated all its expenditure data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

Answer:

d. No actual data for all expenditures are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

No actual data is presented for all expenditures for an entire budget year.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: On page 7 of Executive Summary 2019 is pretested only estimated data for expenditure.

25. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 are presented by category.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The total on Tax and Non-Tax revenues are presented for both national and sub-national budgets

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

P-6 presented the total estimated revenue for 2019 from Tax of 16,879,000Riels and Non-Tax of 2,714,111Riels plus capital revenue of 5,766,917Riels In the table of P-6, it presented the three sources of revenue comparing from 2018 and 2019 with nominal and proportion of GDP.

26. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of revenue are not presented for BY-1.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The total on Tax and Non-Tax revenues are presented for both national and sub-national budgets

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues for BY-1 are presented.

Comments: In the Executive Summary of EBP cannot display more detail information.

Researcher Response

P-6 presented the total estimated revenue for 2019 from Tax of 16,879,000Riels and Non-Tax of 2,714,111Riels plus capital revenue of 5,766,917Riels In the table of P-6, it presented the three sources of revenue comparing from 2018 and 2019 with proportion of GDP.

IBP Comment

As per the researcher's response, the information presented shows categories, and not individual sources of revenue. Therefore the score of D is confirmed.

27. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have the original estimates of revenue for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated to reflect actual revenue collections?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

b. No, revenue estimates for BY-1 have not been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The revenue for year prior to the budget year been presented as 2017 enacted budget but not the actual revenue collection.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: We have actual data from January to June. From July to December is an estimated data.

28. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

b. No, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by category.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

In the section about "Budget Framework 2019" on page 5, the total and current revenues are presented as percentage of GDP for BY 2017, 2018, 2019, but not the distinction "tax" and "non-tax". In the table about state revenue, they are presented for BY-1 as enacted budget 2018 with percentage of GDP, and the 2019 budget estimates are presented with the percentage of GDP.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

29. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of revenue are not presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The "Budget Framework 2019" presents the total and current revenue as percentage of GDP of 2016, 2017, 2018, but not the tax vs. non-tax distinction.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

30. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No actual data for all revenues are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Within the context of the "Budget Framework 2019" of the Executive summary of the 2019 EBP, total and current revenues are only presented as percentage in GDP for 2016, 2017, 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

31. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?"

(The core information must include the total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1; the amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1; interest payments on the debt; interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 31 focuses on prior-year debt information, rather than on prior-year expenditures or revenues, asking whether "core" information is provided on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1).

The "core" information includes:

- *total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1;*
- *amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1;*
- *interest payments on the debt;*
- *interest rates on the debt instruments;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether it is domestic or external debt.*

This core information for BY-1 is consistent with the budget year information for borrowing and debt, which is examined in Questions 13 and 14.

In addition, some governments provide information beyond the core elements, such as gross new borrowing required during BY-1; currency of the debt; whether the debt carries a fixed or variable interest rate; whether it is callable; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for BY-1 as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on government borrowing and debt for BY-1.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

An item of state capital expenditure at page 6 of executive summary of EBP presented the total amount of debt returning following to year 2017 and 2018. In the narrative and chart information on page 13-14-15 presented debt situation including total amount of new debt and total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1 but there is no information related to interest payments on the debt, interest rates on the debt instruments, maturity profile of the debt, and whether it is domestic or external debt.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for government debt.

Researcher Response

Due to no evidence to support GR comment, it should be scored as (c) as some of the core pieces of information are not included in the link http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf.

32. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 32 asks for which year the actual outcome for debt is shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated its debt data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

It is essential that all government activities that may have an impact on the budget – in the current budget year or in future budget years – be fully disclosed to the legislature and the public in budget documents. In some countries, for instance, entities outside central government (such as public corporations) undertake fiscal activities that could affect current and future budgets. Similarly, activities that can have a significant impact on the budget, such as payment arrears and contingent liabilities, sometimes are not properly captured by the regular presentations of expenditure, revenue, and debt.

Answer:

d. No actual data for government debt are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The narrative discussion on page 14 and 15, presented 1400 Million SDR as total debt for 2019. On page 16, the graphics presented the debt situation including percentage of debt sources and the four debt ratio included 1996 to 2016 plus 2018 (estimated) of debt inventory vs GDP, 1996 to 2016 plus 2018 (estimated) of debt vs export, 1996 to 2016 plus 2018 (estimated) of debt vs export service, 1996 to 2016 plus 2018 (estimated) of debt vs national state revenue.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

33. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund; and complete income, expenditure, and financing data on a gross basis.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 33 focuses on extra-budgetary funds, asking whether "core" information related to these funds, which exist outside the budget, are presented. These core components include:

- *a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund (i.e., why was a particular fund set up? what is it used for?); and*
- *estimates of its income, expenditure, and financing. (These estimates should be presented on a gross basis so that it is possible to tell how much money flows through each extra-budgetary fund.)*

In most countries, governments engage in certain budgetary activities that are not included in the central government's budget. Known as extra-budgetary funds, they can range in size and scope. For example, countries frequently set up pension and social security programs as extra-budgetary funds, where the

revenues collected and the benefits paid are recorded in a separate fund outside the budget. Another example of an extra-budgetary fund can be found in countries dependent on hydrocarbon/mineral resources, where revenues from producing and selling those resources are channeled through systems outside the annual budget.

In some cases, the separation engendered by an extra-budgetary fund serves a legitimate political purpose, and the finances and activities of these funds are well documented. In other cases, however, this structure is used for obfuscation, and little or nothing is known about a fund's finances and activities.

The availability of information related to extra-budgetary funds is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the government's true fiscal position. In addition to the core information, other information about extra-budgetary funds is also desirable. Such information includes a discussion of the risks associated with the extra-budgetary fund; expenditures classified by economic, functional, or administrative unit; and the rules and procedures that govern the operations and management of the extra-budgetary fund.

For more information about extra-budgetary funds, see the *Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget* (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 2.1.1 of the IMF's *Fiscal Transparency Handbook* (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to extra-budgetary funds as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. A "b" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation presents all of the core information. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to extra-budgetary funds is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on extra-budgetary funds.

Answer:

d. No, information related to extra-budgetary funds is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

item and information related to extra-budgetary funds is not presented in the executive summary of EBP.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

34. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 34 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documents present the finances of the central government on a consolidated basis, showing both its budgetary and extra-budgetary activities. Virtually all of the questions in the OBS questionnaire focus on budgetary central government – the activities of the ministries, departments, or agencies of central government. In addition, Question 33 asks about extra-budgetary funds, such as social security funds that are not included in the budget.

Coverage is an important aspect of fiscal reporting. Budget documents should cover the full scope of government's financial activity. In many countries, extra-budgetary activities are substantial, and can represent a sizable share of the central government's activities. To get a full picture of the central government's finances, therefore, it is necessary to examine both activities that are included in the budget and those that are extra-budgetary. This question asks whether such a consolidated presentation of central government finances is provided.

The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local government, and public corporations. (See Box 2.1 under Principle 1.1.1 of the IMF's *Fiscal Transparency Handbook* (2018): <https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>). For the purpose of answering this question, please consider only the central government level.

In order to answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year.

Answer:

b. No, central government finances are not presented on a consolidated basis.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Central government finances are not presented on a consolidated basis in the executive summary of EBP.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

35. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of intergovernmental transfers for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 35 asks about intergovernmental transfers. In many cases, the central government supports the provision of a good or service by a lower level of government through an intergovernmental transfer of funds. This is necessary because, independent from the level of administrative decentralization that exists in a given country, the capacity for revenue collection of a local government is unlikely to be sufficient to pay for all its expenses. However, because the activity is not being undertaken by an administrative unit of the central government, it is unlikely to receive the same level of review in the budget. Thus it is important to include in the budget proposal a statement that explicitly indicates the amount and purposes of these transfers.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all intergovernmental transfers and a narrative discussing these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all intergovernmental transfers are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, intergovernmental transfers (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The executive summary of EBP shows how the central government allocates revenues and expenditures at sub-national level, but not through an intergovernmental transfer of funds.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of all intergovernmental transfers are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Researcher Response

Due to no reference to support GR, the original score (c) should be maintained

IBP Comment

As p. 7 and p. 14 show a single line for all subnational expenditures, not broken down by each subnational unit. Therefore the score is confirmed as C.

36. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present alternative displays of expenditures (such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region) to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 36 asks about "alternative displays" of expenditures that highlight the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens. As discussed above, expenditures are typically presented by at least one of three classifications – administrative, functional, and economic classifications (see Questions 1-5) – and by individual program (Question 6). In addition, governments can provide alternative displays to emphasize different aspects of expenditure policies

and to show who benefits from these expenditures.

For the purpose of answering this question, the alternative presentation must differ from the presentations (such as administrative, functional, or economic classifications or presentation by program) used to answer other questions. The alternative display can cover all expenditures or only a portion of expenditures. For instance, it can show how all expenditures are distributed according to geographic region or it can show how selected expenditures (such as the health budget or the agriculture budget) are distributed to different regions. But such a geographic display must be something different than the presentation of intergovernmental transfers used to answer question 35. One exception is when a country includes a special presentation of all policies intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (and is used to answer Question 52) then that can be considered an alternative display for purposes of answering this question as well. Finally, brief fact sheets showing how proposals in the budget benefit particular groups would be insufficient; only more detailed presentations would be considered.

The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?"

(<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of the importance of alternative displays of budget information and provides a number of examples. For instance,

- *Bangladesh in its 2017-18 Budget included a detailed supplementary Gender Budgeting Report, which presents the spending dedicated to advancing women across various departments. (<https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/3bb14732-b5b1-44df-9921-efedf1496295>).*
- *The UK's 2017 budget included a supplementary analysis that provided a distributional analysis of the budget by households in different income groups (see https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/661465/distributional_analysis_autumn_budget_2017.pdf).*
- *South Africa's 2017 Budget Review goes beyond the standard presentation of intergovernmental transfers, discussing the redistribution that results from national revenue flowing to the provinces and municipalities and presenting the allocations on a per capita basis (see chapter 6, <http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2017/review/FullBR.pdf>).*

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least three different presentations that illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least two different alternative displays of expenditures. A "c" applies if only one type of alternative display of expenditure is presented. Answer "d" applies if no alternative display of expenditure is presented.

Answer:

d. No, alternative displays of expenditures are not presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The Executive Summary of EBP 2019 does not present alternative displays of expenditures such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

36b. Based on the response to Question 36, select the box(es) below to identify which types of alternative displays are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The Executive Summary of EBP does not present alternative displays of expenditures.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

37. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of transfers to public corporations for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 37 asks about transfers to public corporations. It is often the case that governments have a stake in enterprises that manage resources that are particularly relevant for the public good (such as electricity, water, and oil). While these public corporations can operate independently, in some cases the government will provide direct support by making transfers to these corporations, including to subsidize capital investment and operating expenses.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all transfers to public corporations and a narrative discussing the purposes of these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all transfers to public corporations are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, transfers to public corporations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of transfers to public corporations are not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The second paragraph of debt covering on pages 15, presented 25 of 32 projects are signed as loan included 2 projects of World Bank, 5 projects of ADB, 1 project of International Fund for Agriculture Development, 7 projects of China, 2 projects of Japan, 3 projects of Korea, 3 projects of France, 1 project of Thailand, and 1 project of India. The narrative discussion on page 15, presented information on debt investment included but not limited to (1). energy included electricity step 2, electricity cable and distribution in Phnom Penh step 2, solar energy etc. (2). Irrigation system, including projects in Kampong Speu province, Preah Vihea province, and irrigation and agriculture system step 2. (3). Infrastructure including the improvement of national roads number 3, 4, 5; the road construction in Samlot, Veal Veng to Koh Kong province; city belt road number 3 etc. and (4). other priority sector included the investment on agriculture, health, tourism, clean water and education.

Unfortunately, it is not specified whether those loans and related projects are managed by public corporations. Therefore the selected response is "d."

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

38. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity and the intended beneficiaries.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 38 focuses on quasi-fiscal activities, asking whether "core" information related to such activities is presented. These core components include:

- *A statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity (i.e., what is the reason for engaging in this activity?);*
- *The identification of intended beneficiaries of the quasi-fiscal activity.*

The term "quasi-fiscal activities" refers to a broad range of activities that are fiscal in character and could be carried out through the regular budget process but are not. For example, a quasi-fiscal activity could take place if, instead of providing a direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution provides an indirect subsidy by offering loans at below-market rates for that activity. Similarly, it is a quasi-fiscal activity when an enterprise provides goods or services at prices below commercial rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government's policy goals.

The above examples are policy choices that may be approved by the government and legislature. However, quasi-fiscal activities can also involve activities that violate or circumvent a country's budget process laws or are not subject to the regular legislative approval process for expenditures. For example, the

executive may issue an informal order to a government entity, such as a public commercial enterprise, to provide the executive with goods and services that normally would have to be purchased with funding authorized by the legislature. All quasi-fiscal activities should be disclosed to the public and subject to public scrutiny.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about quasi-fiscal activities, including for example: the anticipated duration of the quasi-fiscal activity; a quantification of the activity and the assumptions that support these estimates; and a discussion of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the activity, including the impact on the entity carrying out the activity. Principle 3.3.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) provides examples of quasi-fiscal activities that can be consulted as needed. And more details on quasi-fiscal activities can be found in the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to all quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to quasi-fiscal activities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on quasi-fiscal activities.

If quasi-fiscal activities do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, information related to quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The Executive Summary of EBP does not provide any statement for the quasi-fiscal activity which identify the intended beneficiaries to provide direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution by offering loans at below-market rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government's policy goals.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some quasi-fiscal activities.

Researcher Response

Due to no reference to support GR, the original score (d) should be maintained

39. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets, and an estimate of their value.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 39 focuses on financial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. These core components include:

- A listing of the financial assets; and
- An estimate of their value.

Governments own financial assets such as cash, bonds, or equities. Unlike private sector businesses, however, few governments maintain balance sheets that show the value of their assets and liabilities.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about financial assets, including for example: a discussion of their purpose; historical information on defaults; differences between reported values and market values; and a summary of financial assets as part of the government's balance sheet.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to all financial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to financial assets is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on financial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to financial assets is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It was displayed in the Cambodia ODA Database. <http://odacambodia.com/>

40. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on nonfinancial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets by category.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 40 focuses on nonfinancial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. The core information is a listing of nonfinancial assets, grouped by the type (or category) of asset.

Nonfinancial assets are things of value that the government owns or controls (excluding financial assets) such as land, buildings, and machinery. The valuation of public nonfinancial assets can be problematic, particularly in cases where the asset is not typically available on the open market (such as a government monument). In these cases, it is considered acceptable to provide summary information in budget documents from a country's register of assets. But, in some cases, governments are able to value their nonfinancial assets; some present a summary of nonfinancial assets as part of their balance sheets. For an example of how nonfinancial assets are presented in one of the many supporting documents to the New Zealand Executive's Budget Proposal, see the Forecast Financial Statement 2011, Notes to the Financial Statements (Continued), Note 14, accessible here: <https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2011-05/befu11-pt6of8.pdf>.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year a listing by category of all nonfinancial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to nonfinancial assets is presented, but some nonfinancial assets are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on nonfinancial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

41. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure arrears for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 41 asks about estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due. (For more information see sections 3.49-3.50 of the IMF's GFS Manual 2001,

<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/qfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf> (page 29)). Though equivalent to borrowing, this liability is often not recorded in the budget, making it difficult to assess fully a government's financial position. Moreover, the obligation to repay this debt affects the government's ability to pay for other activities.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all expenditure arrears and a narrative discussing the arrears. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all expenditure arrears are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, expenditure arrears (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of expenditure arrears are presented.

If expenditure arrears do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question. Public expenditure management laws and regulations often will allow for reasonable delays, perhaps 30 or 60 days, in the routine payment of invoices due. Expenditure arrears impacting a small percentage of expenditure that are due to contractual disputes should not be considered a significant problem for the purpose of answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of expenditure arrears are not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: page 18/44, and 29/44 in this link indicate the arrear for the coming year: ផ្នែកទី ២៖ ៣. សេចក្តីផ្តើមហេតុនៃសេចក្តីព្រាងច្បាប់ហិរញ្ញវត្ថុឆ្នាំ ២០១៩ https://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2019&legal-document.zip page 4/11 of this document does indicate the arrear: ផ្នែកទី ៣៖ ច្បាប់ស្តីពីហិរញ្ញវត្ថុសម្រាប់ការគ្រប់គ្រងឆ្នាំ២០១៩ https://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2019&legal-document.zip

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Comments: There is no expenditure arrears after PFMRP was conducted. There no debt arrears at General Department of National Treasury (60 days from the opening to National Treasury until settlement date). This result is shown that the process of payment and budget outturn has been improved and well managed and compliance with international standard. Under international standards, debts arrears are considered only 30-day period, payments monitoring under this standard will strengthen the quality of budget credibility and make a better implementation.

Researcher Response

It should be (d) due to no reference to support GR as estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due was not presented in this age 4/11 of this document does indicate the arrear: ផ្នែកទី ៣៖ ច្បាប់ស្តីពីហិរញ្ញវត្ថុសម្រាប់ការគ្រប់គ្រងឆ្នាំ២០១៩ https://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2019&legal-document.zip However, it presented only estimate for debt payment on page 14-15

IBP Comment

The peer reviewer and researcher's response is confirmed. If there are expenditure or debt arrears noted in the financial law, but not shown in the EBP summary, then this question scores D.

42. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities, such as government loan guarantees or insurance programs, for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability; the new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments (the gross exposure) at the end of the budget year.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 42 focuses on contingent liabilities, asking whether "core" information related to these liabilities is presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability;
- the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and
- the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments at the end of the budget year. This reflects the gross exposure of the government in the case that all guarantees or commitments come due (even though that may be unlikely to occur).

Contingent liabilities are recognized under a cash accounting method only when the contingent event occurs and the payment is made. An example of such liabilities is the case of loans guaranteed by the central government, which can include loans to state-owned banks and other state-owned commercial enterprises, subnational governments, or private enterprises. Under such guarantees, government will only make a payment if the borrower defaults. Thus a key

issue for making quantitative estimates of these liabilities is assessing the likelihood of the contingency occurring.

In the budget, according to the OECD, "[w]here feasible, the total amount of contingent liabilities should be disclosed and classified by major category reflecting their nature; historical information on defaults for each category should be disclosed where available. In cases where contingent liabilities cannot be quantified, they should be listed and described."

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about contingent liabilities, including for example: historical default rates for each program, and likely default rates in the future; the maximum guarantee that is authorized by law; any special financing associated with the guarantee (e.g., whether fees are charged, whether a reserve fund exists for the purpose of paying off guarantees, etc.); the duration of each guarantee; and an estimate of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the guarantees.

For more details on contingent liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and page 59 (Box 11) and Principle 3.2.3 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to contingent liabilities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to contingent liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on contingent liabilities.

Answer:

d. No, information related to contingent liabilities is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

43. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present projections that assess the government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term?

(The core information must cover a period of at least 10 years and include the macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used and a discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 43 focuses on government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer-term, asking whether "core" information related to these issues is presented. These core components must include:

- Projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.
- The macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used in making the projections.
- A discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections. Good public financial management calls for budgets to include fiscal sustainability analyses.

The IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) recommends that governments regularly publish the projected evolution of the public finances over the longer term (see Principle 3.1.3.). Future liabilities are a particularly important element when assessing the sustainability of public finances over the long term. Future liabilities are the result of government commitments that, unlike contingent liabilities, are virtually certain to occur at some future point and result in an expenditure. A typical example consists of government obligations to pay pension benefits or cover health care costs of future retirees. Under a cash accounting system, only current payments associated with such obligations are recognized in the budget. To capture the future impact on the budget of these liabilities, a separate statement is required.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about the sustainability of their finances, including for example: projections that cover 20 or 30 years; multiple scenarios with different sets of assumptions; assumptions about other factors (such as the depletion of natural resources) that go beyond just the core macroeconomic and demographic data; and a detailed presentation of particular programs that have long time horizons, such as civil service pensions.

For more details on future liabilities, see *Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget* (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of government finances over the longer term as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to future liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government's finances

Answer:

d. No, information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of finances over the longer term is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

The macroeconomic, fiscal implications and risks highlighted in the executive summary of EBP but not the projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

The scoring should be (d) due to no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government's finances

44. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 44 asks about estimates of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind assistance. Such assistance is considered non-tax revenue, and the sources of this assistance should be explicitly identified. In terms of in-kind assistance, the concern is primarily with the provision of goods (particularly those for which there is a market that would allow goods received as in-kind aid to be sold, thereby converting them into cash) rather than with in-kind aid like advisors from a donor country providing technical assistance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all donor assistance and a narrative discussing the assistance. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all donor assistance are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, donor assistance (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if the sources of donor assistance are not presented, but the total amount of donor assistance is presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of donor assistance are presented. Select answer "e" if your country does not receive donor assistance.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the sources of donor assistance are not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

45. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on tax expenditures for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each tax expenditure, the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 45 focuses on tax expenditures, asking whether "core" information related to these tax preferences is presented. These core components must include for both new and existing tax expenditures:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale;
- a listing of the intended beneficiaries; and
- an estimate of the revenue foregone.

Tax expenditures arise as a result of exceptions or other preferences in the tax code provided for specified entities, individuals, or activities. Tax expenditures often have the same impact on public policy and budgets as providing direct subsidies, benefits, or goods and services. For example, encouraging a company to engage in more research through a special tax break can have the same effect as subsidizing it directly through the expenditure side of the budget, as it still constitutes a cost in terms of foregone revenues. However, expenditure items that require annual authorization are likely to receive more scrutiny than tax breaks that are a permanent feature of the tax code.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about tax expenditures, including for example: the intended beneficiaries by sector and income class (distributional impact); a statement of the estimating assumptions, including the definition of the benchmark against which the foregone revenue is measured; and a discussion of tax expenditures as part of a general discussion of expenditures for those program areas that receive both types of government support (in order to better inform policy choices). For more details on tax expenditures, see *Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget* (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 1.1.4 of the IMF's *Fiscal Transparency Handbook* (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, all of the core information related to tax expenditures as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to tax expenditures is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on tax expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, information related to tax expenditures is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

46. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of earmarked revenues for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 46 asks about estimates of earmarked revenues, which are revenues that may only be used for a specific purpose (for example, revenues from a tax on fuel that can only be used for building roads). This information is important in determining which revenues are available to fund the government's general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all earmarked revenues and a narrative discussing the earmarks. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all earmarked revenues are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, earmarked revenues (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented. An "e" response applies if revenue is not earmarked or the practice is disallowed by law or regulation.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of earmarked revenues are not presented.

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:
There is no estimates of earmarked revenues to be used for a specific purpose to determine which revenues are available to fund the government's general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
c. Yes, estimates of some but not all earmarked revenues are presented.

Researcher Response
Due to no evidence to support GR, the original score (d) should be maintained as no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented in the linked document above

47. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

Answer:
c. Yes, information that shows how the proposed budget is linked to some but not all of the government's policy goals for the budget year is presented.

Source:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:
There are some discussions on the policy and budgeting but not the full explanation and clear link between policy goals and budget which reflected in its budget choices.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments:

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

48. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

Answer:

d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government's stated policy goals for a multi-year period is not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

There are some narrative discussion on the policy and budgeting at the Macroeconomic level on page 1 and 2 of the Executive Summary 2019 but not the estimated budget linked to policy goal for a multi-year period.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how the proposed budget is linked to some but not all of the government's policy goals for a multi-year period is presented.

Comments: On page #2 to #4, Budget Policy Framework 2019.

IBP Comment

As the Budget Policy Framework 2019 is not part of the publicly-available EBP supporting documents, then the contents cannot be considered for this question. The researcher's response of D is confirmed.

49. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on inputs to be acquired for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 49 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on inputs for the budget year. (Nonfinancial data on outputs and outcomes are addressed in Question 50.)

The budget should disclose not only the amount of money that is being allocated on a program but also any information needed to analyze that expenditure. Nonfinancial data and performance targets associated with budget proposals are used to assess the success of a given policy. For example, even when allocated funds are spent according to plan, there remains the question of whether the policy delivered the results that it aimed to achieve.

Nonfinancial data can include information on:

Inputs - These are the resources assigned to achieve results. For example, in regards to education, nonfinancial data on inputs could include the number of

books to be provided to each school or the materials to be used to build or refurbish a school.

Outputs - These are products and services delivered as a result of inputs. For example, the number of pupils taught every year; the number of children that received vaccines; or the number of beneficiaries of a social security program.

Outcomes - These are the intended impact or policy goals achieved. For example, an increase in literacy rates among children under 10, or a reduction in rates of maternal mortality.

In addition, governments that set performance targets must use nonfinancial data for outputs and outcomes to determine if these targets have been met.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program is organized by functions. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on inputs for all administrative units or all functions, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on inputs are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on inputs is presented.

Answer:

d. No, nonfinancial data on inputs are not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

No nonfinancial information on inputs is presented in the Executive summary of the 2019 EBP.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

50. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on results (in terms of outputs or outcomes) for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 50 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 49).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on results for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on results for each individual program is organized by functional classification. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on results for all administrative units or all functional classifications, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on results are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on results is presented.

Answer:

d. No, nonfinancial data on results are not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

No nonfinancial data on output is presented in the Executive summary of the 2019 EBP.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

51. Are performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results in the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation?

GUIDELINES:

Question 51 asks about performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. The question applies to those nonfinancial results shown in the budget, and that were identified for purposes of Question 50.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to all nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to a majority (but not all) of the nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget. A "c" response applies performance targets are assigned only to less than half of the nonfinancial data on results. Answer "d" applies if no performance targets are assigned to nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget, or the budget does not present nonfinancial results.

Answer:

d. No, performance targets are not assigned to nonfinancial data on results, or the budget does not present nonfinancial data on results.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

Nonfinancial data on output is not presented in the Executive summary of the 2019 EBP.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

52. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations in at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 52 asks whether the budget highlight policies, both new and existing, that benefit the poorest segments of society. This question is intended to assess only those programs that directly address the immediate needs of the poor, such as through cash assistance programs or the provision of housing, rather than indirectly, such as through a stronger national defense. This information is of particular interest to those seeking to bolster government's commitment to anti-poverty efforts. For purposes of answering this question, a departmental budget (such for the Department of Social Welfare) would not be considered acceptable. In general, this question is asking whether the EBP includes a special presentation that pulls together estimates of all the relevant policies in one place. However, if the country uses "program budgeting," where programs are presented as expenditure categories with specific and identified objectives, and it identifies anti-poverty programs within each administrative unit, then that is also acceptable for this question.

The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?"

(<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of countries that have provided information on how its policies affect the poor.

For instance, Pakistan provides a detailed breakdown of pro-poor expenditure as part of its 2017-18 budget proposal. In one document, the government sets out policy priorities, expected outputs, and estimates of past and future spending for several programs aimed at poverty alleviation. Another supporting document provides a comprehensive overview of ongoing policies, including a chapter on social safety nets, covering both financial and performance information of poverty alleviation schemes over a period of eight years. (http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf_2018-21.pdf and http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must for at least the budget year both present estimates covering all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations and include a narrative discussion that specifically addresses these policies. (For countries using program budgeting that breaks out individual anti-poverty programs, there should be a separate narrative associated with each such program.) Answer "b" if a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented. Answer "c" if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" if no estimates of policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations are not presented.

Source:

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf

Comment:

There are some discussion on the intend to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations on page 2, 3, 4, and 8, 9, 10, 11. As sample, last paragraph of page 11, presented the financing in the priority focus areas for sustaining economic growth, promoting competitiveness and economic productivity such as (1). build and repair roads, especially the restoration and repair of flood-damaged infrastructure and the construction of the river port. (2). continue to restore and build irrigation systems and promote the effectiveness of irrigation management, especially to control the flood level and and flooding areas. (3). continue to build and renovate schools in the provinces. (4). continue to build infrastructure, vocational training, public transport, and other priority areas such as health and water supply. (5). continue to implement the Cash for Work program, which is the government's direct intervention in creating rural employment. (6). continue to invest in the power sector for expanding the scope of electricity supply and lower electricity prices in the countryside. (7). fund direct investment projects in Tbong Khmum province. (8). continue investing in the development of agricultural infrastructure and investing in promoting food production, especially the production of rice and vegetables.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

To be consistent with Q17, the score should be (d)

IBP Comment

The score revision by the researcher from C to D is confirmed. As there are no estimates provided for the allocations to those policies, this question should score D.

53. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal (that is, a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 53 asks about the budget timetable. An internal timetable is particularly important for the executive's management of the budget preparation process, in order to ensure that the executive accounts for the views of the different departments and agencies in the proposed budget. The timetable would, for instance, set deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. So that civil society is aware of the various steps in the budget formulation process, and when opportunities may exist to engage the executive, it is essential that this timetable be made available to the public.

To answer "a," the executive must prepare a detailed budget timetable and release it to the public. A "b" answer applies if the timetable is made public, but some details are not included. A "c" response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government. Answer "d" applies if no timetable is made available to the public. As long as a timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal is released, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Executive's Budget Proposal is not made publicly available.

Answer:

a. Yes, a detailed timetable is released to the public.

Source:

In English: http://www.cambodianbudget.org/files-tinyce/New_Pic/PFM_/Law_on_Public_Finance_refinedEnglish.pdf

In Khmer: <http://en.nac-kh.org/english/ViewLawFile.aspx?LawDID=167>

Comment:

Public Finance System Law 2008 (Article 39)

The article includes three sections, with fairly detailed descriptions of what happens in each phase, and key dates (for example "[Ministries'] budget plans shall be sent to the Minister of Economy and Finance on the 15th of July at the latest"):

1. The Preparation of Budget Strategic Planning (March to May)
2. Preparation of Budget Package (June to September)
3. Budget Approval (October to December)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

54. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 54 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short- and long-term interest rates; the rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Source:

<http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/>

https://docs.google.com/a/servingweb.com/viewer?url=http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Comment:

On page 3 in the section of Macroeconomic Policy Framework 2019 of the circular on guiding for for the draft budget law preparation, presented the achievement which annual economic growth of around 7.0% , maintaining 2.9.0% annual inflation rate and stable exchange rate of around 4045 Riels/USD, and continued growth 1427 US Dollars of GDP per capita.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Comments: Information contained some core element and macroeconomic forecast. The answer should be B.

Researcher Response

Agreed with GR to move the answer to (b) as p-1-2 presented the core information for macroeconomic forest. those include 1)-estimate GDP growth of USD27,222 for 2019, 2)- Inflation rate of 3.1%, and 3)- exchange rate of USD1=4050Riels

IBP Comment

Based on the government and the researcher's response, for cross-country consistency this score is revised to B. While there is information missing on interest rates, nominal GDP is presented on p. 2, in addition to the information on GDP growth (7%), inflation rate (3.1%) and beyond-the-core information on exchange rates, current account deficit, and the composition of GDP growth. As there is information beyond the core, the score can be increased from C to B.

55. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities and an estimate of total expenditures.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 55 focuses on the government's expenditure policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total expenditures.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed programmatic proposals (such detailed information is typically only presented in the budget itself), it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least total expenditures associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can include some detail, for instance, estimates provided by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

<http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/>

https://docs.google.com/a/servingweb.com/viewer?url=http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Comment:

On page 13, presented the national current expenditure allocation in the priority sector as below:

- Social Sector: estimated 5,74% of GDP (increased 11.5% compared to enacted budget 2018)
- Economic Sector: estimated 1,30% of GDP (increased 3,8% compared to enacted budget 2018)
- General Administration: estimated 1,96% of GDP (deficted 4,8% compared to enacted budget 2018 and due to the decreased the budget for national election)
- National defend and security: estimated 3,93% of GDP (increased 10,9% compared to enacted budget 2018)

The current expenditure of municipal/province, town/district/Khan's fund and commune/Sankat's fund have been identified to 1,70% of GDP (increased 27,6% compared to enacted budget 2018)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the government's expenditure policies and priorities.

Researcher Response

due to no reference to support answer (b), it should be kept at (c)

IBP Comment

As there is no figure for total expenditure presented, a core element is missing, and the researcher's response of C is confirmed.

56. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's revenue policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of revenue policies and priorities and an estimate of total revenues.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 56 focuses on the government's revenue policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- a discussion of revenue policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total revenue.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed revenue proposals, it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of

at least the total revenue associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can also include more detail, for instance, with estimates provided by revenue category – tax and non-tax – or some of the major individual sources of revenue, such as the Value Added Tax or the income tax.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for the government's revenue policies and priorities.

Source:

<http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/>

https://docs.google.com/a/servingweb.com/viewer?url=http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Comment:

On page 7, presented the revenue 2019 with total estimated 19,40% of GDP, which increased 14.7% compared to enacted budget 2018. The General Department of Customs and Excise estimated to achieve 8,27% of GDP, General Tax Department estimated to achieve 7,08% of GDP and non-tax revenue is estimated to achieve 2,64% of GDP. Following to the page 8, 9, 10 and 11 the narrative discussion on 2019 revenue are presented.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Researcher Response

The score should be (a) as following information is shown: 1)- Nominal of GDP shown in p-1 2)-The estimate of total revenues in number was not shown in the circular of 2019 budget preparation- just a proportion of total estimated. eg. 19.40% of GDP (page7) 3)-yes, page 8,9 and 10 presented the policies and procedures to be strengthened and established for ensuring revenue collection

IBP Comment

As nominal GDP is presented on p. 3, the total revenues presented as a percentage of GDP can be accepted. The score is revised from C to A, as there are details on the amount of revenues from tax and non-tax sources.

57. Does Pre-Budget Statement present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 57 asks whether the Pre-Budget Statement includes three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- *the amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year; and*
- *the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year.*

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt for at least the upcoming budget year. For a "b" answer, the Pre-Budget Statement must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the PBS must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the PBS.

Answer:

c. Yes, one of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

PBS link:

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Also available here:

https://docs.google.com/a/servingweb.com/viewer?url=http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Additional sources:

Budget law 2018: http://mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Public Debt Statistical Bulletin: http://mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/public-debt-bulletin/Cambodia_Public_Debt_Statistical_Bulletin_Volume_6.pdf

Comment:

Information on public debt is presented in the Enacted budget and related legal documentation for BY 2018. In addition, a public debt statistical bulletin was published on the 27th of September 2018.

These two documents however, are not part of the Pre-Budget Statement - which is what this question refers to. Therefore, the appropriate response to this question is "d."

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

The score should be (c) as nominal GDP is shown on p-2 and 15

IBP Comment

On p. 15 of the Budget Circular, in Section 2.2.3, it presents the gross budget deficit of around 5.07% of GDP. As nominal GDP is presented on p. 3, this value can be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing. The score is revised from D to C.

58. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 58 asks about multi-year expenditure estimates in the Pre-Budget Statement.

To answer "a," expenditure estimates for at least two years beyond the upcoming budget year must be presented. The estimates must be for at least total expenditures, but could include more detail than just the aggregate total.

Answer:

b. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented.

Source:

https://docs.google.com/a/servingweb.com/viewer?url=http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/10620181528682577_7048Circular_on_Budget_Preparation-2019.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

59. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 59 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of the three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

From the link provided in the source box. The section 3 is the Enacted Budget present expenditure classification which in the annex table B1 (page 30 to 33), B2 (page 34) presented the administration unit with 35 line ministries/institutions/agencies are categories into four main sector (general administrative, security and public order, social affair, economic.) and 25 provinces.

Again, in the table B1 from page 30 to 33 with all line ministries/institutions/agencies presented the functional classification which under each ministry/institutions/agencies categories into (1). central administration and (9) provincial department and public investment with external financing.

In the annex table C1 (page 35 to 45) and C2 (page 46 to 47) presented the economic classification which each ministry/institutions/agencies categories all capital investment.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

59b. Based on the response to Question 59, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Enacted Budget:

Answer:

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Enacted Budget present expenditure classification which in the annex table B1 (page 30 to 33), B2 (page 34) presented the administration unit with 35 line ministries/institutions/agencies are categories into four main sector (general administrative, security and public order, social affair, economic.) and 25 provinces. Again, in the table B1 from page 30 to 33 with all line ministries/institutions/agencies presented the functional classification which under each ministry/institutions/agencies categories into (1). central administration and (9) provincial department and public investment with external financing. In the annex table C1 (page 35 to 45) and C2 (page 46 to 47) presented the economic classification which each ministry/institutions/agencies categories all capital investment.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

60. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 60 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable detaillé*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all programs, which account for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:

b. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the assessment referring to ផ្នែកទី ៣៖ ច្បាប់ស្តីពីហិរញ្ញវត្ថុសម្រាប់ការគ្រប់គ្រងឆ្នាំ២០១៩ of the link, there are programs mentioned and estimated by the enacted budget. The expenditure is tailored by sectors social, economic and administrative (and all ministries categorised underneath, and within which admin or program-related expenditure are broken down). It is the same revenue classification (non-tax and tax revenues collected by related ministries and general departments).

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures.

Researcher Response

On p-1/4 of part-3 budget law in 2018, it presented some of program budget in table "b1" national expenditure 2018. So, score should be (b)

61. Does the Enacted Budget present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 61 asks whether revenue estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents revenue estimates by category.

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the assessment referring to ផ្នែកទី ២៖ ៣. សេចក្តីផ្តេងហេតុនៃសេចក្តីព្រាងច្បាប់ហិរញ្ញវត្ថុឆ្នាំ ២០១៩ and ផ្នែកទី ៣៖ ច្បាប់ស្តីពីហិរញ្ញវត្ថុសម្រាប់ការគ្រប់គ្រងឆ្នាំ២០១៩ of the link, the revenue classification are planned to collect: non-tax and tax revenues by related ministries and general departments.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Based on the peer reviewer's confirmation, this question is scored as A.

62. Does the Enacted Budget present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES:

Question 62 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Enacted Budget. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue that account for less than two-thirds of revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue.

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the assessment referring to ផ្នែកទី ២៖ ៣. សេចក្តីផ្តេងហេតុនៃសេចក្តីព្រាងច្បាប់ហិរញ្ញវត្ថុឆ្នាំ ២០១៩ and ផ្នែកទី ៣៖ ច្បាប់ស្តីពីហិរញ្ញវត្ថុសម្រាប់ការគ្រប់គ្រងឆ្នាំ២០១៩ of the link, the revenue sources: non-tax and tax revenues are planned.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

63. Does the Enacted Budget present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 63 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year;*
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments,

or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Enacted Budget must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Enacted Budget must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:

b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/laws_regulation/budget-law2018&legal-document.zip

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Comments: Page #16 of the Executive Summary of EBP has mentioned all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Researcher Response

on p-1/4 and 4/4 of 2018 budget law, it presented the net borrowing (table a1) and loan payment (table b1)

IBP Comment

Thanks for the government's comment. However this question asks about the Enacted Budget, not the EBP. See the researcher comments on the information on new borrowing and interest payments, which qualifies for a B score.

64. What information is provided in the Citizens Budget?

(The core information must include expenditure and revenue totals, the main policy initiatives in the budget, the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based, and contact information for follow-up by citizens.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 64 focuses on the content of the Citizens Budget, asking whether "core" information is presented. These core components include:

- expenditure and revenue totals;
- the main policy initiatives in the budget;
- the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based; and
- contact information for follow-up by citizens.

To answer "a," the Citizens Budget or supporting documentation must present all of the above core information as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Citizens Budget must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if the Citizens Budget includes some of the core components above, but other core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if a Citizens Budget is not published.

Answer:

b. The Citizens Budget provides the core information.

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:

The Citizens Budget is the Budget In Brief for the Enacted Budget. The core information that's missing is the contact information for follow-up by citizens.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. The Citizens Budget provides the core information.

Comments: the majority of core information is provided. Hence, it should be "b". The department releases the document is mentioned, so citizen may contact them via general contact information on the website.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. The Citizens Budget provides the core information.

Comments: User can contact to General Department of Budget of the Ministry of Economy and Finance.

Researcher Response

Confirmed with the citizen budget in 2018 that core information are presented except contact detail

IBP Comment

Macroeconomic forecast is on p. 5 (GDP growth, exchange rate, inflation), total revenue is p. 9-10, total expenditures is p. 13, new policies are on p. 16. Since the document itself doesn't have any information how to contact the General Department of Budget, the score is B.

65. How is the Citizens Budget disseminated to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 65 asks how the Citizens Budget is disseminated to the public. Citizens Budgets should be made available to a variety of audiences. Therefore paper versions and an Internet posting of a document might not be sufficient.

To answer "a," the executive must use three or more different types of creative media tools to reach the largest possible share of the population, including those who otherwise would not normally have access to budget documents or information. Dissemination would also be pursued at the very local level, so that the coverage is targeted both by geographic area and population group (e.g., women, elderly, low income, urban, rural, etc.). Option "b" applies if significant dissemination efforts are made through a combination of two means of communications, for instance, both posting the Citizens Budget on the executive's official website and distributing printed copies of it. Option "c" applies if the Citizens Budget is disseminated through only posting on the executive's official website. Option "d" applies when the executive does not publish a Citizens Budget.

Answer:

c. A Citizens Budget is disseminated only by using one means of dissemination.

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:

the Citizens budget of the Enacted budget was made publicly available on the MEF website

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. A Citizens Budget is published by using at least two, but less than three, means of dissemination, but no other dissemination efforts are undertaken by the executive.

Comments: The Citizens budget of the Enacted budget was made publicly available on the MEF website and discussed by the Supreme Dialogue and Comment Council from defeat political parties before submitted to the National Assembly for approval.

Researcher Response

Due to no reference for availability of the hard copy of the budget in brief in 2018 beside the online of the mef.gov.kh, i would suggest to keep the score at (c)

IBP Comment

The researcher's comment is confirmed. Without any evidence of hard-copies being provided to the general public the only confirmed method of dissemination is the website. A score of C is confirmed.

66. Has the executive established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information prior to publishing the Citizens Budget?

GUIDELINES:

Question 66 asks whether the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information before publishing a Citizens Budget. What the public wants to know about the budget might differ from the information the executive includes in technical documents that comprise the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget; similarly, different perspectives might exist on how the budget should be presented, and this may vary depending on the context. For this reason the executive should consult with the public on the content and presentation of the Citizens Budget.

To answer "a," the executive must have established mechanisms to consult with the public, and these mechanisms for consultation are both accessible and widely used by the public. Such mechanisms can include focus groups, social networks, surveys, hotlines, and meetings/events in universities or other locations where people gather to discuss public issues. In countries where Citizens Budgets are consistently produced and released, it may be sufficient for the government to provide the public with contact information and feedback opportunities, and subsequently use the feedback to improve its management of public resources.

Option "b" applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation that are accessible to the public, but that the public nonetheless does not use frequently. That is, the public does not typically engage with the executive on the content of the Citizens Budget, even though the executive has created opportunities for such consultation. Option "c" applies if the executive has established mechanism for consultation with the public, but they are poorly designed and thus not accessible to the public. Option "d" applies if the executive has not created any mechanisms to seek feedback from the public on the content of the Citizens Budget.

Answer:

d. No, the executive has not established any mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information in the Citizen's Budget.

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

67. Are "citizens" versions of budget documents published throughout the budget process?

GUIDELINES:

Question 67 asks if "citizens" versions of budget documents are published throughout the budget process. While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle.

To answer "a," a citizens version of at least one budget document is published for each of the four stages of the budget process (budget formulation, enactment, execution, and audit) – for a total of at least four citizens budget documents throughout the process. Option "b" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process. Option "c" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least one of the four stages of the budget process. Select option "d" if no "citizens" version of budget documents is published.

Answer:

c. A citizens version of budget documents is published for at least one stage of the budget process.

Source:

http://mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/budget/BUDGET_IN_BRIEF_Fiscal_Year_2018.pdf

Comment:

Citizens version of the budget is for enacted budget are publicly available at the MEF website

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

68. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 68 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," actual expenditures must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if actual expenditures are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by any of the three classifications in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

a. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

The TOFE presented the administrative classification ("Current budget expenditure by ministry"), the bulletin presented the economic and finance statistic which show the activities of money spent, and the Flash report presented the social economy trend presented the consumer price index, finance, trade, budget execution, private investment, tourism, construction and the international commodity prices of crude oil, rice and rubber.

FLASH REPORTS:

BY 2018

Flash_Report_Jan-Feb_201801-02_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201801-02_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_March-April_201803-04_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201803-04_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_May-June_201805-06_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201805-06_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_June-July_201806-07_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201806-07_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_July-August_201807-08_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201807-08_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_September_201809_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf
Flash_Report_October_November_2018_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

BY 2017

Flash Report for Sep-Oct 2017, December 04, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201709-10_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Aug and Sept 2017, November 06, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201708-09_KH.pdf
Flash_report for July and Aug 2017, October 03, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201707-08_KH.pdf
Flash_report for June and July 2017, August 30, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201706-07_KH.pdf
Flash_report for May and June 2017, August 02, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201705-06_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Apr and May 2017, June 28, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201704-05_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Mar and Apr 2017, June 08, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201703_Kh.pdf
Flash_report for Feb and Mar 2017, May 02, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_20170203_KH.pdf
Flash_report for Jan and Feb 2017, April 04, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_january_february_2017.pdf
Flash_report for Dec 2016 and Jan 2017, March 01, 2017
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_201612~201701_kh.pdf

**ECONOMIC BULLETIN AND FINANCE STATISTICS
BY 2018**

Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q1_2018_Q1_KH,
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q2_2018_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_KH_2018.pdf
Bulletin_Economic and Finance Statistic_Q3_2018_KH, http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf
Bulletin_Q4, February 28, 2018
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q4_2017_KH.pdf

BY 2017

Bulletin_Q3, December 19, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_2017_KH.pdf

Bulletin_Q2, October 31, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q2_Aug_2017_KH.pdf

Bulletin_Q1, October 30, 2017

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q1_May_2017_KH.pdf

TOFE ON FINANCE AND ECONOMIC OPERATIONS

TOFE for October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

TOFE for December 2017, February 08, 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Dec-2017-KH.pdf>

TOFE for November 2017, January 08, 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Nov-2017-KH.pdf>

TOFE for October 2017, December 12, 2017

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Oct-2017-KH.pdf>

TOFE for September 2017 November 01, 2017

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-Sept-2017-KH.pdf>

TOFE for August 2017, October 03, 2017

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-August-2017-KH.pdf>

TOFE for July 2017, September 06, 2017

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-July%202017-KH.pdf>

Comment:

IYR presented the (1). Flash Report "social economy trend" produced bi-monthly, (2). Bulletin on "Economy and Finance statistic" produced by quarterly and (3). the TOFE on "Finance and Economy Operation" produced by monthly.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Researcher Response

the score should be (a) P-9 in table 5 of bulletin 2018, it presented all expenditures including the economical classification while p-10 table 7 revealed the functional classification

IBP Comment

The researcher's response is confirmed. Table 5 on p. 9 of the the Bulletin

(http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf) has the economic classification, including current (interest payments etc), and capital expenditure. Table 10 on p. 7 also has functional classification (ministries grouped by sectors). The score is confirmed as A.

68b. Based on the response to Question 68, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the In-Year Reports:

Answer:

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

Flash Report September 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Flash Report October/November 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

Bulletin Economic and Finance Statistic Q3 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofo on finance and economy operation, October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofo/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

The TOFE presented the administrative classification ("Current budget expenditure by ministry"), the bulletin presented the economic and finance statistic which show the activities of money spent, and the Flash report presented the social economy trend presented the consumer price index, finance, trade, budget execution, private investment, tourism, construction and the international commodity prices of crude oil, rice and rubber.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

P-9 in table 5 of bulletin 2018, it presented all expenditures including the economical classification while p-10 table 7 revealed the functional classification

IBP Comment

See the revised response in Q68.

69. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 69 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by program in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by program.

Source:

Flash Report September 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Flash Report October/November 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

Bulletin Economic and Finance Statistic Q3 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofo on finance and economy operation, October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofo/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

No such information is presented in the IYRs.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: We can only present the actual expenditure by program data from January to June.

70. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date expenditures with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 70 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual expenditures to-date with either the enacted levels or actual expenditures for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date expenditures in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast expenditures (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports

Answer:

a. Yes, comparisons are made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

Flash Report September 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Flash Report October/November 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

Bulletin Economic and Finance Statistic Q3 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofo on finance and economy operation, October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofo/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

See for example page 7 of the TOFE PDF (showing administrative classification of expenditures). There are various columns, including the approved law, year-to-ydate, and month by month expenditure (from Jan to October in the October 2018 TOFE for example).

See: <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofo/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

71. Do In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 71 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual revenues by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category.

Source:

Flash Report September 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Flash Report October/November 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

Bulletin Economic and Finance Statistic Q3 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofe on finance and economy operation, October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

Page 3 of the October 2018 TOFE seems to show revenue information. <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

72. Do In-Year Reports present the individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected?

GUIDELINES:

Question 72 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual collections of individual sources of revenue (such as income taxes, VAT, etc.). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue collected, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue that account for less than two-thirds of all revenue collected. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of actual revenue are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue accounting for all revenue.

Source:

Flash Report September 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Flash Report October/November 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

Bulletin Economic and Finance Statistic Q3 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofe on finance and economy operation, October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofe/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

yes, page 3 of the TOFE, the table presented individual sources of actual revenue accounting for all revenue

73. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date revenues with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 73 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual revenues to-date with either the enacted levels or actual revenues for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date revenues in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast revenues (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Answer:

a. Yes, comparisons are made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

Flash Report September 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Flash Report October/November 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

Bulletin Economic and Finance Statistic Q3 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofo on finance and economy operation, October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofo/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

All the Statistic Bulletin produced in every quarter provided information BY, BY-1, BY-2 (in this case 2018, 2017 and 2016). See for example Q1 2018: http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_2018_Q1_KH.pdf page 3, 4, 5 presented the narrative discussion of the executive summary on the key achievement with data on economic situation, inflation, exchange rate, the public finance situation, and the investment activities etc. page 6 to 21 presented the statistic indicators of the first quality of 2018 compared to 2017 and 2016.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

74. Do In-Year Reports present three estimates related to actual government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing; the total debt outstanding; and interest payments?

GUIDELINES:

Question 74 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing so far during the year;
- the central government's total debt burden at that point in the year; and
- the interest payments to-date on the outstanding debt.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, In-Year Reports must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, IYRs must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

Flash Report September 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Flash Report October/November 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

Bulletin Economic and Finance Statistic Q3 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofo on finance and economy operation, October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofo/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

The total amount of debt outstanding is not presented

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Comments: The total amount of debt outstanding is presented. Page 16 of Executive Summary of EBP.

Researcher Response

Based on the table of TOFE in Oct.2018, it presented only domestic and external debt. Thus, it should be same as the original score (b)

IBP Comment

Thank you to the government reviewer. However, this question refers to In-Year Reports, not the EBP. There is also no p. 16 in the TOFE reports. The researcher's response is confirmed. The TOFE reports on PDF p. 3 of the TOFE shows new borrowing for the year, and also interest payments. Total debt is not shown. Therefore the score is B. <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofo/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

75. Do In-Year Reports present information related to the composition of the total actual debt outstanding?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 75 focuses on the composition of government debt, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 74, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens and banks and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt to-date as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

d. No, information related to composition of total actual debt outstanding is not presented.

Source:

Flash Report September 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/Flash_Report_201809_KH.pdf

Flash Report October/November 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/flash_report/flash_report_october_november_2018_KH.pdf

Bulletin Economic and Finance Statistic Q3 2018

http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/bulletin/Bulletin_Q3_KH_2018.pdf

Tofo on finance and economy operation, October 2018

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/shares/publication/tofo/TOFE-October-2018-KH.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Because there is no information on total debt outstanding (as reported in Q74), as per an IBP consistency check, then this question is scored as D. The response is revised from C to D.

76. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 76 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated macroeconomic forecast and explain all of the differences between the initial forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and the updated forecast. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the macroeconomic forecast must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated forecasts are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the macroeconomic forecast has not been updated.

Answer:

b. Yes, the estimates for macroeconomic forecast have been updated, and an explanation of some of the differences between the original and updated forecasts is presented.

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

P-4 under 3.1 section presented macroeconomic status in first semester and its assessment in 2018, especially table 2 of the same p-4 indicated the proportion of economic increased by sectors comparing from 2016, 2017, and 2018. P-5 presented the explanation of how economic sectors are increased

77. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 77 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of expenditure for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated expenditure estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. The expenditure estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated expenditure estimates, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Answer:

b. Yes, expenditure estimates have been updated, and an explanation of some of the differences between the original and updated expenditure estimates is presented.

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

The Mid-Year Report 2018 (page 3) shows the percentage of budget implementation in the year 2016, 2017 and 2018 compared to GDP of the current revenue and expenditure of total state budget. The (national) state revenue for BY 2018, with headings indicating enacted budget, first semester and (updated) expected annual revenue presented in the table 3 (page 8), and table 4 (page 12) for the sub-national revenue.

Table 5 (page 14) presented the state budget expenditure category into the enacted budget, first semester and estimated for annual expenditure; the sub-national expenditure is presented in the category on page 18.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

Agreed to maintain as (b) due to page 14,15 and 16 of the mid-year budget report provided explanation on some differences between original and updated expenditure of functional classification

78. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present expenditure estimates for the budget year underway by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 78 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

b. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents expenditure estimates by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

MYR presented the expenditure estimates by administrative and the narrative discussion on the economic and functional of how money spent.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents expenditure estimates by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Comments: based on the report <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf> and comments of the researcher, at least two categories were presented in the report: economic, social and other administrative expenses.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

No individual ministry expenditure was reported based on this link <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf> Not presented the expenditures by ministries (administrative classification). However, it presented economic and functional classification expenditures on P-13-18

IBP Comment

The researcher's response is confirmed. Economic classification is presented on p. 14 and p. 18, shows the functional classification and an economic classification with capital and current breakdown, showing salaries and wages.

78b. Based on the response to Question 78, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Mid-Year Review:

Answer:

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

Only a narrative discussion is presented on the economic and functional classifications of how money is spent.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Administrative classification Economic classification

Comments: see pages 13-18 at <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Administrative classification and Economic classification

Researcher Response

No individual ministry expenditure was reported based on this link <http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf> Not presented the expenditures by ministries (administrative classification). However, it presented economic and functional classification expenditures on P-13-18

79. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present expenditure estimates for the budget year underway for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 79 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

80. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 80 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of revenue for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated revenue estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the revenue estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated revenue estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the revenue estimates have not been updated.

Answer:

b. Yes, revenue estimates have been updated, and an explanation of some of the differences between the original and updated revenue estimates is presented.

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

Updated information on revenue is shown in the MYR, and some narrative is also included.

The MYR explicitly mentions that "In 2017, compared with the same period in the first six months..."

Revenue are divided into Recurrent Revenue (fiscal, further divided into customs and excise duties and tax revenue; and non-fiscal that includes general, state property and nontaxable income) and Capital Income (further divided into two categories, "capital in the country" and "funding fund")

An extract of the narrative is summarily translated by GoogleTranslate as

"...Capital expenditure reached 219,042 million Riel equal to 50.9% of the Financial Law, of which the capital income in the country was 58,309 million riel, equal to 32.4% of the financial law, higher than the same period In 2017, implementing 27.2% of the financial and revenue legislation, the fund supported by foreign financing amounted to 160,734 million riel, equivalent to 64.3% of the law. Financial. Estimated revenues from the source in 2018 can be determined by the financial laws. A.1- Total fiscal revenue for the first half of 2018 applies 55.8% of the Financial Law, in which: • Customs and Excise Implementation amounted to 4,717,088 million Riel, equivalent to 56.6% of the Financial Law, higher than the same period in 2017, accounting for 47.7% of the financial law as a percentage of customs revenue. , And an increase of 35.2% over the same period in 2017 due to a number of factors such as increased import volume of major commodities, especially automobile import ..."

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Based on confirmation from the researcher, as the table on p. 8 of the MYR shows 2018 enacted budget, 2018 mid-year actual values as well as a column with '2018 annual estimates' with revised estimates for tax and non-tax revenues for the remainder of the 2018 FY, this response is confirmed as B.

81. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present revenue estimates for the budget year underway by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 81 asks whether revenue estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents revenue estimates by category.

Source:

<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

The original revenues according to the Enacted Budget, the first semester revenue and the estimates for budget year underway are presented by category of tax and non-tax, on page 8 for the national revenue, and on page 12 for the sub-national revenue.

Another short extract from Google Translate:

"The tax on 47.5% of the financial law, in terms of cash income, decreased by 2.5% over the same period in 2017:

- Value Added Tax (Internal Revenue) applies 47.4% of the Financial Law, while cash income decreased by 18.2% over the same period in 2017,
- The special tax on domestic goods and services exceeds 57.0% of the financial law, compared to the same period of 2017 in terms of cash income, 9.7%

The decrease in tax revenues in the first half of 2018 is mainly due to: (1) - In real estate receipts as early as 2017, income of 469,680 million Riel, Implementation at the end of 2016 was recorded as a national income at the beginning of 2017, resulting in a significant increase in revenues in the first six months of 2017, On income of KHR 94,282 million, VAT 309,339 million Riel and other tax revenues of KHR 6,720 million, (2) Existing policies and preferential tariffs on some priority sectors of the Royal The government and (3) the realization of tax on real estate and movable properties for sub-national administrations is also important to reduce national tax revenues. This type of revenue contributed 4.5% of the national tax revenues are 300 to 303 million."

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Based on confirmation from the researcher, as the table on p. 8 of the MYR shows both 2018 mid-year actual values as well as a column with '2018 annual estimates' with revised estimates for tax and non-tax revenues for the remainder of the 2018 FY, this response is confirmed as A.

82. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 82 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Mid-Year Review. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenues, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

c. Yes, the Mid-Year Review presents individual sources of revenue accounting for less than two-thirds of all revenues.

Source:
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:
Tax and non-tax revenue are presented at both national and sub-national level, with administration classification

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
The table on p. 8 shows some individual sources, including customs, excise, and state property revenues. Details of other individual revenue sources are not provided except as categories. The researcher's response of C is confirmed.

83. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 83 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- *The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *The interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, and explain all of the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the estimates of borrowing and debt must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the estimates of borrowing and debt have not been updated.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of government borrowing and debt have not been updated.

Source:
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:
Estimates of borrowing and debt have been updated but the information on the interest rates on the debt and maturity profile of the debt not presented

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

84. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Question 84 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual expenditures for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all expenditures, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report

Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

The Budget Settlement Law for FY 2017 was published by 17 December 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Comments: Throughout the law on The Budget Settlement for FY 2017 presents the comparison between the plan and actual expenditure

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for some but not all expenditures are presented.

Researcher Response

Agreed with peer review as the reference of budget settlement law for FY 2017 indicated the estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included

85. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 85 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. Answer "b" if expenditure estimates are presented by two of these three classifications. Answer "c" if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

c. Yes, the Year-End Report presents expenditure estimates by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view
<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, the Year-End Report presents expenditure estimates by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

Comments: at least administrative/ ministerial unit are presented in the report

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

Government Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Researcher Response

based on page 2/19-18/19 of 2017 budget settlement law, the table "B" indicated the functional expenditure classification (by sectors), and table "B1" on page 19/19 indicated the public investment expenditure by local financiers. Please refer to this link <http://web.treasury.gov.kh/download-document/94>

85b. Based on the response to Question 85, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

Functional classification

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Administrative classification Economic classification

Comments: in the report, ministries are classified according to their categories: administrative, social and economics; these should consider matching mentioned definition.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Researcher Response

based on page 2/19-18/19 of 2017 budget settlement law, the table "B" indicated the functional expenditure classification (by sectors), and table "B1" on page 19/19 indicated the public investment expenditure by local financiers. Please refer to this link <http://web.treasury.gov.kh/download-document/94>

IBP Comment

The researcher's response is confirmed. Those sectors are a functional classification.

86. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 86 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to

mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" if expenditures are not presented by program in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

c. Yes, the Year-End Report presents estimates for programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, the Year-End Report presents estimates for programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures.

Comments: tables from pages 2-5/5 present individual programs of spending, and tables from 1-19/19 present their accounting codes and chapter of expenditure. These should be considered their program accounting.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

Agreed with PR to move answer to (c) as the table "B" and "B1" of 2017 budget settlement law on page 1-19 indicated the expenditure of functional classification and accounting code chapter under ministries and departments which could be considered as program accounting Please refer to this link <http://web.treasury.gov.kh/download-document/94>

87. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

GUIDELINES:

Question 87 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all revenues, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Article 1 on page 3 of the Budget Settlement Law 2017 presents the actual enacted budget and the implementation budget with percentage and the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues and expenditure followed by a narrative discussion in articles 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. More information about the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues and expenditure are presented in the annex A, A1, A2, A3, B, B1, B2, B3 on page 15 to 47.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Researcher Response

Article 1 on p-3 of 2017 settlement budget law, the table presented actual revenues, expenditures and the differences between enacted and actual outcomes for revenues and expenditures in both nominal and percentages. Article 2 and 3 presented the narrative explanation the differences of revenues while article 4 presented the narrative explanation the differences of expenditures comparing to enacted level

88. Does the Year-End Report present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 88 asks whether revenue estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by "category" – that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents revenue estimates by category.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Tax and non-tax revenue are presented in article 9 on the sub-national budget implementation in a table on page 9; non-tax revenue of the commune council is presented in a table on page 10.

More information about Tax and Non-Tax revenue are presented in annex A (pages 12-15), A1 (pages 16-17), A2 (page 18), and A3 (page 19).

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

89. Does the Year-End Report present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES:

Question 89 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Year-End Report. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

b. Yes, the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, revenue.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

The individual sources of revenue presented under the Tax and non-tax revenue in the article 9 on the sub-national budget implementation in a table on page 9 and non-tax revenue of the commune council in a table on page 10. More information about the revenue are presented in the annex A (page 12-15) A1 (page 16-17), A2 (page 18), and A3 (page 19).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: tax: income tax, salary tax, tax on properties, tax on occupation, import tax, value added tax, non tax: fishery concession, forest concession, oil/ diesel tax, mining concession, vacant land, land renting, social insurance, capital of civil aviation, tourism, television and radio, selling public state property, passport

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

90. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 90 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates and the actual outcome for the fiscal year for borrowing and debt, including its composition, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- *the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Debt capital payment presented in the article 4 on page 6 which telling the enacted budget is 665 514,0 million Riel, actual payment is 566 237,3 million Riel and the difference is 99 276,7 million Riel from the enacted level. The narrative discussion and actual outcome are not presented.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response
Article 4 p-6/11 of 2017 settlement budget law, presented the differences between debt installment payment expenditure and enacted level in total amount-Not separating between principals and interest payments

IBP Comment
Due to the researcher's confirmation that interest payments are not presented separately from interest payments, this score is revised to a D.

90b. Based on the response to Question 90, check the box(es) to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, have the differences between the original forecast and the actual outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

Information beyond the core elements:

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Debt capital payment presented in the article 4 on page 6 which telling the enacted budget is 665 514,0 million Riel, actual payment is 566 237,3 million Riel and the difference is 99 276,7 million Riel from the enacted level. The narrative discussion and actual outcome are not presented.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response
Article 4 p-6/11 of 2017 settlement budget law, presented the differences between debt installment payment expenditure and enacted level in total amount-Not separating between principals and interest payments. Thus, the last box should be answered for this Q90b

IBP Comment
Due to the researcher's confirmation that interest payments are not separated from principal payments, this score is selected as 'none of the above'

91. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 91 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast in the Executive's Budget Proposal. Core components include estimates of the nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates, although the importance of other macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil, can vary from country to country.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

91b. Based on the response to Question 91, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast have the differences between the original forecast and the outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

92. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 92 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 49 for the nonfinancial data on inputs included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

There is no narrative discussion and estimation of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

93. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 93 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 92).

Refer to Question 50 for the nonfinancial data on results included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

There is no narrative discussion and estimation of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

94. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 94 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative

discussion.

Refer to Question 52 for assistance to the most impoverished populations in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

There is no narrative discussion and estimation of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

95. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 95 asks whether the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 33 for estimates of extra-budgetary funds in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

In the annex table B (page 38), presented the estimate of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome for the year for the current expenditure of the central government and sub-national level and capital expenditure of ministries. However, there is no narrative discussion on those estimates.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

96. Is a financial statement included as part of the Year-End Report or released as a separate report?

GUIDELINES:

Question 96 asks whether a financial statement is included as part of the Year-End Report, or whether it is released as a separate report. The financial statement can include some or all of the following elements: a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting. For purposes of responding to this question, the financial statement in question does not need to be audited. For an example of a financial statement, see the document "Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand 2013" (<https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2013-10/fsgnz-year-jun13.pdf>)

To answer "a," a financial statement must either be included in the Year-End Report or must be released as a separate report. Answer "a" applies if a financial statement is released as a separate report, even if the Year-End Report is not publicly available. Answer "b" applies if no financial statement is released either as part of the Year-End Report or as a separate report.

Answer:

b. No, a financial statement is neither part of the Year-End Report nor released as a separate report.

Source:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Az_uucPtUu6Gp9jRT7RP1SKSDhCXI4f2/view

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, a financial statement is part of the Year-End Report or is released as a separate report.

Comments: some elements of the balance sheet (income and expenditure) is presented in the report should be considered as part of the financial statement.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

As no evidence to support (a) while the budget settlement law 2017 not presented a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting, therefore, it should be kept at (b)

97. What type of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) has the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) conducted and made available to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 97 asks about the types of audits conducted by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). There are three basic types of audits:

- Financial audits are intended to determine if an entity's financial information is accurate (free from errors or fraud) and presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting and regulatory framework. See ISSAI 200 (<http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm>) for more detail.
- Compliance audits look at the extent to which the relevant regulations and procedures have been followed. See ISSAI 400 (<http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm>) for more details.
- Performance audits assess whether activities are adhering to the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. See ISSAI 300 (<http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm>) for more details. ≈

Financial and compliance audits are more common than performance audits, which usually occur only once a performance framework has been agreed upon. In some countries, the SAI's mandate limits the type of audit it can conduct.

To answer "a," the SAI must have conducted all three types of audit – financial, compliance, and performance – and made all of them available to the public. A "b" response applies if the SAI has conducted two of the three audit types, and a "c" applies if it has conducted only one type of audit. Answers "b" and "c" may be selected even if the Audit Report is not publicly available, as long as the SAI has conducted compliance or performance audits and made them available

to the public. A "d" response applies if the SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

Answer:

b. The SAI has conducted two of the three types of audits, and made them available to the public.

Source:

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2017_kh.pdf

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2016_kh.pdf

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2015_kh.pdf

<http://naa.gov.kh/public-audit-report.html>

Comment:

Performance audits is not included the public audit report 2017. Other more, from the website of NAA, there are an audit report presented on the draft budget settlement law 2014, public audit report for 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

98. What percentage of expenditures within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:

Question 98 focuses on the coverage of audits by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), asking what percentage of expenditures within the SAI's mandate has been audited.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to budgetary central government (ministries, departments, and agencies) that are within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 99 addresses audits of extra-budgetary funds.) Further, the question does not apply to "secret programs" (for example, security-related expenditures that are confidential). Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. Financial audits and compliance audits, or a hybrid of the two, can be taken into account to answer this question. Performance audits should not be considered for this question.

To answer "a," all expenditures within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if at least two-thirds, but not all, expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response is appropriate when less than two-thirds of expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies when no expenditures have been audited.

Answer:

a. All expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited.

Source:

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2017_kh.pdf

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

In the table of article 1 (page 3) of the Budget Settlement law 2017 presented the actual budget implementation as revenue is 21 645 980,7 Million Riel and expenditure is 20 016 006,9 which is the same data coverage by the public audit report 2017 (page 8 and 9). So all expenditure within the SAI's mandate must be audited.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

99. What percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:

Question 99 focuses on audits of extra-budgetary funds, asking what percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited. These funds, although technically outside the budget, are governmental in nature and thus should be subject to the same audit requirement as other government programs.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 98 addresses audits of budgetary central government.) Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

To answer "a," all extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies if extra-budgetary funds have not been audited.

Answer:

d. No extra-budgetary funds have been audited.

Source:

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2017_kh.pdf

<http://www.treasury.gov.kh/download/%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%94%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8F%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%96%E1%9E%B8%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%91%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%8F%E1%9F%8B%E1%9E%90/>

Comment:

The public audit report 2017 (page 8, 9) and budget settlement law 2017 in the table of article 1 (page 3) presented the same budget amount of actual revenue is 21 645 980,7 Million Riel and expenditure is 20 016 006,9 Million Riel. However, there no data and narrative description on the extra-budgetary funds announce in this audit report.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

100. Does the annual Audit Report(s) prepared by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) include an executive summary?

GUIDELINES:

Question 100 asks whether the annual Audit Report includes an executive summary. Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. The Audit Report can be a fairly technical document, and an executive summary of the report's findings can help make it more accessible to the media and the public.

To answer "a," the Audit Report must include at least one executive summary summarizing the report's content. Answer "b" applies if the Audit Report does not include an executive summary, or the Audit Report is not made publicly available.

Answer:

a. Yes, the annual Audit Report(s) includes one or more executive summaries summarizing the report's content.

Source:

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2017_kh.pdf

Comment:

The executive summary of the public audit report 2017 presented in the page 1 to 4.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

101. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

GUIDELINES:

Question 101 asks whether the executive reports to the public on the steps it has taken to address audit recommendations made by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). The ultimate purpose of audits is to verify that the budget was executed in a manner consistent with existing law, and to hold the government accountable for this execution and its future improvement. The extent to which audits achieve the latter depends on whether there is adequate and timely follow-up on the recommendations provided in the SAI's audit reports.

To answer "a," the executive must report publicly on the steps it has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address audit finding, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if the executive does not report at all on its steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.

Source:

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2017_kh.pdf

Comment:

The Executive Report is a binding as full report of the public audit report 2017. There is no narrative discussion on the steps to be taken to address the audit recommendation and findings.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

102. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?

GUIDELINES:

Question 102 asks whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or the legislature track actions by the executive to address audit recommendations. After audit results and recommendations are discussed and validated by the legislature, the executive is normally asked to take certain actions to address the audit findings. For accountability purposes, the public needs to be informed about the status of those actions, and steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. In addition to the executive reporting on its actions (see Question 101), the SAI and legislature – as the key oversight institutions – have a responsibility to keep the public informed by tracking the executive's progress in addressing audit recommendations.

To answer "a," the SAI or legislature must report publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the SAI or legislature reports publicly on the steps the executive has taken, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if neither the SAI nor the legislature reports on the executive's steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

d. No, neither the SAI nor legislature reports on steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations.

Source:

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2017_kh.pdf

Comment:

Only the recommendations and findings were presented, in the audit report 2017, but not a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to

address audit recommendations. However, four strategic objectives of the Strategic Development Plan 2017-2021 of the National Audit Authority are presented, including the improvement of quality of audit report.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

103. Is there an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) that conducts budget analyses for the budget formulation and/or approval process?

GUIDELINES:

Question 103 examines whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) exists that contributes budget analyses to the budget formulation and/or approval process. According to the Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions, adopted by the OECD Council in 2014, "independent fiscal institutions are publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance", and with "a forward-looking ex ante diagnostic task". In practice, they come in two main forms:

- *Parliamentary budget offices (also known as PBOs) such as the Congressional Budget Office in the United States (<https://www.cbo.gov/>), the Parliamentary Budget Office in South Africa (<https://www.parliament.gov.za/parliamentary-budget-office>), and the Center for Public Finance Studies in Mexico (Centro de Estudios de las Finanzas Públicas, <http://www.cefp.gob.mx/>); or*
- *Fiscal councils such as the Office for Budget Responsibility in the United Kingdom (<https://obr.uk/>), the Fiscal Policy Council in Sweden (Finanspolitiska Rådet, <http://www.finanspolitiskaradet.com/>), and the High Council for Public Finances in France (Haut Conseil des finances publiques, <https://www.hcfp.fr/>).*

For more information, see von Trapp et al. 'Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions and Case Studies', OECD Journal on Budgeting 15:2 (special issue, 2016), <https://doi.org/10.1787/budget-15-5jm2795tv625>.

To answer "a," there must be an IFI, and its independence must be set in law. In addition, it must have sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to carry out its tasks. Answer "b" applies if an IFI exists, but either its independence is not set in law or its staffing and resources are insufficient to carry out its tasks. Answer "c" applies if an IFI exists, but its independence is not set in law and it lacks sufficient staffing and resources. Answer "d" applies if no IFI exists.

If the answer is "a," "b," or "c," please specify in the comments the name and type of IFI that exists (e.g., parliamentary budget office or fiscal council). If the answer is "a" or "b," identify the law that guarantees its independence, and provide evidence in support of the assessment of the adequacy of its staffing and resources. This can include the IFI's total budget allocation over recent years, any press reports that discuss perceived funding shortfalls, assessments by international organizations, and/or information from interviews with staff of the IFI.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI.

Source:

The supreme national council of economic (SNCE) is the state institution and established by government. This was considered as independent, however staff of the unit is delegate and take roles in both Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF) and SNCE. So, there is no IFI yet in Cambodia.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

104. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts?

GUIDELINES:

Question 104 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a role in producing the macroeconomic forecast (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest

rates, etc.) and/or the fiscal forecast (revenues, expenditure, deficits, and debt), and if so, what kind of role it has. Macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasting is a typical core function across IFIs, but their role in forecasting takes several forms (von Trapp et al. 2016, p. 17 and Table 2). Some IFIs produce just a macroeconomic forecast, while others produce a complete fiscal forecast (which also typically requires an underlying macroeconomic forecast). In some cases, the fiscal forecast reflects continuation of current budget policies; such forecasts can be used by the legislature, the media, or the public to assess the projections in the executive's budget reflecting the government's policy proposals.

Some IFIs produce the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts used in the executive's budget. In other cases, IFIs do not prepare their own independent forecasts, but rather produce an assessment of the official estimates, or provide an opinion on, or endorsement of, the government's forecasts. Some others have no role at all in forecasting.

To answer "a", there must be an IFI that publishes both its own macroeconomic AND fiscal forecasts. Answer "b" applies if an IFI publishes its own macroeconomic OR fiscal forecast (but not both). Answer "c" applies if the IFI does not publish a macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but rather publishes an assessment of the official forecasts produced by the executive and used in the budget. Choose option "d" if there is no IFI; or if there is an IFI that neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts for the budget.

Macroeconomic forecasts may include indicators relating to economic output and economic growth, inflation, and the labor market, amongst others. Fiscal forecasts may include estimates of revenues, expenditures, the budget balance, and debt. If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify which indicators and estimates are included in the forecasts and whether the forecast is used by government as the official forecast. If the answer is "c," please describe the nature and depth of the assessment (e.g., the length of the commentary, or whether it covers both economic and fiscal issues).

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts produced by the executive.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

105. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish its own costings of new policy proposals, to assess their impact on the budget?

GUIDELINES:

Question 105 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a costing function that involves assessing the budgetary implications of new policy proposals for both revenues and expenditures, and if so, what kind of role it has. Many IFIs have a costing role, but with substantial diversity in the nature and extent of this work (von Trapp et al 2016, pp. 17-18 and Table 2). Some assess virtually all new policy proposals, while others cost only a selection of new policy proposals. Others only publish opinions on, or scrutinize the costings of, budget measures produced by the executive.

To answer "a," the IFI must publish its own costings of all (or virtually all) new policy proposals. Answer "b" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only for major new policy proposals – for instance, only those proposals that cost or save above a certain amount. Answer "c" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only on a limited number of proposals. This could occur, for instance, if the IFI lacked the capacity to assess proposals dealing with certain sectors. Instead of producing a cost estimate, it can also publish an assessment of the estimates produced by the executive. Answer "d" applies if there is no IFI; or if the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals or provide an assessment of the official costings of new policy proposals.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

106. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:

Question 106 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Almost all IFIs interact with the legislature in some form (von Trapp et al 2016, p. 18), but the intensity of the interaction varies. This question assesses this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the IFI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the IFI staff member in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). As evidence to support your answer, you can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the IFI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; and "c" for once or twice. Answer "d" should be selected if the head or a senior staff member of the IFI never took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature, or if there is no IFI.

Answer:

d. Never, or there is no IFI.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

107. Does the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 107 asks whether the legislature debates budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal. In general, prior to discussing the Executive's Budget Proposal for the coming year, the legislature should have an opportunity to review the government's broad budget priorities and fiscal parameters. Often times this information is laid out in a Pre-Budget Statement, which the executive presents to the legislature for debate. (See Questions 54-58.)

A number of countries conduct a pre-budget debate in the legislature around six months before the start of the budget year. In some cases, they adopt laws that guide the upcoming budget, for example the Budget Guidelines Law in Brazil and the Spring Fiscal Policy Bill in Sweden. A pre-budget debate can serve two main purposes: 1) to allow the executive to inform the legislature of its fiscal policy intentions by presenting updated reports on its annual and medium-term budget strategy and policy priorities; and 2) to establish "hard" multi-year fiscal targets or spending ceilings, which the government must adhere to when preparing its detailed spending estimates for the upcoming budget year.

To answer "a," the full legislature must debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.

Answer "b" applies if a legislative committee (but not the full legislature) debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, and approves recommendations for the budget. Option "b" also applies if, in addition to the action by the committee, the full legislature also debates budget policy in advance of the budget, but does not approve recommendations.

Answer "c" applies if the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, but does not approve recommendations for the budget. Answer "d" applies if neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

In your comment, please indicate the dates of the budget debate, and if both the full legislature and a legislative committee held a debate. Note that a debate does not need to be open to the public, but a public record of the meeting or a public notice that the meeting occurred is required. In addition, please indicate whether the budget debate was focused on a Pre-Budget Statement published by the Executive. If the Executive did not publish a Pre-Budget Statement, then please indicate what served as the focus of the legislature's debate (for instance, a report released by an IFI or some other institution).

Answer:

d. No, neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

National News: <https://www.khmertimeskh.com/547902/ministry-explains-budget/>
MEF's website: <http://mef.gov.kh/workshop-07-11-2018.html>

Comment:

The prior discussion on the EBP for the coming year was not conducted but the workshop on Building the Macroeconomic Policy Framework and Public Financial Policy for Drafting the Law on National Budget 2019 held at the National Assembly on November 6, 2018 which about 200 participants from the ministry, Senate, National Assembly and other relevant ministries were briefed on the draft 2019 budget.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

108. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 108 examines how far in advance of the start of the budget year the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be submitted to the legislature far enough in advance to allow the legislature time to review it properly, or at least three months prior to the start of the fiscal year. (See, for instance, Principle 2.2.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>)).

For the purposes of responding to this question, if – and only if – the most recent budget submission occurred later than usual as a result of a particular event, such as an election, please use a more normal year as the basis for the response. If, however, delays have been observed for more than one budget year, and the legislature has not received the Executive's Budget Proposal in a timely manner on more than one occasion in the last three years, then "d" will be the appropriate answer.

To answer "a," the legislature must receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least three months in advance of the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature does not receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month prior to the start of the budget year, or does not receive it at all.

Answer:

b. The legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year.

Source:

The executive summary of EBP 2019 posted on MEF's website and MEF's facebook page:
http://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Executive_Summary_2019_Final.pdf
<https://www.facebook.com/671717862924355/posts/1922424587853670/>

News of Council of Minister submitted the Draft EBP to National Assembly: <http://m.en.freshnewsasia.com/index.php/en/11672-2018-10-26-05-22-48.html>

News on draft EBP 2018 approved by National Assembly: <http://m.en.freshnewsasia.com/index.php/en/11936-2018-11-15-07-19-16.html>

News draft budget law approved by king post on MEF's website:

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/_____pdf

Comment:

the Council of Ministers pass the draft budget law 2019 to the legislature on 26 Oct 2018 while the date of approving budget law 2019 was on 15 November 2018 by the National Assembly of Cambodia and approved by the king on 12 December 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

109. When does the legislature approve the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 109 examines when the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be approved by the legislature before the start of the fiscal year the budget proposal refers to. This gives the executive time to implement the budget in its entirety, particularly new programs and policies.

In some countries, the expenditure and revenue estimates of the Executive's Budget Proposal are approved separately; for purposes of this question, at least the expenditure estimates must be approved. Further, approval of the budget implies approval of the full-year budget, not just a short-term continuation of spending and revenue authority.

To answer "a," the legislature must approve the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month before the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month after the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.

Answer:

a. The legislature approves the budget at least one month in advance of the start of the budget year.

Source:

Budget Law 2018 approved by the king and posted on MEF's website:

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/_____pdf

Draft budget law 2018 approved by National Assembly: <http://m.en.freshnewsasia.com/index.php/en/11936-2018-11-15-07-19-16.html>

Comment:

EBP is approved by National Assembly by 25 November 2018 and approved by the king on 12 December 2018 so the approval period is more than one month in advance of the start of the budget year.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

110. Does the legislature have the authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 110 examines the legislature's power to amend—as opposed to simply accept or reject—the budget proposal presented by the executive. This question is about legal authority rather than actions the legislature takes in practice. The legislature's powers to amend the budget can vary substantially across countries.

The "a" response is appropriate only if there are no restrictions on the right of the legislature to modify the Executive's Budget Proposal, including its right to change the size of the proposed deficit or surplus. The "b" response would be appropriate if, for instance, the legislature is restricted from changing the deficit or surplus, but it still has the power to increase or decrease funding and revenue levels. The more limited "c" response would apply if, for instance, the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive's Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues. Finally, response "d" would apply if the legislature may not make any changes (or only small technical changes), or if amendments must first be approved by the executive. In these cases, the legislature is essentially only able to approve or reject the budget as a whole. If the answer is "b" or "c", please indicate the nature of the amendment powers available to the Parliament and how they are limited.

Answer:

c. Yes, the legislature has authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but its authority is very limited.

Source:

Law on Public Finance System 2008, Article 52- http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_c... (http://www.cdccrdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Constitution of the Kingdom of Cambodia, article 91- http://www.senate.gov.kh/home/index.php?option=com_content&v... (http://www.senate.gov.kh/home/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=62&Itemid=566&lang=en)

Comment:

Legislature really has limited authority to amend both expenditure and revenue side of the budget. Article 91 of the Constitution as amended March 1999 stipulates that: "The Senators, the Members of the National Assembly and the Prime Minister shall have the right to initiate legislation.

Members of the National Assembly shall have the right to propose amendments to the laws but proposed amendments cannot be accepted if they might have the effect of reducing public income or increasing the burden on the people.
Article 52: No any amendment on the draft Law on Finance is permitted if such amendment has impact on the balance of a proposed budget. This type of amendment can only be made in the following conditions: - Newly proposed expenditure shall be compensated by elimination or reduction of any other spending in order to maintain balanced budget; - Newly proposed expenditure shall be made with accompanied proposed savings on any types of an already proposed spending in order to maintain balanced budget; - Newly proposed expenditure shall be made with accompanied proposal to create new item of revenue or increase revenue to maintain balanced budget. - Any amendment in contrary to the provisions of this above Article shall be rejected and considered as null and void.
Noted: Cambodia's government is on single party at the moment to approve the budget.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:
b. Yes, the legislature has authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, with some limitations.

Researcher Response
since no evidence to support (b), i would suggest to keep it as (c)

IBP Comment
Based on the law, the legislature has authority only as described in response C, "the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive's Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues". The researcher's response is confirmed.

111. During the most recent budget approval process, did the legislature use its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 111 assesses whether any formal authority of the legislature to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal is used in practice. The responses to this question should be determined based on action by the legislature related to the Enacted Budget used in the OBS. Choose answer "a" if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal during the most recent budget approval process, and amendments were adopted (all, or at least some of them). Answer "a" also applies if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but the amendments were rejected by executive veto. Answer "b" applies if the legislature used its authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive's Budget Proposal, but none of these amendments were adopted. Answer "c" applies if the legislature has the authority in law to amend the budget, but no amendments were proposed during its consideration. Answer "d" applies when the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget (that is, Question 110 is answered "d").

If the answer is "a" or "b", please specify in the comments the number of amendments introduced by the legislature (and in the case of an "a" response, the number adopted, or if applicable, information about an executive veto) and describe their nature. For example, did the amendments result in an increase or decrease of the deficit? What were the most significant amendments to revenues and to expenditures in terms of the sums involved? How did amendments affect the composition of expenditures? If the answer is "a", please specify which amendments were adopted, and provide evidence for it.

Answer:

c. No, while the legislature has the authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive's Budget Proposal, no amendments were offered.

Source:

New on the King approved on budget 2019:
<https://www.khmertimeskh.com/559833/budget-for-next-year-approved/>

News on the National Assembly approved on the draft budget law 2018: <http://m.en.freshnewsasia.com/index.php/en/11936-2018-11-15-07-19-16.html>

Comment:

There is no amendment proposed during the parliamentary meeting.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

112. During the last budget approval process, did a specialized budget or finance committee in the legislature examine the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 112 assesses the role of a specialized budget or finance committee during the budget approval stage. Effective committee involvement is an essential condition for legislative influence in the budget process. Specialized committees provide opportunities for individual legislators to gain relevant expertise, and to examine budgets and policy in depth. Yet, the involvement of committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures have separate committees to examine spending and tax proposals, while others have a single finance committee. Not all legislatures have a specialized budget or finance committee to examine the budget. In addition, there can be differences in the time available for the committee's analysis of the budget.

A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, therefore it must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget.

Response "a" requires that, in the last budget approval process, a specialized budget or finance committee had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such a committee examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if a committee examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Please specify in your comment the name of the committee and the number of days it had available to examine the budget and to publish a report. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a copy of the report. Please note also if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

Answer:

c. Yes, a specialized budget or finance committee examined the Executive's Budget Proposal, but it did not publish a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

Source:

Specialized budget or finance committee examined the EBP for the first time on 8 November 2018:

<http://nac.org.kh/tv/kh/article/3663#.XIYSnigzblU>

The national assembly examined the EBP for the second time on 14 November 2018:

<http://nac.org.kh/tv/kh/article/3680#.XIYTKygzblU>

Comment:

The Economic Commission of the National Assembly discussed the draft budget for FY 2019 for 2 times on November 8 and 14, 2018, after it Council of Minister passed the draft EBP on October 26 2018. However, this news report does not mention submitting any report to the parliament.

Message from the meetings: The 2019 budget plays an important policy instrument to allow the Royal Government to implement the "National Strategic Development Plan 2019-2023" and the "Rectangular Strategy Phase 4" to continue to maintain political stability, further strengthen and expand the practice of religion. Democracy continues to maintain macroeconomic stability and ensure high efficiency Public financial management and to achieve a fundamental policy of ensuring long-term sustainable growth rate of about 7% per year on a wide base and competitiveness.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, a specialized budget or finance committee had less than one month to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

Researcher Response

Due to no reference of GR to support answer (b), therefore, i would suggest to maintain (c)

IBP Comment

As there is no evidence of a report being published, the researcher's response of C is confirmed.

113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?

GUIDELINES:

Question 113 assesses the role of committees of the legislature that are responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.) during the budget approval stage. The role of sectoral committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures do not involve them in the budget approval process,

while others do. In addition, the time available for committee analysis differs.

A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, so therefore must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget. Response "a" requires that sector committees had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and published a report with findings and recommendations prior the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such committees examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if sectoral committees examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where sectoral committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Please provide in the comments a brief overview of the committee structure and specify the number of days that sectoral committees had available to examine the budget and to publish their reports. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a sample copy of at least one of the reports. Please note if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

For purposes of responding to this question, use those sectoral committees that are best performing – that is, the ones that examine the budget the longest and that publish reports.

Answer:

d. No, sector committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

<http://nac.org.kh/tv/kh/article/3680#.XIVTkygzblU>

Comment:

Only the national assembly examined the EBP on 14 November 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: they should have internal meeting by each committee, before the full parliamentary meeting. but we do not know.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

114. In the past 12 months, did a committee of the legislature examine in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period?

GUIDELINES:

Question 114 is about legislative oversight of budget execution. It assesses whether and how often a committee examined the implementation of the budget during the budget execution period (i.e., financial year) for which it was approved, and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. This question does not apply to the ex post review of implementation following the end of the budget year as part of the audit stage, which is assessed separately. Nor does it apply to the legislature's review of the budget that it may undertake as part of the process of considering a supplemental budget during the year. In-year monitoring by the legislature will be affected by the frequency that the executive publishes In-Year Reports.

To answer "a," a committee must have examined in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget at least three times during the course of the relevant budget year and published reports with findings and recommendations. Answer "b" applies where this occurred only once or twice during the year.

Exception: If a legislature is in session only twice during the year, and it examines the implementation of the budget during both sessions, then it would be eligible for an "a" response.

Choose "c" if a committee examined in-year implementation (without regard to frequency), but did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined in-year implementation.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation.

For purposes of responding to this question, if more than one committee holds in-year reviews of the budget, use the committee that is best performing – that is, the one that examines in-year implementation the most times and that publishes a report.

Answer:

d. No, a committee did not examine in-year implementation.

Source:

The Commission on Economy, Finance, Banking, and Audit of the National Assembly examined the revenue collection of Preah Sihanouk province.
<http://www.nac-kh.org/tv/kh/article/4100#.XlcrHigzBIU>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

115. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units that receive explicit funding in the Enacted Budget, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 115 examines whether the executive seeks approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and whether it is legally required to do so.

In some countries, the executive has the power in law to adjust funding levels for specific appropriations during the execution of the budget. This question examines rules around shifting funds between administrative units (ministries, departments, or agencies) or whatever funding unit (or "vote") is specified in the Enacted Budget.

The conditions under which the executive may exercise its discretion to shift funds should be clearly defined in publicly available regulations or law. In addition, the amount of funds that the executive is allowed to transfer between administrative units should not be so excessive as to undermine the accountability of the executive to the legislature.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before shifting funds, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to shift funds between administrative units and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. Answer "d" also applies if the executive is authorized to shift an amount considered so excessive as to undermine accountability (roughly equal to 3 percent of total budgeted expenditures). A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the shifting of funds after it has already occurred.

In the comments, please indicate any law or regulation that provides the executive with standing authority to shift funds between administrative units and, if so, describe that authority. Similarly, legislative approval for shifting funds between administrative units typically occurs with the adoption of legislation such as a supplemental budget. But if other formal procedures for gaining approval from the legislature exist, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

a. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice.

Source:

Law on Public Finance System (2008):

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Press Release on the Result of the First Session of the National Assembly, 6th legislature, Thursday, November 15, 2018

<http://nac.org.kh/tv/kh/article/3682#.XlcvGCgzBIU>

Comment:

Article 55 in law on Public Finance System Law 2008 indicated specifically that "a transfer to credit from one ministry, institution or similar public entity to another shall be determined by the law on finance" 66 of 100 parliamentary members approved on the shifting.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

116. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue (that is, amounts higher than originally anticipated) that may become available during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 116 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if additional revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, which often happens in oil/mineral-dependent countries, and it was not accounted for in the Enacted Budget, there should be a procedure in place to ensure that the legislature approves any proposed use of these "new" funds. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might deliberately underestimate revenue in the budget proposal it submits to the legislature, in order to have additional resources to spend at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before spending any funds resulting from higher-than-expected revenues, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if prior legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to spend excess revenue and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the additional spending after it has already occurred.

Typically, legislative approval of additional spending beyond what was reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur with the adoption of a supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

c. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, but in practice the executive spends these funds before obtaining approval from the legislature.

Source:

Law on Public Finance System (2008):

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Article 8 of Law on Public Finance stated that any law that has the characteristics of a financial law shall include (1). law on annual finance, (2). amended law, (3). the law on budget settlement. The amended law might apply for spending excess revenues. The Law on Public Finance System is put in the agenda for amendment by MEF workplan in 2020.

Comment:

There are a number of articles relevant to changes in revenue and expenditure. Article 19 prohibits the executive entities from using excess revenue to supplement the approved budget. Article 61 & 62 require the executive to seek for approval in case of any changes to the budget law. - Article 19: "Revenues shall be fully recorded and shall not be used to directly offset expenses. Any increase by a revenue manager to an approved level of credit supplemented by basing on any special revenue sources shall be strictly prohibited." - Article 20: "The entire revenue shall be allocated to all expenditure items. However, some revenues can be allocated only for actual expenditure items under forms and conditions specified by law." - Article 61: "In case of natural disasters or any emergent necessity beneficial to the nation, based on a report of the Minister of Economy and Finance, the Royal Government may issue a sub-decree to increase credit for utilization. This credit shall be adopted by the amended law on the Law of Annual Finance and the Government shall seek immediate approval from the National Assembly and the Senate when these special circumstances end. Contradicting to the above paragraph of this Law, if the amended law, of the Law on Finance cannot be done in the fourth quarter of a fiscal year of the Law on Annual Finance for each year's management, these credits are subject to regularization in the Law on Finance in the following year." - Article 62: "Any other changes to the Law on Annual Finance can be made during the period of budget year via the amended law of the Law on Annual Finance which shall be submitted to the National Assembly and the Senate for approval similar to the adoption of the Annual Financial laws."

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

117. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls (that is, revenues lower than originally anticipated) or other reasons during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 117 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to cutting spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls or for any other reason, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if less revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, the legislature should approve or reject any proposed reductions in expenditures that are implemented as a result. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might substantially change the composition of the budget at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

Typically, legislative approval of proposals to reduce spending below the levels reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur as part of the supplemental

budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive received legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to obtain legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to implement such cuts and the executive does not obtain such approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the spending cuts after they have already occurred.

Answer:

c. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, but in practice the executive implements these cuts before seeking approval from the legislature.

Source:

Law on Public Finance System (2008):

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

<https://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/Mid-Year-Report-2018.pdf>

Comment:

Article 8 of Law on Public Finance stated that any law that has the characteristics of a financial law shall include (1). law on annual finance, (2). amended law, (3). the law on budget settlement. The amended law might apply to reducing spending.

Comments: Researcher: Act 61 and 62: The executive requires to seek for approval in case of any change to the budget law.

However, the Mid-Year Report 2018 shows that the Executive did not wait to obtain approval from legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

118. Did a committee of the legislature examine the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 118 is about ex post oversight following the implementation of the budget. It probes whether a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. A key issue is how soon after the SAI releases the report does it legislature review it. This question does not apply to the legislative scrutiny of in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period, which is assessed separately. Also, the question is asking specifically about the SAI's annual report on the execution of the budget, not about other audit reports that the SAI may produce. (This is the Audit Report used for responding to Question 98.)

To answer "a," a legislative committee must have examined the annual Audit Report within three months of it being released by the SAI, and then published a report (or reports) with findings and recommendations. (Note that the three-month period should only take into account time when the legislature is in session.)

Answer "b" applies when the committee examines it within six months of it being released (but more than three months), and then published a report with its findings and recommendations. Choose "c" if a committee examined the annual Audit Report more than six months after it became available or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined the annual Audit Report.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed the Audit Report, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation. Answers "a," "b," or "c" may be selected if the Audit Report is produced by the SAI but not made publicly available.

Answer:

c. Yes, a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget, but it did so after the report had been available for more than six months or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Source:

Role and responsibility of Commission II of the National Assembly- <http://www.nac.org.kh/group-article/84>

(<http://www.nac.org.kh/grouparticle/84>). Article 22 of the Law on Audit 2000 Commission II is the committee that reviewed the audit report.

http://naa.gov.kh/images/NAA/public_audit_report/public_audit_report_2017_kh.pdf

Comment:

National Assembly is the role and responsibility of the legislator. Commission II of the National Assembly is responsible to review and submit the draft policy for the full legislator approval.

The public audit report 2017 has been produced on 29 January 2019 and publicly available at the website of NAA.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget within six months (but more than three months) of its availability, and it published a report with findings and recommendations.

Researcher Response

please keep the original score as (c) due to no evidence to support (b)

IBP Comment

As there is no evidence of a report from the committee being published, the researcher's response of C is confirmed.

119. Was the process of appointing (or re-appointing) the current head of the SAI carried out in a way that ensures his or her independence?

GUIDELINES:

Question 119 concerns the appointment process of the current head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). Appointment procedures vary greatly across countries, as well as across different types of SAIs. Moreover, conventions and informal practices can greatly affect the de facto independence of the head of the SAI. While these factors make it difficult to devise a single metric against which all SAIs can be assessed with regard to this particular aspect, this question focuses on whether the legislature or judiciary must appoint or approve the appointment of the head of the SAI as a way to ensure the SAI's independence from the executive. However, if the appointment is carried out in another way that nonetheless ensures the independence of the SAI head, then that approach could be also considered.

To answer "a," the legislature or judiciary must appoint (or re-appoint) the head of the SAI, or approve the recommendation of the executive, as a way that ensure his or her independence from the executive. (As noted above, alternative approaches may also be acceptable.) Choose "b" if the appointment process does not ensure the independence of the head of the SAI, e.g. the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Irrespective of which answer you selected, provide a description of how the head of the SAI is appointed.

Answer:

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be appointed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the appointment takes effect.

Source:

Article 18 (new) of Audit Law 2000

<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html> (<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html>)

Comment:

The Auditor-General and Deputy Auditor-Inspectors are appointed by the Royal Decree at the request of the Royal Government and with the confidence of the National Assembly by absolute majority support Of the total number of National Assembly members.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

120. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?

GUIDELINES:

Question 120 covers the manner in which the head or senior members of the SAI may be removed from office. This question draws on best practices identified in the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>), including measures intended to guarantee the office's independence from the executive.

To answer "a," the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the head of the SAI is removed. For example, the legislature or judiciary may give final consent following a certain external process, such as a criminal proceeding. So while the executive may initiate a criminal proceeding, the final consent of a member of the judiciary – or a judge – is necessary to render a verdict of wrongdoing that may lead to the removal from office of the head of the SAI. Answer "b" applies if the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

Answer:

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before he or she is removed.

Source:

Audit law 2000:
<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html> (<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html>)

Comment:

Article 18 (new) of Audit law 2000-The Auditor-General and Deputy Auditor-Inspectors are appointed by the Royal Decree at the request of the Royal Government and with the confidence of the National Assembly by absolute majority support of the total number of National Assembly. The Auditor-General and Deputy Auditor-Generals shall be appointed for a term of five (5) years and may be reappointed for another five (5) year term only upon the completion of the first term.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

121. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 121 asks who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). To ensure objective audits of government budgets, another important component of the SAI's independence from the executive is the extent to which the SAI's budget is determined by a body other than the executive, and whether the SAI has adequate resources to fulfill its mandate.

Answer "a" applies if the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate, AND either the SAI determines its own budget and then submits it to the executive (which accepts it with little or no change) or directly to the legislature, or the budget of the SAI is determined directly by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body). Answer "b" applies if the SAI's budget is determined by the executive (absent a recommendation from the SAI), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "c" applies if the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body) determines the SAI's budget, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "d" applies if the executive determines the SAI's budget, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Please provide evidence in support of the assessment that the funding level is or is not broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Answer:

a. The SAI determines its own budget (i.e., submits it to the executive, which accepts it with little or no change, or directly to the legislature), or the budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Source:

Audit law 2000
<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html> (<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html>)

Comment:

Based on the article 17 "the National Audit authority shall have its own separate budget provided by the national budget. The revenue and expenditure of the national audit authority shall be subject to the financial system law". But the MEF has changed its budgeting approach which is mainly based on the result framework which called the program based budgeting to ensure the policy linkage to the budget. Thus, NAA prepared its own budget and proposed to the MEF. There is a negotiation for the final budget before it is tabled to the legislature.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

122. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have the discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?

GUIDELINES:

Question 122 explores the scope of the investigative powers of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) as prescribed in law.

Question 97 asks which of the three types of audits – financial, compliance, and performance – the SAI conducts. This question asks if the SAI is constrained by law (rather than by a lack of capacity or an inadequate budget) from undertaking any form of audit or investigating irregularities in any program or activity.

There are numerous examples of limitations. For instance, some SAIs are not permitted by their legal mandate to audit joint ventures or other public-private arrangements. Others are only allowed to undertake financial audits, precluded from conducting performance or value-for-money audits. The SAIs in some countries do not have the legal mandate to review arrangements involving oil or stabilization funds, or other types of special or extra-budgetary funds. The SAI may also not have the ability to audit commercial projects involving the public and private sector.

To answer “a,” the SAI must have full discretion in law to decide which audits to undertake. Answer “b” applies if some limitations exist, but the SAI enjoys significant discretion to undertake those audits it wishes to. Answer “c” applies if the SAI has some discretion, but significant legal limitations exist. Answer “d” applies if the SAI has no power at all to choose which audits to undertake

Consulting the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>) may be useful in answering this question as its provisions serve to define the appropriate scope of a SAI’s legal mandate and jurisdiction.

Answer:

a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.

Source:

audit law 2000

<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html> (<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html>)

Public Auditing standard

<http://naa.gov.kh/public-auditing-standard.html> (<http://naa.gov.kh/public-auditing-standard.html>)

Comment:

Based on the article 1, 2, 3, 30, 31,32, 17 “the National Audit authority shall have its own separate budget provided by the national budget. The revenue and expenditure of the national audit authority shall be subject to the financial system law”. But the MEF has changed its budgeting approach which is mainly based on the result framework which called the program based budgeting to ensure the policy linkage to the budget. Thus, NAA prepared it own budget and proposed to the MEF. There is a negotiation for the final budget before it is tabled to the legislature.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

123. Are the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) reviewed by an independent agency?

GUIDELINES:

Question 123 assesses whether and to what extent the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) are subject to review by an independent agency. The latter could be a peer SAI, an international organization, an academic institution with relevant expertise, or an independent domestic agency with quality assurance functions in the area of financial reporting.

To answer “a,” an independent agency must conduct and publish a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis. Answer “b” applies if a review was carried out within the past five years, and published, but it is not conducted annually, but. Choose answer “c” if the SAI has an internal unit that reviews the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis, but an independent agency does not conduct such a review. Answer “d” applies if the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

If the answer is either "a" or "b," please specify the name of the independent agency and when last it conducted such a review, and provide a copy of the published report. If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the unit within the SAI that is tasked with conducting such reviews.

Answer:

c. No, but a unit within the SAI conducts a review of the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis.

Source:

Base on the face to face discussion on OBS on 17 March 2017, There is no independent consultant to review the process. The SAI has the internal quality control unit.

Comment:

Base on the face to face discussion on OBS on 17 March 2017, There is no independent consultant to review the process. The SAI has the internal quality control unit.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

124. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:

Question 124 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Many SAIs interact with the legislature in some form, but the nature and intensity of the interaction varies. This question probes this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the SAI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the SAI representative in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). You can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the SAI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; "c" for once or twice, and "d" for never.

Answer:

d. Never.

Source:

Comment:

Within the past 12 months, NAA has announced on their website in participating and organizing event in related audit, macroeconomic, and Public Finance for Management. There is no record on the meeting between NAA and legislature in hearings committee of legislature to clarifying the audit report

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

125. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget (prior to the budget being tabled in parliament)?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in the formulation of the annual budget, including annual pre-budget discussions. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as spending and tax policy, funding and revenue levels, and macro-fiscal planning.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Law on public finance system 2008,

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget formulation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

IBP Comment

The Government Reviewer's suggested answer is acknowledged. However, as there is no additional evidence of any participation mechanisms during the formulation phase, the researcher's original response is maintained.

126. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive take concrete steps to include vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on the executive's efforts to seek out the views of members of the public from socially vulnerable groups and/or who are underrepresented in the process.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from vulnerable and underrepresented communities and/or civil society organizations representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives of vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Law on public finance system 2008:

http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

127. During the budget formulation stage, which of the following key topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the formulation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget formulation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Public Forum on Macroeconomic Management and Budget 2019:

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/_____.pdf

Comment:

Only invited people can attend the workshop.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. The executive's engagement with citizens covers at least three (but less than six) of the above-mentioned topics.

Comments: Ministry of Economy and Finance conducts a public forum on Macroeconomic Management and Budget regularly. Participants of the meeting are line ministries, development partners, private sectors, researcher, academics, youth, NGO and media.

Researcher Response

Agreed with GR as the public forum has regularly been organized by MEF with engagement of line ministries, development partners, private sectors, researcher, academics, youth, NGO and media.

IBP Comment

During an IBP review, the researcher's original response of D is maintained. Q127 is in relation to the participation mechanism in the formulation of the budget assessed in Q125. As Cambodia does not have any such mechanism, this score is automatically D. The Public Forum on Macroeconomic Management and Budget mentioned by the researcher and the government is held after the budget is approved. It is therefore assessed in Q128 and related questions, not in this question.

128. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget. If the executive has designated a central coordinating agency to implement participation mechanisms throughout the national budget process, researchers may consider these mechanisms. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the implementation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenue administration, public service delivery, public investment project implementation, including procurement, and the administration of social transfer schemes.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings, online, deliberative exchanges, procurement complaint mechanisms, and social monitoring and dialogue.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input on the implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include public hearings, surveys, focus groups, report cards, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to provide input on budget implementation, but:

1) *The mechanisms are not structured, happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.*

and/or

2) *The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific*

organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", the researcher must have evidence that the government is holding participation mechanisms that have some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples include hotlines, Facebook announcements, one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

c. Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget implementation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:

Law on public finance system 2008, [http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Public Forum on macroeconomic management and budget 2018, Ministry of Economy and Finance conducted on 15 January 2018 and post on the website on 08 April 2018.

<https://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/%E1%9E%80%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%81%E1%9F%90%E1%9E%8E%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8C%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%84%E1%9E%9B%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%99%E1%9F%84%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%99%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%89%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%80%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%81%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B7%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%85.pdf>

An additional meeting was held after the end of the OBS 2019 researcher cut-off date: Public Forum on Macroeconomic Management and Budget 2019

Comment:

The meeting on Public Forum on Macroeconomic Management and Budget held on 15 January 2018. The meeting agenda are: a) Cambodia economic situation, b) Budget 2019 and C) debt management strategy 2014-2018. Participants of the meeting are line ministries, development partners, private sectors, researcher, academics, youth, NGO and media. Only invited participants can participate in the meeting.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the executive uses open participation mechanisms through which members of the public provide their inputs on budget implementation.

Researcher Response

As reference could not be found to prove answer (b), therefore, we would like to maintain answer (c) Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget implementation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

IBP Comment

As only selected groups are invited to the meeting, this meets the criteria of C. The researcher's response is confirmed.

129. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on national government's efforts to obtain input from members of the public who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes during the implementation of the annual budget.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organizations representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and

actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives from vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Law on public finance system 2008

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

130. During the implementation of the annual budget, which of the following topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Changes in macroeconomic circumstances
2. Delivery of public services
3. Collection of revenue
4. Implementation of social spending
5. Changes in deficit and debt levels
6. Implementation of public investment projects

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the implementation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget implementation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be ONLY the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

c. The executive's engagement with citizens covers at least one (but less than three) of the above-mentioned topics.

Source:

Law on Public Finance System 2008

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Public Forum on macroeconomic management and budget 2018, Ministry of Economy and Finance conducted on 15 January 2018 and post on the website on 08 April 2018.

<https://www.mef.gov.kh/documents/mustsee/%E1%9E%80%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%81%E1%9F%90%E1%9E%8E%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8C%E1%9E%82%E1%9F%84%E1%9E%9B%E1%9E%93%E1%9E%99%E1%9F%84%E1%9E%94%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%99%E1%9E%98%E1%9F%89%E1%9E%B6%E1%9E%80%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%9A%E1%9E%BC%E1%9E%9F%E1%9F%81%E1%9E%8A%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%8B%E1%9E%80%E1%9E%B7%E1%9E%85%E1%9F%92%E1%9E%85.pdf>

Comment:

Public Forum on macroeconomic management and budget 2019, Ministry of Economy and Finance conducted on 15 January 2018 and posted on the website on 08 April 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: but this answer appears to contradict with the Q127 the researcher provided.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. The executive's engagement with citizens covers at least three (but less than six) of the above-mentioned topics.

Researcher Response

Agreed with GR as the public forum has regularly been organized by MEF with engagement of line ministries, development partners, private sectors, researcher, academics, youth, NGO and media.

IBP Comment

Thanks to the government for the comment. However, as the forum is organized on macroeconomic management, this is only one of the six topics, therefore the score is confirmed as C.

131. When the executive engages with the public, does it provide comprehensive prior information on the process of the engagement, so that the public can participate in an informed manner?

Comprehensive information must include at least three of the following elements:

1. Purpose
2. Scope
3. Constraints
4. Intended outcomes
5. Process and timeline

GUIDELINES:

This question relates to the GIFT principle of "Openness," and addresses whether the executive provides relevant information on the process of the engagement before public participation takes place, in order to help citizens engage effectively. The question addresses whether the "rules of the public engagement" are clearly spelled out, in advance and in detail, so that those members of the public who want to engage know how to do so, in terms of when they can do so, what they are expected to provide input on, by when, to whom, etc. This question does not cover the substance of the engagement, which is covered by questions 127 and 130.

Non-comprehensive information means that the government provides information that includes at least one but less than three of the elements listed above.

Purpose refers to a brief explanation of why the public engagement is being undertaken, including the executive's objectives for its engagement with the public.

Scope refers to what is within the subject matter of the engagement as well as what is outside the subject matter of the engagement. For example, the scope may include how a current policy is administered but exclude the specifics of the policies themselves.

Constraints refers to whether there are any explicit limitations on the engagement. An example of a constraint could be that any policy changes must not cost (or forgo revenue) more than a specific amount or have no net fiscal cost.

Intended outcomes refers to what the executive hopes to achieve as a result of the engagement. Examples of intended outcomes could be revising a policy to better reflect citizen or service-user views or to improve the way in which a particular program is administered.

Process refers to the methods by which the public engagement will take place and the discrete steps in the process. For example, the process may simply be a one-off Internet-based consultation, with a summary published of public inputs and the official response. The process may involve simultaneous or overlapping steps, and may be conducted in one round or in two or more rounds of engagement.

Timeline refers to the specific dates on which the discrete steps in the process will take place, or during which they will be completed, and clear start and end dates for the overall engagement.

Answer "d" applies if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/?page=document&menu1=218&ctype=document&id=218&ref_id=2&lg=khhk
http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/17120191547776751_1990

Comment:

The macroeconomic management and budget preparation was called by MEF as public forum which allow citizen participation. However, this is just for invited participation. There is no mechanism for public citizen to participate in the budgetary process at the national level.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Information is provided in a timely manner in both or one of the two phases, but it is not comprehensive.

Researcher Response

Due to no evidence to support GR, i would suggest to keep (d)

132. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used in the formulation of the annual budget, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)*

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used.*

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf

Public Forum on macroeconomic management and budget 2019, Ministry of Economy and Finance conducted on 15 January 2019 and post the presentation on the website.

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/?page=document&menu1=218&ctype=document&id=218&ref_id=2&lg=khhk

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/17120191547776751_1990_df

Comment:

There is no mechanism for public citizen to participate in the budgetary process at the national level.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

133. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive provide the public with information on how citizens' inputs have been used to assist in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received during the implementation of the annual budget, which ones are taken into account to improve budget monitoring, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)*

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs received (e.g., a written transcript) from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were or were not taken into account by the executive during budget monitoring.*

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Law on Public Finance System 2008

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Public Forum on macroeconomic management and budget 2019, Ministry of Economy and Finance conducted on 15 January 2019 and post the presentation on the website.

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/?page=document&menu1=218&ctype=document&id=218&ref_id=2&lg=khhk

http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/17120191547776751_1990_df

df

Comment:

There is no mechanism for public citizen to participate in the budgetary process at the national level.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

134. Are participation mechanisms incorporated into the timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Sustainability," "Timeliness" and "Complementarity" and addresses whether the executive is able to link participation mechanisms to the administrative processes that are used to create the annual budget.

Please note that "timetable" refers to a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. This document is sometimes referred to as the budget calendar and is the same document referenced in Question 53.

Answer "a" applies if the national executive establishes a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget. For answer choice "a", the timetable must be available to the public prior to the budget preparation process beginning.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not establish a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf
http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/?page=document&menu1=218&ctype=document&id=218&ref_id=2&lg=khhk
http://gdb.mef.gov.kh/items/17120191547776751_1990
df

Comment:

There is no mechanism for public citizen to participate in the budgetary process at the national level.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, the executive incorporates participation into its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal and the timetable is available to the public.

Comments: The Supreme Dialogue and Comment Council from defeat political parties is apart of the public citizen to participate in the budgetary process.

Researcher Response

Due to no evidence to prove that the national executive has established a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget, thus, it should be kept as (b)

135. Do one or more line ministries use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES

While questions 125 – 135 focus only on participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency currently use to allow the public to participate in the national budget process, this question asks about participation mechanisms used by line ministries to allow the public to participate in national budget processes. Thus, participation mechanisms used by the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by a line ministry or if multiple line ministries use participation

mechanisms, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation and/or implementation of the annual budget.

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf

To answer "a," a line ministry must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which a line ministry seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if a line ministry has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) A line ministry consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the line ministry determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Law on Public Finance System 2008

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Comment:

There is no mechanism for public citizen to participate in the budgetary process at the national level.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

136. Does the legislature or the relevant legislative committee(s) hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislature are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) has put in place and is using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the annual budget. This includes deliberations during the pre-budget phase (i.e., when the executive is still in the process of formulating the draft budget) and the budget discussions after the budget has been tabled to parliament and before it is approved. In the comment box, please specify during which stage of the budget cycle the legislature has put in place a public participation mechanism.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual Members of Parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

If there is more than one mechanism, please select a mechanism that best shows/reflects the legislature's efforts to incorporate citizens into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenues, policy selection, and macro-fiscal planning (please note that the issue of coverage is covered in a subsequent question).

To answer "a," the legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs; but*
- *There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.*

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs;*
- *No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, but*
- *The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)*

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget

Answer:

c. Yes, public hearings are held. No testimony from the public is provided during the public hearings, and there are no other mechanisms through which public contributions are received, but the legislature invites specific individuals or groups to testify or provide input (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:

Law on Public Finance System 2008

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Comment:

There is no mechanism for public citizen to participate in the budgetary process at the national level.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

The score for this Q136 should be (c) due to no mechanism for public citizen to provide inputs or very very very limited space for CSOs/NGOs to engage in its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)

IBP Comment

Based on the researcher's response to Q137, the response to this question is revised from D to C. The meetings do not have clear invitations with information on how the public can contribute inputs (and there are no questions allowed), however as civil society is allowed to attend the meeting this scores a C. --- Seminar on "Understanding the Financial Statements for Management in 2019" at the National Assembly, November 6, 2018 <http://www.mef.gov.kh/workshop-07-11-2018.html> The National Assembly is studying the draft financial laws for 2019 on 5 November 2018 <http://nac.org.kh/tv/kh/article/3643#.XloicCgzblU>

137. During the legislative deliberations on the annual budget (pre-budget or approval stages), which of the following key topics does the legislature's (or relevant legislative budget committee) engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider the range of mechanisms currently used by the legislature to promote public participation during legislative deliberations on the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics, for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the legislature's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Seminar on "Understanding the Financial Statements for Management in 2019" at the National Assembly, November 6, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/workshop-07-11-2018.html>

The National Assembly is studying the draft financial laws for 2019 on 5 November 2018
<http://nac.org.kh/tv/kh/article/3643#.XloicCgzblU>

Comment:

There is no public citizen involvement in the event above, only invited participants were in the events but just to listen.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. The legislature seeks input on at least three (but less than six) of the above-mentioned topics.

Comments: Participants are allowed to raise the question at the plenary section.

Researcher Response

No citizen-Only invited participants like NGO Forum-But not able to ask any question due to time is very limited for the session.

IBP Comment

Since there is no input allowed from the public during these meetings, the score for this question is D.

138. Does the legislature provide feedback to the public on how citizens' inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the legislature provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received and how inputs were used during legislative deliberations (please note that these deliberations can refer to the pre-budget and approval phases). By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the legislature.

Answer "a" applies when the legislature provides a written document with:

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

Answer "b" applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used in legislative deliberations on the annual budget (please note that these deliberations refer to the pre-budget and approval phases).

Answer "c" applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Seminar on "Understanding the Financial Statements for Management in 2019" at the National Assembly, November 6, 2018
<http://www.mef.gov.kh/workshop-07-11-2018.html>

The National Assembly is studying the draft financial laws for 2019 on 5 November 2018
<http://nac.org.kh/tv/kh/article/3643#.XloicCgzblU>

Comment:

There is no public citizen involvement in the event above, only invited participants were in the events but just to listen.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Participants are allowed to raise the question at the plenary section.

139. Does the legislature hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

A key constitutional role of the legislature in almost all countries is to oversee the government's management of public resources. While the Supreme Audit Institution is responsible for checking the government's accounts and publishing the outcome of their audits, for accountability purposes it is essential that the legislature reviews and scrutinizes those reports, and checks on whether the executive is taking the appropriate corrective actions based on the Supreme Audit Institution's recommendations.

Holding public hearings to review audit findings allows the public to learn more about how the government has managed its resources for the budget years that have ended, and demand accountability in case of mismanagement and irregularities. Reviewing and discussing those reports in public is therefore a key responsibility of a legislature.

Please note that by "Audit Report" we refer to the same audit report assessed in the transparency section of this Survey, i.e., one of the eight key budget documents that all governments (in this case, the Supreme Audit Institution) must produce, according to best practice.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) have put in place and using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the Audit Report.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual members of parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or

its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

To answer "a," the national legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public; BUT
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, BUT
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Law on Public Finance System 2008

[http://www.cdc-](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

[crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf](http://www.cdc-crdb.gov.kh/cdc/twg_network/country_systems_cambodia/strengthening_national_systems/documents_for_reform/PFM/law_on_public_finance_2008_en.pdf)

Comment:

Law does not mention about public participation mechanism is needed.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

140. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program (for example, by bringing ideas on agencies, programs, or projects that could be audited)?

GUIDELINES:

This question assesses whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program. When deciding its audit agenda, the SAI may undertake audits for a sample of agencies, projects, and programs in the country; and such a selection could be based on complaints and suggestions made by members of the public. To receive such suggestions, the SAI may create formal mechanisms, like setting up a website, hotline, or office (or assigning staff to liaise with the public).

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Law on Audit 2000

<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html>

Public Audit Standart:

<http://naa.gov.kh/public-auditing-standard.html>

Comment:

This English version of Audit Law 2000 is found on the website but not sure how official it is:
<http://intosaiitaudit.org/mandates/mandates/Mandates/Cambodia.htm#Law%20on%20audit>

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

141. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used to determine its audit program?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the Supreme Audit Institution provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used to determine the Supreme Audit Institution's audit program. By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the Supreme Audit Institution.

Answer "a" applies when the Supreme Audit Institution provides a written document with:

- *The inputs received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).*

Answer "b" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs received from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used to determine the SAI's annual audit program.*

Answer "c" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:

- *The received from the public or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggests issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Law on Audit 2000

<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html>

Public Audit Standart:

<http://naa.gov.kh/public-auditing-standard.html>

Comment:

This English version of Audit Law 2000 is found on the website but not sure how official it is:

<http://intosaiitaudit.org/mandates/mandates/Mandates/Cambodia.htm#Law%20on%20audit>

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

142. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents,

witnesses, etc.)?)

GUIDELINES:

This question mirrors question 140, but instead of covering public assistance in formulating the SAI's audit program, it focuses on whether the Supreme Audit Institution has established mechanisms through which the public can participate in audit investigations. In addition to seeking public input to determine its audit agenda, the SAI may wish to provide formal opportunities for the public and civil society organizations to participate in the actual audit investigations, as witnesses or respondents.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Law on Audit 2000

<http://naa.gov.kh/law-on-audit.html>

Public Audit Standart:

<http://naa.gov.kh/public-auditing-standard.html>

Comment:

This English version of Audit Law 2000 is found on the website but not sure how official it is:

<http://intosaiitaudit.org/mandates/mandates/Mandates/Cambodia.htm#Law%20on%20audit>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree