

Open Budget Survey 2019

Questionnaire

Timor-Leste

April 2020

Country Questionnaire: Timor-Leste

PBS-1. What is the fiscal year of the PBS evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2019

Source:

There wasn't much time between when the 2018 and 2019 budget.

Budget circular for 2019:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/31102018061016-0001.pdf>

Comment:

During 2018, Timor-Leste operated without a budget for the first 9 months, using a duo-decimal system, due to a dismissed parliament and early elections. Therefore the budget schedule was different from normal.

As in other years, the public was not involved, and there was little transparency, prior to the budget being submitted to Parliament. The plan was planned by each ministry and approved by the Council of Ministries. However, as the process was held every year the ministry council distributed circulation budget to each ministry with the aim of defining each budget plan or as known as budget preparation for each ministry for 2018 Budget Circular. Although the Budget Circular 2018 document was circulated only to line ministries, it was posted on line in scanned PDF format.

There were two budgeting cycles during 2018, and we have considered the 2019 budget, which was proposed and discussed by Parliament in Nov-Dec 2018, for the EBP questions.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Article 30.1 of Timor-Leste Budget and Financial Management Law stated that the Government shall presented it Budget to Parliament by 15 of October and the 2019 Budget was presented to the Parliament at 8 of November 2018. The NGOs and others were invited to attend the Parliament committee hearing at 29 November 2018. The 2019 Budget document become available a week before NGOs attending the committee hearing.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

PBS-2. When is the PBS made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for a PBS to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration. If the PBS is not released to the public at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the PBS.

Answer:

d. The PBS is not released to the public, or is released less than one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is introduced to the legislature

Source:

For formulation documents the pre budget statement of 2019 was not published by the government but they held a public discussion involving many institutions including civil society.

To see information related to the workshop can be seen in the following link (<https://www.mof.gov.tl/jornada-orsamental-2019-defini-prioridades-nacionais-hodi-guia-elaborasaun-orsamentu-tinan-2019/?lang=tl>)

Also on the link (<http://timor-leste.gov.tl/?p=20488&n=1&lang=tp>)

Comment:

The 2018 budget circular was posted on the MF website on 24 July, and the budget proposal was presented to Parliament on 7 August. It was considered under an "urgent" expedited Parliamentary process, approved by Parliament on 7 September and promulgated on 27 September, nearly 9 months after the start of the fiscal (calendar) year.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No formal Pre-Budget Statement applied in Timor-Leste budget process except the Government annual "Budget Circular" and "Fiscal Envelope" decided by the Council of Ministry and under supervision of the Ministry of Finance

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

PBS-3a. If the PBS is published, what is the date of publication of the PBS?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

n/a

Comment:

As in the previous statement that the government of Timor-Leste did not published any documents on the PBS process. The Prime Minister encouraged Budget Circular 2019 to all member of government to help prepare the budget plan then presented the proposed budget to the Council of Ministers before holding a workshop.

Other than that, they held a workshop involving many institutions which also included civil society with the aim of sharing information and also setting priorities in the 2019 budget year. However, civil society can ask questions or give opinions at certain times (the question session was limited).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Both of Circular Budget and Fiscal Envelope are not a PBS. Even though it's also hard to say that the Government didn't published any budget information prior to the Proposed Budget. Jornada Orcamental is a name of the "workshop" organized by the Government to gathering Government officials to discuss government plan, economic forecasting and others. Civil society also invited formally to participate the discussion, except the media.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

PBS-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the PBS.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

I often look for publication dates in the section that documents are attached to the website, but now I use what IBP's members taught during the OBS training.

Source:

Through the Open Budget Survey training I learned how to know the date the document was published use this method : "javascript: alert

(document.lastModified)"

Comment:

This method is very helpful so that you can find out the last date the government published their documents.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

Comments: Since PBS is not published the proper answer is "not available"

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

The Peer Reviewer's comment is noted. This document is assessed as Not Available under OBS methodology.

PBS-4. If the PBS is published, what is the URL or weblink of the PBS?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/20180724_Sirkular-OJE-2018.pdf

Source:

Budget Circular 2018, see : (https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/20180724_Sirkular-OJE-2018.pdf)

Budget Circular 2019, see : (<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/31102018061016-0001.pdf>)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: n/a

Comments: The links provided by the researcher are about Prime Minister letter asking his member of Government to finalized 2019 Budget elaboration to meet the dateline by 8 of November 2019 and keep working during the All Soul's Day

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

Thank you for the Peer Reviewer's comment - this is correct, the documents are not considered a Pre-Budget Statement.

PBS-5. If the PBS is published, are the numerical data contained in the PBS available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the PBS is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

d. Not applicable

Source:

The document is a PDF scanned from a printed copy, and the text and numbers are not machine readable.

Comment:

The document is a PDF scanned from a printed copy, and the text and numbers are not machine readable.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: d. Not applicable

Comments: The PBS is not published. the Prime Minister "circular" is not a PBS.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

Thank you for the Peer Reviewer's comment. This response is in agreement with the researcher's response - the answer is D, as the circular is not a PBS.

PBS-6a. If the PBS is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the PBS is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question PBS-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question PBS-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

d. Not produced at all

Source:

n/a

Comment:

n/a

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The PBS is not produced at all, as the Government doesn't has an obligation required by the law to publish or produced annually.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

PBS-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a","b," or "e" was selected in question PBS-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

News on official website of Ministry of Finance, see : (<https://www.mof.gov.tl/sirkular-preparasaun-orsamentu-jeral-estadu-oje-2018/?lang=en>)

Budget Circular 2018, see : (https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/20180724_Sirkular-OJE-2018.pdf)

Budget Circular 2019, see : (<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/31102018061016-0001.pdf>)

Comment:

The budget circular 2018 has budget ceilings, however the 2019 letter has no budget ceilings or policy directives, and therefore cannot be considered a PBS.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The answer is "not applicable" as PBS is not produced. the link provided for 2019 is about Prime Minister Letter to his member of the Government to finalized 2019 Budget proposal to meet the deadline by 8 November 2018.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

PBS-7. If the PBS is produced, please write the full title of the PBS.

For example, a title for the Pre-Budget Statement could be "Proposed 2019 State Budget" or "Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Plan and Budget for 2018/19."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

n/a

Comment:

n/a

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The PBS is not produced at all

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

PBS-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the PBS?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

n/a

Comment:

n/a

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: As the PBS is not produced at all, automatically no citizens version too

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EBP evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

2019

Source:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/governu-submete-proposta-lei-oje-2019-ba-parlamentu-nasional/?lang=tl>

Comment:

The Council of Ministers approved the Budget on 7 November 2018 and the proposed was tabled and submitted to the Parliament on 8 November 2018. However, the Government through the Ministry of Finance did not provide Parliament or the public with all the budget books until 22 November 2018, and Parliament delayed consideration until it had received this more complete information.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It should be presented to Parliament by 15 of October 2018 according to Budget Financial and Management law Article 30.1. Even though the budget was presented by 8 November but the full budget document become completed by 22 of November.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-1b. When is the EBP submitted to the legislature for consideration?

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

8/11/2018

Source:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/governu-submete-proposta-lei-oje-2019-ba-parlamentu-nasional/?lang=tl>

Comment:

Normally, this must be presented by 15 October. However, since a new government took office during 2018, the normal calendar did not apply.

Although the executive presented its proposed budget law and a few of the budget books on 8 November, most of the supporting documentation was not provided until 22 November. Parliament felt that they could not evaluate the details of the budget without complete information. They suspended consideration and re-adjusted their calendar.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It should be presented to Parliament by 15 of October according to the law, but there was no information published why Government was delayed to meet its legal obligation to be presented in accordance with law. In addition to, the Budget Document is only to be completed after 22 November

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-2. When is the EBP made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EBP to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public while the legislature is still considering it and before the legislature approves (enacts) it. If the EBP is not released to the public before the legislature approves it, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EBP.

The OBS definition of an Executive's Budget Proposal is a document(s) that (i) the executive submits to the legislature as a formal part of the budget approval process and (ii) the legislature either approves or on which it approves proposed amendments.

The OBS will treat the Executive's Budget Proposal as "Not Produced," in the following cases:

- *The executive does not submit the draft budget to the legislature; or*
- *The legislature receives the draft budget but does not approve it or does not approve recommendations on the draft budget;*
- *The legislature rejects the draft budget submitted by the executive, but the executive implements it without legislative approval; or*
- *There is no legislature, or the legislature has been dissolved.*

Answer:

c. Less than two months in advance of the budget year, but at least in advance of the budget being approved by the legislature

Source:

For all the document for Budget proposal was published on 22 November 2018, see : (<https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2019-state-budget-book/?lang=en>).

Comment:

On 22 November, the Ministry of Finance provided all the budget books to Parliament and they were on the Ministry website within a couple of days. The budget was approved by the legislature on 22 December 2018. The budget year starts the following 1 January (although the budget was not actually promulgated until 7 February, due to a late start and a Presidential veto.)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It should be in 15 October in accordance with Budget and Financial Management Law Article 30.1. It was delayed to be submitted to Parliament as the new Government just took office at 22 June 2018, therefore they have very limited time to prepare and submit

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-3a. If the EBP is published, what is the date of publication of the EBP?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their date of publication.

Answer:
22/11/2018

Source:
<https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2019-state-budget-book/?lang=en>

Comment:
The documents on this link was the document that published before the legislative approved or enacted.
On 22 November, the Ministry of Finance provided all the budget books to Parliament and posted them on their website.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Book 1 was presented to Parliament by 8 of October 2018 and the rest were sent later on, and publicly available at 22 of November.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EBP.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
The NGO La'o Hamutuk checks the MF website daily during the budget process, and they posted the budget books to their website on 23 November, which indicates that the materials were available to the public by then.

Source:
<http://www.laohamutuk.org/updates.htm>

Comment:
I (Charlie) also have email messages from the time sharing the information that the budget books are now available on the MF website.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: La'o Hamutuk has regularly monitoring the budget document and other related information. there are two ways to tracked when a budget document become available for public. First is checking Ministry of Finance website regularly, and the second is by asking someone in Ministry when the document is available.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-4. If the EBP is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EBP?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their URL or weblink.

Answer:
<https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2019-state-budget-book/?lang=en>

Source:
<https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2019-state-budget-book/?lang=en>
Note that these link will no longer function in a month or two, because the Ministry of Finance will overwrite the Executive Budget Proposal documents with the enacted budget once they have finished revising the budget books. However, the NGO La'o Hamutuk has archived them for this

year at <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/0GE19/180GE19.htm#docs>
All past years and languages are at <http://www.laohamutuk.org/DVD/DVDIndexEn.htm#OJE>

Comment:

The Budget book contains :

2019 State Budget Book 1 = Budget Overview
2019 State Budget Book 2 = Annual Action Plans of each ministry
2019 State Budget Book 3A = Infrastructure Fund
2019 State Budget Book 3B = Municipalities
2019 State Budget Book 3C = RAEOA & ZEESM
2019 State Budget Book 4A & 4B = Budget Line Items
2019 State Budget Book 5 = Development Partners
2019 State Budget Book 6 = Special Fund (Human Capital Development Fund)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Primary source to find Government Budget is from Ministry of Finance website.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-5. If the EBP is published, are the numerical data contained in the EBP or its supporting documents available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

Option "d" applies if the EBP is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

As an example please see the Budget Book 1 in the PDF format : (https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf) page 5 to 51.

Comment:

All the data were not available to the public because the format was in PDF format. Although it contains text (i.e. not a scanned image), it is not convertible to spreadsheets without a lot of work.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No machine readable format is applied to almost state budget document. Even though there is an excel format applied to Timor-Leste Transparency Portal that enabling people to download the budget expenditure, revenue and procurement data. Unfortunately, the Portal is not considering as Budget Document from the OBS/IBP point of view.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-6a. If the EBP is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EBP is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EBP-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EBP-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy

(and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2019-state-budget-book/?lang=en>

Comment:

Due to the delayed start of the budget process, the materials were not produced more than two months prior to the start of the budget year, as discussed in EBP-2. Although this is not an acceptable time frame for the OBS methodology, it is unavoidable in years when a new government takes office in mid-year.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the researcher answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EBP-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EBP was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EBP-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-7. If the EBP is produced, please write the full title of the EBP.

For example, a title for the Executive's Budget Proposal could be "Draft Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for BY 2018-19, produced by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development."

If there are any supporting documents to the EBP, please enter their full titles in the comment box below.

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

There are six books, titles below.

Source:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2019-state-budget-book/?lang=tl>

Comment:

The Budget books proposed for 2019 were published for the public, but the Proposed 2019 Budget Law was not published. However, the budget books contain more information than the law.

The following are the titles for the English versions when they exist, some books are also published in Tetum and/or Portuguese. Each title begins with "RDTL State Budget 2019"

Book 1 - Budget Overview

Book 2 - Planos de Acção Anual [annual action plans]

Book 3A - Infrastructure Fund

Book 3B - Munisipius [municipalities – local areas]

Book 3C - RAEOA - ZEESM [Oecusse autonomous zone]

Book 4A - Rubricas Orçamentais PR-MPACLN [budget lines for half the state agencies]

Book 4B - Rubricas Orçamentais MPIE-CDDFM [budget lines for the other half]

Book 5 - Development Partners

Book 6 - Special Fund [for human capital development]

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Orsamentu Geral Estadu 2019 in Tetum version Orçamento Geral do Estado 2019 in Portuguese version State Budget State

Comments: Almost the Budget documents produced in three versions (especially for Book 1). The Budget document has six books. Book 3 is only produced in Tetum language and Book 2 is only produced in Portuguese language, but the rest are in Both of Portuguese and English language.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

We agree there are titles in three languages. Please note that the English title is "RDTL State Budget 2019". Book 3A (infrastructure fund) is published in English and Portuguese, while books 3B (municipalities) and 3C (autonomous zone) are only in Tetum. Book 2 is mostly in Portuguese, but about 4% of it is only in Tetum. All other books are in both English and Portuguese (although the preface and table of contents for books 4A and 4B is only in Portuguese). Both Tetum and Portuguese are official languages in Timor-Leste, and every book is in at least one of these.

EBP-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EBP?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

MF website (by its absence)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: There is no any Citizens version for EBP since Timor-Leste restored its independence in 2002

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2018

Source:
http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_1/SERIE_L_NO_37_A.pdf
(this is the publication in the official gazette.)

The 2018 budget cycle was delayed but eventually approved on 27 of September 2018,

Comment:
The 2019 budget was not enacted (that is, promulgated by the President) before the OBS cutoff of 31 December 2018, therefore we use FY 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Enacted Budget applied for the 2019 OBS is 2018 Budget. The link provided by researcher is about 2018 Budget Law (book 1), but the full budget document can be assessed in <https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2018-state-budget-book/?lang=en>

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-1b. When was the EB approved (enacted) by the legislature?

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
27/9/2018

Source:
http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_1/SERIE_L_NO_37_A.pdf
Page 8 contains the dates of approval by the Parliament and promulgation by the President.

Comment:
In Timor-Leste, laws approved by the Legislature do not come into effect until they have been signed and promulgated by the President, which is not automatic. In this case, the legislature approved the budget on 7 September and the President promulgated it on 27 September, which is when it when it was published in the official gazette. It takes effect the following day.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the researcher

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-2. When is the EB made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public

Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EB to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public three months after the budget is approved by the legislature. If the EB is not released to the public at least three months after the budget is approved by the legislature, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EB.

Answer:

c. More than six weeks, but less than three months, after the budget has been enacted

Source:

<http://www.laohamutuk.org/updates.htm> and contemporary checking of the MF website.

Comment:

The enacted 2018 budget books were posted to the MF website a day or two before 27 November, two months after the budget was promulgated. The electronic version of the official gazette containing the budget law was not online until after that, although paper copies of the law (not the books) could be purchased from the government's printing office a few days after it was published on 27 September. Since IBP doesn't consider paper copies as publication, we didn't use that date.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the researcher answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-3a. If the EB is published, what is the date of publication of the EB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

26/11/2018

Source:

The enacted 2018 budget books were posted a day or two before 27 November, two months after the budget was promulgated.

Comment:

see previous comment

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the researcher answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Contemporaneous monitoring of the Ministry of Finance and Official Gazette websites nearly every day.

Source:

Link to find the date of publication "Javascript:alert(document.lastModified)"

Comment:

This makes it very easy for researchers to ensure that the last date of this document has been modified so that it is not listed in the document or website attachment, but it is still found to be modified with this javascript method.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Even I agree with the answer that the current software and technology will help us to more easily track a date of an online data publication but to make the answer more correct the researcher should ask to ministry of finance officer on the exact date of publication to budget document.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-4. If the EB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2018-state-budget-book/?lang=en>

Source:

The above link leads to the budget books as published by the Ministry of Finance.

Comment:

The budget law and annexed tables are also published in the official gazette at http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_1/SERIE_L_NO_37_A.pdf

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the researcher answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-5. If the EB is published, are the numerical data contained in the EB available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the EB is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

MF and official gazette websites

Comment:

Although the PDF documents are text (not images), there are no excel or other numerical tables.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No numerical data available for machine readable format, except Timor-Leste transparency portal
<http://www.budgettransparency.gov.tl/public/index?&lang=pt>

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-6a. If the EB is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EB is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EB-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EB-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

see EB-2

Comment:

Similarly, in the previous explanation, all documents were published in PDF format, which of course was not available to be copied or analyzed even though they were made online in the Ministry of Finance Official website.

All the documents that provided in the Ministry of Finance website using 3 differences languages, Tetum, English and Portuguese.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The 2018 State Budget is published and available

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EB-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EB-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EB-7. If the EB is produced, please write the full title of the EB.

For example, a title for the Enacted Budget could be "Appropriation Act n. 10 of 2018."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Lei N.º 2 /2018 de 27 de Setembro Orçamento Geral do Estado Para 2018

Source:

http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_1/SERIE_L_NO_37_A.pdf is the source of the budget law as listed above.

Comment:

There are also six budget books on the MF website, with titles:

The following are the titles for the English versions when they exist, some books are also published in Tetum and/or Portuguese. Each title begins with "RDTL State Budget 2018 Approved",

see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/budget-documents/2018-state-budget-book/?lang=en>

Book 1 - Budget Overview

Book 2 - Planos de Acção Anual [annual action plans]

Book 3A - Infrastructure Fund

Book 3B - Munisipius [municipalities – local areas]

Book 3C - RAEOA - ZEESM [Oecusse autonomous zone]

Book 4A - Rubricas Orçamentais PR-MPACLN [budget lines for half the state agencies]

Book 4B - Rubricas Orçamentais MPIE-CDDFM [budget lines for the other half]

Book 5 - Development Partners

Book 6 - Special Fund [for human capital development]

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: State Budget 2018 Approved

Comments: The title putted by researcher is about 2018 state budget law. The budget document title published by the Ministry of Finance is "State Budget 2018 Approved".

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

We agree to consider the 'Approved' Budget Books as the EB, rather than the legally binding Budget Law (with annexed tables) we had cited previously.

EB-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EB?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:
b. No

Source:
Ministry of Finance website (by its absence), see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/citizens-budget-2018-timor-leste/?lang=en>

Comment:
The government has published the CB on February 18, 2019.

This is considered late, and also after the OBS research cut-off date in December 31, 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Its true that the CB of EB published after the fiscal year of 2018 has passed, but it also because the new Government that just took office in the middle of 2018 has very limited time to produced and published the CB of 2018 on time or during 2018. The budget was approved by Parliament in September 2018, and in the same time Government also need to prepared it Budget for 2019. This context need to be considered to by the researcher as even it published in February 2019 but it seem like published in the five months after the budget is enacted.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

CB-1. What is the fiscal year of the CB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for each CB please indicate the document the CB simplifies/refers to, and the fiscal year.

Answer:
2018

Source:
Absence from the Ministry of Finance website

Comment:
Although a citizens budget was produced in February 2017 for the 2017 budget year, none has been produced since then. As we discussed during the training, we are not considering this document, as it's far outside the window for documents for this OBS.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Even I agree with this answer, and as my comment in previous that even CB for 2018 budget is published in February 2019, if this question is only measured the "publication matter" rather than the "utility matter", I think the CB is published, but if it also considering the "utility matter", CB is not published. More important is the CB is published late because the Budget also enacted late.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

CB-2a. For the fiscal year indicated in CB-1, what is the public availability status of the CB?

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document (Executive's Budget Proposal or Enacted Budget) you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its public availability status.

Remember that publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology
Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.
Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.
Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.
Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

Answer:

d. Not produced at all

Source:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/citizens-budget-2018-timor-leste/?lang=en> (Published Feb 18, 2019)

Comment:

There was a document published in February 2019, published after the OBS cut-off date so it is considered (Not Produced)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Produced but made available online to the public too late (published after the acceptable time frame)

Comments: The CB is published late because of the Budget was enacted during the last quarter of 2018. If this document published in February it mean that the Government produced it.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

Agree technically, but it was published after the end of the relevant fiscal year -- after we had begun to complete the OBS. The late publication was due to the late enactment of the 2018 budget, which resulted from the dissolution of Parliament and early Elections. For FY 2017, a citizens budget "Matadalan ba Orsamentu Geral Estadu 2017" was published on time (February 2017), and the 2019 version was published on time (April 2019), so perhaps the late publication of the 2018 version should be excused, and the score changed to A.

IBP Comment

Thank you to the Peer Reviewer's comment. In the OBS methodology, we cannot consider any evidence beyond the research cut-off date to score questions, however we can note the existence in the comments. For OBS 2019, the cut-off date was December 31, 2018. Therefore, the researcher's response is confirmed. This document is considered 'Not Produced' for this question, as it was not published by the time of the OBS research cut-off date.

CB-2b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question CB-2a, please specify how you determined whether the CB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a","b," or "e" was selected in question CB-2a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

For this year 2018, government did not publish a CB.
However, in the previous year 2017 they produced it.

Source:

CB for 2018 was not produced.

Cb for 2017, see: https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/Livru_Matadalan_2017.pdf

Comment:

Since the 2018 budget was not enacted until after 3/4 of the year had elapsed, it's unclear whether a CB would have been useful. In any event, it wasn't published before the cutoff date.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: n/a

Comments: "n/a" is very appropriate to the question. I don't think the 2018 CB is not produced at all

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

n/a

IBP Comment

The Peer Reviewer's comment is acknowledged. However, since the document was not published before the OBS research cut-off date of 31 December 2018, the assessment of this document as 'Not Produced' is confirmed.

CB-3a. If the CB is published, what is the date of publication of the CB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its dates of publication.

Answer:

Source:

Not produced and not applicable

Comment:

Not produced and not applicable

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

CB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the CB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

The government did not produced CB for 2018 and 2019 at all.

Comment:

Not applicable and did not published it.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: The Ministry of Finance website "show us" the data of the document is published (2 February 2019)

Comments: https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Citizens-budget_2018_Timor-Leste_eng-.pdf this link show us the date of the publication.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

The Peer Reviewer's comment is acknowledged. However, since the document was not published before the OBS research cut-off date of 31 December 2018, the assessment of this document as 'Not Produced' is confirmed.

CB-4. If the CB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the CB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its URL or weblink.

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

CB-5. If the CB is produced, please write the full title of the CB.

For example, a title for the Citizens Budget could be "Budget 2018 People's Guide" or "2019 Proposed Budget in Brief: A People's Budget Publication."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for the other CB, indicate the document the CB refers to and, next to it, its full title.

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Citizen Budget 2018 Timor-Leste (English Version) Orsamentu ba Cidadaun 2018 Timor-Leste (Tetum Version)

Comments: Its fine to considering the CB is not produced at all, but if the researcher putting in the context why the Government budget is enacted in last quarter of 2018 the answer should be different. CB 2018 is published in the four months after the Budget is enacted

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

The Peer Reviewer's comment is acknowledged. However, since the document was not published before the OBS research cut-off date of 31 December 2018, the assessment of this document as 'Not Produced', and therefore 'n/a', is confirmed for this response.

CB-6. If the CB is produced, please indicate which budget document it corresponds to.

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and which budget document it simplifies.

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: 2018 State Budget

Comments: I think its valid to considering a CB of a budget published in four months after the budget is enacted

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

Agree, although it was published two months after the year in question had ended, and after the cutoff date for this OBS. It may be useful for historical purposes, but not for citizens who want to understand current government actions and policies.

IBP Comment

The Peer Reviewer's comment is acknowledged. In OBS methodology, the citizen's version of a document must be published within the same time-frame as the document that it simplifies (the Enacted Budget, in this case). Therefore the minimum time-frame to be publicly available is three months.

IYRs-1. What is the fiscal year of the IYRs evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2018

Source:

the first Quarterly was on Jan-March 2018, see :

(https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf)

Second Quarterly stage was on Jan-Jun, see :

(<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>)

the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep, see :

(<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>)

Comment:

The Timor-Leste government for their IYR publishes a Quarterly report in 3 stages; namely the first Quarterly was on Jan-March 2018, Second Quarterly stage was on Jan-Jun, the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep. No report is published (or legally required) for the fourth quarter.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Since January till September 2018, Timor-Leste Government budget relay on "Duodecimal regime" as required by Budget and Financial Management Law (Article 31). The Enacted Budget for 2018 just happened in the last quarter of 2018

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-2. When are the IYRs made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the IYRs.

Answer:

c. At least every quarter, and within three months of the period covered

Source:

First Quarterly Jan-March, see :

https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf

Second Quarterly Jan-Jun, see

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>

Third Quarterly Jan-Sep, see :

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

There is no IYR or public YER covering for the fourth quarter, so they're only 75% compliant.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Since the Government during the first nine months of 2018 without enacted budget, the duodecimal regime is applied for that periode where the government planning and expenditures were monthly based. Even thought, in the end, the Government still published the report as "quarterly". Last quarter report is not published as it will be part of "Final Report on the General State Accounts that submitted to National Parliament and to the Chamber of Auditors of the Administrative, Fiscal, Auditors High Court the Report on the General Accounts of the state in accordance to Budget and Financial Management Law Article 45.1.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-3a. If the IYRs are published, what are the dates of publication of the IYRs?

Specifically: if quarterly In-Year Reports are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least three of the last four IYRs that were publicly available. If monthly IYRs are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least seven of the last 12 IYRs that were publicly available.

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD Month YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05 September 2018. If the document is not published or not produced, please mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

For the First Quarterly(Jan-March) report was published on 29 May 2018,

For the Second Quarterly (Jan-Jun)was published on 13 September 2018

For the Third Quarterly (Jan-Sep) was published on 22 November 2018

No report was produced for the fourth quarter (including for the previous year); it never is.

Source:

For the First Quarterly report was published on 29 Mei 2018, https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf

For the Second Quarterly was published on 13 September 2018, <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>

For the Third Quarterly was published on 22 November 2018, <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

For an in year report the Government of Timor-Leste was published it in every three months called the Quarterly report.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The last quarter report is considered as part of "Final report of General state account" that subjected to Audit Report as stated in Article 45.1 of Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the IYRs.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Using the javascript method that was taught during the OBS training.

Source:

First Quarterly Report (Jan-March)

https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf

Second Quarterly (Jan-Jun)

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>

Third Quarterly (Jan-Sep)

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

During this time it was difficult to find date of publication, because on some government websites there is no date when uploaded at least with this I can find out the last modified. This information is consistent with the month that's included in the URL for each PDF document.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Even I agree with the answer but javascript method is not an unique way to know the date of publication. The researcher need to confirm the exact date by communicated with Ministry of Finance official too. In addition to, checking Ministry website regularly will help us to know the exact date.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-4. If the IYRs are published, what is the URL or weblink of the IYRs?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Researchers should provide the weblink to the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the weblinks to older IYRs.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Source:

First Quarterly (Jan-March)

https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf

Second Quarterly (Jan-Jun)

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>

Third Quarterly (Jan-Sep)

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

In year is published every three months of the year, but in PDF format which makes it difficult to analyze.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I think links that provided in "source" is the complete answer for this question. Copy the "source" to the answer and fill the "source" with Article 44 and 45 of Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-5. If the IYRs are published, are the numerical data contained in the IYRs available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the IYRs are not published or not produced, therefore their machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

First Quarterly (Jan-March)

https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf

Second Quarterly (Jan-Jun)

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>

Third Quarterly (Jan-Sep)

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

These documents are in PDF format in text but not numerically accessible. Each is more than 1,000 pages long, with one page for each government agency.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I Agree, but it has readable method format when using the data from Transparency Portal about Government expenditure

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-6a. If the IYRs are not publicly available, are they still produced?

If the IYRs are not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question IYRs-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question IYRs-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

First Quarterly (Jan-March)

https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf

Second Quarterly report (Jan-Jun)

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>

Third Quarterly (Jan-Sep)

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

All Quarterly reports are published on time but in PDF format and all of this data needs to be edited by itself because it was not published in numerical format.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: To make the answer is consistent with the previous answers, actually the last quarter report is produced internally for Final and Audit Report purposes. It determined by legal mandate as stated in the Article 45.1 of Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question IYRs-6a, please specify how you determined whether the IYRs were produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question IYRs-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-7. If the IYRs are produced, please write the full title of the IYRs.

For example, a title for the In-Year Report could be "Budget Monitoring Report, Quarter 1" or "Budget Execution Report January-March 2018."

If In-Year Reports are not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Researchers should provide the full title of the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the full titles of older IYRs.

Answer:

RDTL Ano Financeiro de 2018 (RDTL Annual Budget 2018)
Relatório de Execução Orçamental (Budget Execution Report)
Terceiro Trimestre (3rd Quarterly)

Source:

Budget Execution Report 2018, 1st quarter, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf

Budget Execution Report 2018, 2nd quarter, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>

Budget Execution Report 2018, 3rd quarter, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

RDTL Ano Financeiro de 2018 Relatório de Execução Orçamental para o Primeiro Trimestre
RDTL Ano Financeiro de 2018 Relatório de Execução Orçamental para o Segundo Trimestre

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No objection

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the IYRs?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

n/a

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

MYR-1. What is the fiscal year of the MYR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2018

Source:
n/a

Comment:
Government has never published Mid-Year Reviews, although they have quarterly reports. However, we've followed the instructions that a 2q IYR cannot substitute for a MYR. It does not contain any forward-looking projections for the remainder of the budget year for revenue estimates, expenditure estimates, or macroeconomic projections.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Mid Year Report in Timor-Leste is considered as rectification budget and not a mandatory to do every year but it will happen "when the circumstances so justify it." as stated in Article 34.1 of Budget and Financial Management Law.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-2. When is the MYR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an MYR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends (i.e., three months after the midpoint of the fiscal year). If the MYR is not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the MYR.

Answer:
d. The MYR is not released to the public, or is released more than three months after the midpoint

Source:
n/a

Comment:
n/a

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Mid Year Report in Timor-Leste is considered as the rectification budget and not a mandatory to do every year but it will happen "when the circumstances so justify it." as stated in Article 34.1 of Budget and Financial Management Law.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-3a. If the MYR is published, what is the date of publication of the MYR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

The Third Quarterly Report (January-September), see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

The document of this Quarterly was published more than three months after the mid-point fiscal year. The report contain general budget allocations for third quarterly during January until September 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the MYR.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Third Quarterly report (January - September)<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

if the Third quarterly report can be considered as Mid-Year Report.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-4. If the MYR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the MYR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Third Quarterly Report (Jan-Sep), see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

As mentioned before that if this document of Third Quarterly Report (January-September) consider as Mid-Year Report.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-5. If the MYR is published, are the numerical data contained in the MYR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

Option "d" applies if the MYR is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

d. Not applicable

Source:

n/a There is no MYR.

Third Quarterly Report (Jan-Sep) <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

This document was published with PDF format, so it can not been able to open or using the data to analyze it. We usually create our own spreadsheet or .xls/.xlsx to analyzed the data of the budget.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No MYR and no Rectification Budget in 2018. During 2017 the Government proposed a rectification budget for 2017 but it wasn't passed in Parliament.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-6a. If the MYR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the MYR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question MYR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question MYR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or

"d" applies.

Answer:

d. Not produced at all

Source:

n/a No MYR produced, only IYRs.

Comment:

n/a

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question MYR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the MYR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question MYR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

A new government (comprising different political parties) took office in June, just before the middle of the year. I highly doubt that they produced a mid-year report for internal use (none was published), as the government was still under the duodecimal system in October, when the 2018 budget was finally enacted and utilized. No government in the past has produced a mid-year report even during normal budget times, and it is not legally required.

Source:

not applicable.

Comment:

not applicable.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Not produced as MYR is not required legally to do in every fiscal year

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-7. If the MYR is produced, please write the full title of the MYR.

For example, a title for the Mid-Year Review could be "Semi-annual Budget Performance Report, FY 2017/18" or "Mid-Year Report on the 2018 National Budget."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

"n/a"

Source:

not applicable.

There was not MYR

Comment:
There was no MYR

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agreed

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

MYR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the MYR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:
b. No

Source:
not applicable

Comment:
not applicable

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agreed

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

YER-1. What is the fiscal year of the YER evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2017

Source:
not applicable

Comment:
not applicable

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: YER in Timor-Leste is considered as Final report on the General State Accounts where the Government is required by Budget and Financial Management Law to submit to the National Parliament and to the Chamber of Auditors of the Administrative, Fiscal, Auditors High Court the

Report on the General Accounts of the state, within seven months after the end of the financial year, a report containing the financial balance sheets complied by the Treasury, compatible with international accounting standards.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

YER-2. When is the YER made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an YER to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than one year after the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the YER is not released to the public within one year after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the YER.

Answer:

d. The YER is not released to the public, or is released more than 12 months after the end of the budget year

Source:

n/a

Comment:

No YER have been released recently.

The most recent report made available on the website is from 2008: <https://www.mof.gov.tl/category/documents-and-forms/treasury-documents/annual-financial-reports/?lang=en>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Even I agree that YER is not published separately but YER is produced and submitted to Parliament and Court as part of Final Report of General State Account subjected to Audit Report by Court as stated in Article 45.1.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

YER-3a. If the YER is published, what is the date of publication of the YER?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

not applicable

Comment:

not applicable

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published separately but as part of Final Report of General State Account subjected to Court Audit

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

YER-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the YER.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

.

Source:

Comment:

This YER document was not available to the public.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: n/a

Comments: YER is not published separately from Final Report General State Account subjected to Parliament no Court Audit. And it will be published when Audit Report is published too.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

IBP says that the YER must be published within 12 months of the end of the Fiscal Year to be considered public. The one which should have been published during the reporting period (i.e. before the end of 2018) would have been for 2017. However, that document was not posted to the Ministry website until March 2019 (it was discussed in Parliament on 11 March 2019 -- see <https://www.mof.gov.tl/diskursu-ministra-finansas-en-ezersisiu-no-atual-vice-ministra-finansas-ih-parlamentu-nasional/?lang=tl>), which makes it ineligible for consideration in the IBP. The Jornal da Republica volume dated 28 December 2018 includes the Court of Appeal report on the General State Accounts, but does not include the GSA/YER document itself.

YER-4. If the YER is published, what is the URL or weblink of the YER?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

No YER produced

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is produced when Audit Report is released

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

YER-5. If the YER is published, are the numerical data contained in the YER available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the YER is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

d. Not applicable

Source:

Comment:

Not applicable

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agreed

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

YER-6a. If the YER is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the YER is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question YER-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question YER-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

c. Produced for internal purposes/use only

Source:

A report on the General State Accounts is required by Article 45 of Law no 13/2009, as amended (http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/debt/LeiOrsJesFin13_2009RevEn.pdf), and submitted to Parliament and to the SAI. However, it is not made available to the public. As the SAI will eventually produce a report based on the GSA document, we know it exists.

Comment:

Not produced at all

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It produced for and submitted to Parliament and Court as required by Budget and Financial Management Law article 45.1

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

YER-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question YER-6a, please specify how you determined whether the YER was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question YER-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

A report on the General State Accounts is required by Article 45 of Law no 13/2009, as amended (http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/debt/LeiOrsJesFin13_2009RevEn.pdf), and submitted to Parliament and to the SAI within seven months of the end of the fiscal year. However, it is not made available to the public. As the SAI produced a report based on the GSA document, we know it exists.

Source:

www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_2/SERIE_II_NO_52.pdf

Comment:

not applicable

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

YER-7. If the YER is produced, please write the full title of the YER.

For example, a title for the Year-End Report could be "Consolidated Financial Statement for the Year Ended 31 March 2018" or "Annual Report 2017 Published by the Ministry of Finance and Planning." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Report on the General State Accounts for 2017

Source:

As this report isn't published, I'm guessing.

Comment:

"n/a"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: as it not published we don't know the title but this report is subjected to court report and opinion:

https://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relat%C3%B3rio_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%202017.pdf

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

YER-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the YER?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is

happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-1. What is the fiscal year of the AR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

2017

Source:

not applicable

Comment:

not applicable

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Article 42 of Budget and Financial Management Law stated The Administrative, Fiscal, Auditors High Court or until this body has been established, the entity established in article 164 of the Constitution, shall transmit to the National Parliament, under the terms of the organic law of the Chamber of Auditors of that Court [Law no. 9/2011 of 17 August], the report and opinion on the General State Accounts. The court report on 2017 State Budget can be download through this link:

https://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relat%C3%B3rio_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%20_2017.pdf

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-2. When is the AR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an AR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the AR is not released to the public at least 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the AR.

Answer:

b. 12 months or less, but more than six months, after the end of the budget year

Source:

http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relatório_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%202017.pdf

Comment:

Although it is dated 28 December, the holidays mean that it was probably not posted on line until after the new year. I didn't see it until 11 January, but I was on vacation and not checking every day. It's possible that it was more than 12 months after the end of the budget year, but not much more. The report is also published in the official gazette, but this can take a few weeks longer to become available online:
www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_2/SERIE_II_NO_52.pdf .

According to Javascript, however, it was published on December 23, 2018, which is within 12 months of the end of the reporting period.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: There are two laws applied on the Audit Report, first is Budget and Financial Management Law Article 42 and 45.1, the second law is Chamber of Auditors of the Administrative, Fiscal, Auditors High Court Law Article 44,44 and 45.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-3a. If the AR is published, what is the date of publication of the AR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2018 should be entered as 05/09/2018. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

23/12/2018

Source:

Court website

Report and Opinion on the State General Accounts for 2017, see :

http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relatório_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%202017.pdf

Official gazette http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_2/SERIE_II_NO_52.pdf (although it was put online about a week later)

Comment:

It's published in two places. See previous comment, confirmed via Javascript the date of publication is considered to be December 23, 2018.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: agreed

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the AR.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Javascript

Source:

"n/a"

Comment:
"n/a"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It also good to asking directly to Ministry of Finance officer responsible to the Budget issue

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-4. If the AR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the AR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relatório_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%20_2017.pdf

Source:

not applicable

Comment:

It is also published at www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_2/SERIE_II_NO_52.pdf in the official gazette.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-5. If the AR is published, are the numerical data contained in the AR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs and Word (.doc/.docx) files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the AR is not published or not produced, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

not applicable

Comment:

The two online versions are in slightly different formats, but neither is machine-readable as far as the tables go.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

AR-6a. If the AR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the AR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question AR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question AR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

not applicable

Comment:

not applicable

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Article 7.1(c) of Chamber of Audit law required for Court to published it Audit Report on Official Gazette

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question AR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the AR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question AR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

not applicable

Comment:

not applicable

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-7. If the AR is produced, please write the full title of the AR.

For example, a title for the Audit Report could be "Annual General Reports of the Controller and Auditor General." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Relatório e Parecer Sobre a Conta Geral do Estado de 2017

Source:

http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relatório_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%202017.pdf

Comment:

Report and Opinion on the State General Account for 2017

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

AR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the AR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <http://www.internationalbudget.org/opening-budgets/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

n/a

Comment:

n/a

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

GQ-1a. Are there one or more websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1a asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where fiscal information can be found. For example, in New Zealand the Treasury website (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/>) hosts important budget-related information, including the Pre-Budget Statement, the Executive's Budget Proposal, the Citizens Budget, In-Year Reports, the Mid-Year Review, and the Year-End Report. In addition, New Zealand's Parliamentary Counsel Office

(<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/>) posts the Enacted Budget while the Controller and Auditor-General website (<http://www.oag.govt.nz/>) publishes the annual Audit Report. The New Zealand researcher would provide the links to each of these sites. Other countries have developed portals that include fiscal information, though not in the "documents" format. For example, these portals have been created by Mexico (<https://www.transparenciapresupuestaria.gob.mx/>) and Brazil (<http://www.portaltransparencia.gov.br/>). Some countries have both a website and a portal. The Brazilian government, for example, apart from the Transparency Portal, has a dedicated website for the federal budget, where all key documents and other information can be found (www.orcamentofederal.gov.br). Researchers should include details about all of the relevant websites and/or portals that they can be used to access budget information.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Ministry of Finance, <https://www.mof.gov.tl>.

Transparency Portal, <http://www.budgettransparency.gov.tl/public/index?&lang=en>

Tribunais de Timor-Leste, <https://www.tribunais.tl/>

Jornal da República (official gazette) , <http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/>

Comment:

There are several relevant official government websites :

- Ministry of Finance provides budget books and other data regarding financial and budget
- Transparency Portal provides daily updated data of the revenue and expenditure execution by the Government, in cumbersome but machine-readable form, but it is frequently offline.
- Tribunais de Timor-Leste is provide Audit Report for the State Accounts and audits of particular agencies or programs
- Jornal da República contains copies of all enacted laws and SAI audit reports, as well as lower-level decree-laws and regulations, and ministerial directives.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

GQ-1b. On these websites/portals, can revenue and/or expenditure data for the current fiscal year be downloaded as a consolidated file (or set of files)? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1b, GQ-1c, and GQ-1d ask about whether governments publish specific types of content on their websites/portals: (a) consolidated files that contain revenue and/or expenditure information for the current fiscal year; (b) consolidated files that contain revenue and/or expenditure information for multiple years in consistent formats; and (c) infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis. Researchers should provide the links to relevant webpages and some explanations of what they contain.

Answer:

a. Yes, both revenue and expenditure data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

Source:

There are several choices of data to export can be seen in this link : (<http://www.budgettransparency.gov.tl/public/index?&lang=pt>)

Comment:

Data is presented in two forms, both revenue and expenditure data. The data shows in table format and it can be export for several choices, including excel. However, the Portal only allows one dimension at a time -- you can look by funding source, ministry, expenditure category, month, etc. -- but not simultaneously. Each year is separate.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

GQ-1c. On these websites/portals, can consolidated revenue and/or expenditure data be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

Answer:

a. Yes, both revenue and expenditure data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

Source:

Expenditure link, see : <http://www.budgettransparency.gov.tl/publicTransparency/transparencyNavigation?fiscalYearId=80&isInflow=false>

Revenue link, see : <http://www.budgettransparency.gov.tl/publicTransparency/transparencyNavigation?isInflow=true&selectedGroupId=260>

Ministry of Finance, see: <https://www.mof.gov.tl/budget-spending/budget-execution/?lang=pt>, to know further information click on "Orsamentu & Despezas" and there are some option to obtain information regarding the fiscal.

Comment:

In addition to those in the Transparency Portal can be downloaded for multiple years and several format.

However, the formats change when the government structure changes (about every 2 years on average) and each year has to be downloaded separately, so the formats are not entirely consistent.

Also, the website is frequently offline -- as it is right now, when I'm trying to verify these links.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

GQ-1d. On these websites/portals, are infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

<http://www.budgettransparency.gov.tl/public/index?&lang=en>

Comment:

It has the capability of generating some simple bar graphs of particular screen-fulls of information. If the portal comes back online before we submit this, I'll include some samples.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

GQ-2. Are there laws in place guiding public financial management and/or auditing? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where the law(s) contains specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

GQ-2 asks about the existence of any national laws governing public financial management and auditing. These may include a public finance act, a section of the constitution, or an organic budget law. In some countries, fiscal responsibility legislation may also be relevant. For example, the Kenya researcher may include the link to its Public Finance Management Act, 2012 (<http://www.kenyalaw.org/lex/actview.xhtml?actid=No.%2018%20of%202012>), and the Macedonian researcher may include a link to its State Audit Law (<https://www.finance.gov.mk/files/u11/Audit%20law.pdf>). Researchers should provide links to websites where such laws are published, if possible, or an electronic copy of the law itself. They should also indicate if and where (e.g. which article) these laws include specific provisions for budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

The Budget and Financial Management law No. 13/2009, as amended in 2011 and 2013. see :

http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2009/serie_1/serie1_no37.pdf

http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2011/serie_1/serie1_no31.pdf

http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2013/serie_1/serie1_no27.pdf

Although there's no official published version which consolidates the amendments, La'o Hamutuk has published an English one at

http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/debt/LeiOrsJesFin13_2009RevEn.pdf

Comment:

Article 11 is a general statement of transparency, but no articles require publication of specific reports, although articles 42, 44 and 45 require that the AR, IYRs and YER be produced.

About 85% of Timor-Leste's State Budget is financed by the Petroleum Fund, whose defining law no. 9/2005 as amended (<http://www.laohamutuk.org/Oil/PetFund/revison/LeiRevisionFundoPetroleu28Sep2011En.pdf>) includes requirements for transparency in articles 13, 19, 23, 24, 31 and 32, among others.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Yes, I agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

GQ-3. Are there additional laws regulating: (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where these laws contain specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

The third and last question asks researchers to list any additional laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens' participation that are relevant for the promotion of budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes. These might include legislation related to access to information, to planning processes, or to public administration more generally. India's Right to Information Act of 2005 (<https://www.ncess.gov.in/facilities/central-public-information-officer/rti-act-details.html>) is an example of this type of law. More information on access to information legislation (constitutional provisions, laws, and regulations), including examples of model laws, can be found here: <http://www.right2info.org/laws/constitutional-provisions-laws-and-regulations#section-1>.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Timor-Leste Constitution Articles 40 (freedom of information), 41 (freedom of the press), 46 (right to political participation)

http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/ConstituicaoRDTL_Portugues.pdf

Constitution Article No. 6(c) defends democracy and popular participation as a fundamental objective of the state.

Decree-law 43/2016 on access to official documents: http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2016/serie_1/SERIE_I_NO_40a.pdf.

Comment:

Actual practice often falls short of official requirements. La'o Hamutuk wrote a recent article about this:

<http://www.laohamutuk.org/Bulletin/2018/May/bulletinv19n1en.html#asesu>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: I agree with the answer. In some cases, many government agency responsible to mega project with expensive costs are declined to open or share their document officially to public.

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

1. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 1 addresses the presentation of expenditure by administrative unit. This information indicates which government entity (ministry, department, or agency, or MDAs) will be responsible for spending the funds and, ultimately, held accountable for their use.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all administrative units, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the administrative units shown individually, in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation, must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. In other words, the sum of the expenditures assigned to the individual MDAs (education, health, infrastructure, interior, defense, etc.) must account for at least two-thirds of the total expenditure budgeted for that particular year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents administrative units that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.

Answer:

a. Yes, administrative units accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

Budget Book 2 - see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

Comment:

A few agencies, including the TimorGAP national oil company and the ANPM regulatory authority, are funded through Public Transfers which are not disaggregated, although they are buried in Book 2

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Budget Book 3 on Infrastructure Fund, Districts and region expenditure, Book 4 for line ministerial, Book 5 on Development Partner and Book 6 on Special Fund are indicating the ministries are responsible on the each expenditure item or category.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

2. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by functional classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 2 addresses the presentation of expenditure by functional classification. This classification indicates the programmatic purpose, sector, or objective for which the funds will be used, such as health, education, or defense. Administrative units are not necessarily aligned with functional classifications. For instance, in one country all functions connected with water supply (which fall into the "Housing" function) may be undertaken by a single government agency, while in another country they may be distributed across the Ministries of Environment, Housing, and Industrial Development. In the latter case, three ministries have programs addressing water supply, so three ministries contribute to one function. Similarly, some administrative units may conduct activities that cut across more than one function. For instance, in the example above, some programs of the Ministry of Environment would also be classified in the "environmental protection" function.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by functional classification.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by functional classification.

Source:

Executive Budget Proposal Book 1, on page 47, figures 2.6.1.1 and 2.6.1.2, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

In the budget book two information is available regarding each institution with each classification for the functional unit.

Information is presented for recurrent and capital expenditures by percentage, however as these categories of expenditures are presented as nominal value in the same document on p. 5, this is accepted.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree, Article 23 of Budget Financial Management Law on Content of the State Budget and mandatory Expenses required to presenting all of functional classification of the expenditure

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

3. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by functional classification, is the functional classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 3 asks whether a country's functional classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's functional classification must be aligned with the OECD and the UN's Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), or provide a cross-walk between the national functional presentation and COFOG.

The OECD Best Practices for Budget Transparency can be viewed at <http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/Best%20Practices%20Budget%20Transparency%20-%20complete%20with%20cover%20page.pdf>

COFOG can be viewed at https://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM/SeriesM_84E.pdf or at <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/ch6ann.pdf>.

Answer:

a. Yes, the functional classification is compatible with international standards.

Source:

Executive Budget Proposal Book 1, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

There are nine of the ten COFOG categories presented, all of the COFOG categories except for environmental protection.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

4. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by economic classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 4 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification. Economic classification provides information on the nature of the expenditure, such as whether funds are being used to pay for wages and salaries, capital projects, or social assistance benefits.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

Source:

Budget Book 1, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf, page 29 source. Table 2.4.2.1, and

Budget Book 4 A, pages 2-3 (with disaggregation by administrative unit on subsequent pages), see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree, Article 23 of Budget Financial Management Law on Content of the State Budget and mandatory Expenses required to presenting all of economic classification of the expenditure

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

5. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by economic classification, is the economic classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 5 asks whether a country's economic classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's economic classification must be consistent with the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) 2001 Government Finance Statistics (GFS). The GFS economic classification is presented here: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>. To learn more about Government Finance Statistics also refer to the entire IMF 2001 GFS manual (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf>).

Answer:

a. Yes, the economic classification is compatible with international standards.

Source:

Budget book 1, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Budget Book 4A, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf> & Budget Book 4B, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

The Timor-Leste Budget economic classification is complied with IMF 1986 GFS Standard. See 2014 PEFA report (page 29): <https://pefa.org/sites/default/files/assessments/comments/TL-May14-PFMPR-Public.pdf>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Also it is good to referred to Article 52.2 and 52.3 of Budget and Financial Management Law on Accounting practices

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

6. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 6 asks whether expenditures are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should treat the term "program" as meaning any level of detail below an administrative unit – that is, any programmatic grouping that is below the ministry, department, or agency level. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered

programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Budget decisions for the upcoming year can also affect the parameters of future budgets. It is therefore useful to estimate revenues and expenditures for multi-year periods, understanding that these estimates might be revised as circumstances change. Sometimes referred to as a Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), a three-year period – that is, the budget year plus two more years – is generally considered an appropriate horizon for budgeting and planning.

Answer:

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

As can see in Budget Book 2 :<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

Comment:

Each institution provides a breakdown of its programs.

This is a score improvement from OBS 2017 when only several Funds showed program expenditures.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Please referred to Budget principles and rules on Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

7. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 7 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," multi-year expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

b. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

Budget Book 4A <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>

Budget Book 4B <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Expenditures are presented for BY+1 to BY+4 (2020 through 2023) for administrative (both documents) and economic (See 4B PDF p. 5).

Comment:

See La'o Hamutuk's graphic commentary at <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/BB10JE2019overviewTableEn.gif>.

although numbers are presented as "estimates" of future expenditure in books 4a and 4b, these are not serious estimates for recurrent expenditure, but simple 4% annual increases over the previous year for every single budget line.

If OBS agrees that automatic 4% increases on every line should not be considered "estimates".

IBP Comment: For cross-country consistency, despite the valid concerns of the researchers about the quality of the data provided, this question is assessed as an "B" score because the relevant figures are provided in the budget documents.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Its required by law that The State Budget may include programs, projects or activities that imply multiyear charges, presenting the total expense foreseen for each and, with indicative character, for at least the next two years. Also, The drafting of the budget indicated shall be inserted within the multiyear perspective determined by the requirements of financial stability.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

7b. Based on the response to Question 7, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for a multi-year period in the Executive's Budget Proposal?

Answer:

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Source:

Budget book 4A (page 2): <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>;

Budget Book 4 B (page 392): <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

Also, if the 4% numbers aren't considered estimates, this should be "none of the above."

IBP Comment: For cross-country consistency, despite the valid concerns of the researchers about the quality of the data provided, this question is assessed as showing administrative and economic classifications because the relevant figures are provided in the budget documents.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Its required that the drafting of the budget shall be inserted within the multiyear perspective determined by the requirements of financial stability. Even though Government estimation many times far from the reality is another issue but so far economic, administrative and functional classification have multi year period estimation at least 2 years. it can be referred to Article 22.3(a) of Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

8. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by program?

GUIDELINES:

Question 8 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures over the multi-year period. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget

Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if multi-year estimates are not presented by program.

Revenues generally are separated into two major categories: "tax" and "non-tax" revenues. Taxes are compulsory transfers that result from government exercising its sovereign power. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes. The category of non-tax revenues is more diverse, ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services. Note that some forms of revenue, such as contributions to social security funds, can be considered either a tax or non-tax revenue depending on the nature of the approach to these contributions. Particularly because different revenues have different characteristics, including who bears the burden of paying the tax and how collections are affected by economic conditions, it is helpful when estimates for revenues are disaggregated and displayed based on their sources.

For more information, please refer to the 2001 GFS manual, in particular Appendix 4 (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>).

Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

Budget Book 4A; see start on page 2 :<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>;

Budget Book 4B; start on page 393 : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

Also, if the 4% numbers aren't considered estimates, this should be "none of the above."

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Comments: Book 4A and B, including Book 3A B and C include all of Government expenditure projection at least two years. And its required by Law.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

These books contain numbers, but they are not genuine estimates. Every line is automatically increased 4% each year. Unfortunately, since IBP methodology doesn't consider whether the numbers are meaningful or not, we accept the reviewer's comment – although we continue to believe that such meaningless numbers do not comply with the legal requirement for projections. In past years' budgets, these 4% projections have had little relationship to the number eventually appropriated.

IBP Comment

For cross-country consistency, despite the valid concerns of the researchers about the quality of the data provided, this question is revised to an "A" score because the relevant figures are provided in the budget documents.

9. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 9 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, individual sources of tax revenue accounting for all tax revenue are presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1 (page 15-16), see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Petroleum revenue is much larger than domestic revenue, see table 2.3.3.2.2. on page 27 of book 1.

Comment:

Other categories of revenue account for less than 1% of total taxes, which justifies an A score.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Please referred to Article 22.3(l) of Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

10. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of government-produced goods and services) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 10 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "non-tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The category of non-tax revenues is diverse, and can include revenue ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of non-tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all non-tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of non-tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all non-tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of non-tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of non-tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for all non-tax revenue are presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1 (page 16_19) https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf, I'd reference table 2.3.2.2.1 on pages 16-17 of book 1 for non-oil, non-tax revenue, and table 2.3.3.2.2 on page 14-19 and 27 for petroleum non-tax revenues.

Comment:

Non-tax revenues do not show any revenues under the 'other' categories, which justifies an A score.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Please referred to Article 22.3(n) of Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

11. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 11 evaluates whether revenue estimates are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by "category," that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of revenues classified by category for at least two years following the budget year in question.

Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year estimates of revenue are presented by category.

Source:

Budget Book 1 page (15, 17, 27) https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Yes it does as required by law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

12. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates for individual sources of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 12 evaluates whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented for a multi-year period.

Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue are presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page 15-19 and 27. see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Revenue projections are shown through BY+4 (2023)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It does and I agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

13. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include:

- *the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available

revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the budget year.

Answer:

b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page number : (37, 38-41), see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf, on the table 2.5.1.1 on page 37 of BB1, as well as pages 38-41. These include numbers for current and future years for "debt service" payments, but do not separate "interest" (which the question asks for) from principal.

Specifically:

Net new borrowing - shown as total financing for BY - BY+4 on p. 37

Total debt - shown as outstanding principle for BY - BY+4 on p. 41

Second set of numbers for debt service payments is on page 213 of Budget Book 4A (<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>)

Comment:

While p. 41 shows debt service payments (including debt principal repayment), in Timor-Leste there are no debt principal payments until 2019, therefore in the budget year this figure is for interest payments only.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Article 21.1(a) of Budget and Financial Management Law stated The Government may loan money to legal persons provided that: a) The details of the operation, reimbursement of interests, non-compliance and loan redemption conditions are duly set in the law;

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

As confirmed with the researcher - the budget proposal does present 'debt service payments' for 2019 (see page 213). However, 2019 (the BY) is the first year where the government will both be paying principal payments and interest payments. Previously this figure only covered interest payments, and therefore was accepted. However, because this question specifically asks for interest payments as separate from principal payments, this value cannot be accepted for this question. The score is revised from A to B.

13b. Based on the response to Question 13, check the box(es) below to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year

The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year

The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year

Source:

Budget Book 1, page (4-6, 28, 37,41) https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf,

Comment:

I think they present all three of the items, not just the first one, if the aggregation of interest payments into "debt service" is acceptable. Refer to tables 2.5.1.1, and both tables labelled 2.5.3.2 on page 41.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Article 20 and 21 of Budget and financial Management Law required all of Government loans, net borrowing during a fiscal year and its interest shall be include in the EBP

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

14. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 14 focuses on the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 13, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens, banks, and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page (4-6, 28, 37, 41) https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf.

The tables show that all debt is external, as shown in table 2.5.3.1.

However there is no information on the maturity of the debt or the interest rates on debt.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree but its be good to referred the answer with the legal obligation need to be followed by the Government in Article 20 and 21 of Budget and Financial Management Law.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

14b. Based on the response to Question 14, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the composition of the total debt outstanding are are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Whether the debt is domestic or external

Source:

Budget Book 1, page (4-6, 28, 37, 41) https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf. Because it is all external, as shown in table 2.5.3.1.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: 100% of Government loans are from International Financial Institutions such as World Bank, ADB and JICA and each lender has different interest rate and its grace period. The interest rate, grace period and whether all of these are presented in EBP

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

15. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?"

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 15 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the budget's revenue and expenditure estimates, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- *nominal GDP level;*
- *inflation rate;*
- *real GDP growth; and*
- *interest rates.*

While the core macroeconomic information should be a standard feature of the Executive's Budget Proposal, the importance of some types of macroeconomic assumptions may vary from country to country. For example, the budget estimates of some countries are particularly affected by changes in the price of oil and other commodities.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short and long-term interest rates; rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

Budget Book 1, (page 4,9,13), see: https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Inflation rate p. 9

Real GDP growth p. 7

Exchange rates and commodity prices (beyond the core) p. 10-11

Comment:

There are numbers and projections for non-oil GDP growth and level (table 2.2.4.1). No data is included about the portion of GDP which comes from the oil and gas sector, which comprises about 1/3 of total GDP at present.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

15b. Based on the response to Question 15, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Information beyond the core elements (please specify)

Real GDP growth

Inflation rate

Source:

Budget Book 1 (page 7-9, 12-13), see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Information beyond the core elements is given as:

Food and oil prices (2.2.2.2.2, 2.3.3.1.2)

Exchange rates (2.2.2.2.3)

Petroleum revenues and Petroleum fund investment return (2.3.3.2.2)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: In the Economic Overview, the state Budget identified three important elements as the TL economic Indicators to achieved during 2019 til 2021 as following: Real non-oil GDP growth is expected to accelerate, with growth of 5.9% in 2019. [2]

Inflation is expected to remain low and stable at 2.7%. [2]

The exchange rate forecast suggests there will some small depreciation in the near future, providing an overall stable foundation for traders, alongside improved competitiveness.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

16. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget?"

(The core information must include estimates of the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 16 focuses on the issue of whether the Executive's Budget Proposal shows how different macroeconomic assumptions affect the budget estimates (known as a "sensitivity analysis"). It asks whether "core" information related to a sensitivity analysis is presented, estimating the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for:

- *inflation rate;*
- *real GDP growth; and*
- *interest rates.*

A sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? Or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated? Or how will revenue be affected by a decrease in the price of oil?

As noted for Question 15, changes in certain macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil and other commodities, can have a significant impact on the budget estimates. As a result, some sensitivity analyses may also examine the impact on the budget estimates of changes in assumptions such as the price of oil that are beyond the core elements of the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to a "sensitivity analysis" as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to a "sensitivity analysis" is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on "sensitivity analysis" is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to different macroeconomic assumptions is not presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page (7-9, 12-17) https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

there is no any discussion of different assumptions (except for the retroactive analysis of change in ESI in graphs 2.3.3.1.2 and 2.3.3.1.3). in the Budget book 1. ESI only relates to the Petroleum Fund, not overall budget revenues or expenditures, however, therefore does not count for this question.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Even in the Economic Overview of budget is explained and identified three core elements as the TL economic indicators but failed to discussed how the change in the indicators will affected to TL overall budget.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

17. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Answer:

d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect expenditure is not presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page (29-35) https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

There is some discussion of how expenditures are allocated on pages 29-35, but it didn't present anything that identifies new policy proposals.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

18. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Prior-year information constitutes an important benchmark for assessing the proposals for the upcoming budget year. Estimates of prior years should be presented in the same formats (in terms of classification) as the budget year to ensure that year-to-year comparisons are meaningful. For example, if the budget proposes shifting responsibility for a particular program from one administrative unit to another – such as shifting responsibility for the training of nurses from the health department to the education department – the prior-year figures must be adjusted before year-to-year comparisons of administrative budgets can be made.

Typically, when the budget proposal is submitted, the year prior to the budget year (BY-1), also known as the current year, has not ended, so the executive will provide estimates of the anticipated outcome for BY-1. The soundness of these estimates is directly related to the degree to which they have been updated to reflect actual expenditures to date, legislative changes that have occurred, and anticipated changes in macroeconomic, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

The first year that can reflect actual outcomes, therefore, is generally two years before the budget year (BY-2). Thus the OECD recommends that data covering at least two years before the budget year (along with two years of projections beyond the budget year) are provided in order to assess fully the trends in the budget.

Answer:

d. No, information that shows how new policy proposals affect revenues is not presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page (29-35) https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

There is some discussion of revenues on pages 19-25, but it didn't present anything that identifies new policy proposals.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

19. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 19 asks if expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented by one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-1 by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-1 must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

b. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

Budget Book 4A, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>

Budget Book 4B, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

It didn't present anything for past years by functional classification. However, administrative and economic classifications are presented in BY-1 in Budget Books 4A and 4B.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Comments: I think the functional classification is presented in BY1 at Figure 2.5.8.1: Recurrent Expenditure by Sector for 2018 and Figure 2.5.8.2: Infrastructure Fund by Sector for 2018 at pages 54 and 55 of Budget Book 1.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

The EBP being evaluated for this budget is the one for 2019, published in November 2018. Book 1 does not contain the figure or page numbers mentioned by the peer reviewer (there is no section 2.5.8, and the English version has only 51 pages). However, the graphs with the titles he/she mentioned -- figures 2.6.1.1 and 2.6.1.2 on page 47 of Book 1 (English), refer to the current budget year, not the previous one. We stand by our answer.

IBP Comment

The researcher's response is correct. BY-1 information (2018) for functions is not found in the 2019 budget books, only BY information. The score is confirmed as B.

20. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 20 asks if expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-1. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-1. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented for BY-1.

Source:

Budget Book 4A <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf> & Budget Book 4B <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

Because the budget doesn't include anything about how much was spent by many programs funded through public transfers, especially ANPM, TimorGAP and IPG.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree. Unfortunately TimorGAP, IPG and ANPM programs are not include in the Budget Document, These institutions are invited to presented their plan to the Parliament committee and many times their documents are not publicly available

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

21. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Question 21 asks whether the expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) have been updated from the original enacted levels. Updates can reflect actual experience to date; revised estimates due to shifting of funds by the executive, as permitted under the law; enactment of supplemental budgets; and revised assumptions regarding macroeconomic conditions, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

Answer "a" applies if the estimates have been updated; answer "b" applies if the original estimates are still being used.

Answer:

b. No, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have not been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>

<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

Because the 2018 budget was enacted less than one month before the 2019 budget was proposed, the numbers (see Budget Book 4) were not updated.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

22. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 22 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any of the three classifications

Answer:

c. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

The proposed 2019 budget books are the totals for BY-2 (2017) by economic classification, in table 2.1.3.1 of BB 1 (on p. 5, and see also p. 28-26);

https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Budget Books 4a and 4b only show administrative expenditures for 2018 (BY-1)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

22b. Based on the response to Question 22, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year in the Executive Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Economic classification

Source:

Budget Book 4a and 4b only show historical information through 2018 (BY-1) not BY-2

Budget Book 4A page 2, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>

Budget Book 4B page 393, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

There is only one classification shown - economic classification.

The proposed 2019 budget books are the totals for BY-2 (2017) by economic classification, in table 2.1.3.1 of BB 1 (on p. 5, and see also p. 28-26); https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

23. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 23 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year before the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-2 and prior years. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-2 and prior years. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:

d. No, expenditures are not presented by program for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:

Budget Book 4a and 4b only show historical information through 2018 (BY-1) not BY-2

Budget Book 4A page 2, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>

Budget Book 4B page 393, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

The proposed 2019 budget books are the totals for 2017 by economic classification only, in table 2.1.3.1 of BB 1

Budget book 1 :https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

24. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 24 asks for which year the actual outcomes for expenditures are shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated all its expenditure data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

Answer:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Source:

the proposed 2019 budget books are the totals by economic classification, in table 2.1.3.1 of BB 1 (page 5) : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Table shows 2017 actual values.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

25. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 are presented by category.

Source:

Budget Book 1, pages 14-19, and table 2.3.3.2.2 on page 27, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

26. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

a. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue are presented for BY-1.

Source:

Budget Book 1, pages 14-19, and table 2.3.3.2.2 on page 27, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The Budget shall include revenues and expenses as stated by Budget and Financial Management Law Article 4 "The State Budget is unitary and covers all revenues and expenses of the services and bodies of the State which do not have administrative and financial autonomy, as well as of autonomous services and funds."

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

27. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have the original estimates of revenue for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated to reflect actual revenue collections?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

b. No, revenue estimates for BY-1 have not been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:

Budget Book 1 : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Because the domestic revenue numbers for 2018 in BB1 for OJE 2019 are the same as in the final BB1 for OJE 2018. However, petroleum revenue

estimates (table 2.3.3.2.2) were updated, although petroleum revenues are the majority of all revenues, the updated numbers are so far from the actual year-end values.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

28. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by category.

Source:

Revenue tables show 2017 Projection and Actual Values (BY-2)

Budget Book 1, pages 14-19, and table 2.3.3.2.2 on page 27, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Article 22.2(d) of Budget and Financial Management Law stated – The general information on the budget shall include: Budget deficit or surplus concerning the previous financial year, transported into the financial year corresponding to the State Budget Law; Also Article 22.3(l) Estimated cost of previous uncollected revenues, tax or customs benefits expressly foreseen by law, attributed to services without administrative and financial autonomy and to autonomous services and funds by expenditure programs related with the activity covered by the tax or customs benefits;

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

29. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

a. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue are presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:

Revenue tables show 2017 Projection and Actual Values (BY-2)

Budget Book 1, pages 14-19, and table 2.3.3.2.2 on page 27, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

30. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Source:

Revenue tables show 2017 Projection and Actual Values (BY-2)
Budget Book 1, pages 14-19, and table 2.3.3.2.2 on page 27, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Revenue tables show 2017 actual values.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: It is in comply with Article 22 of Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

31. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?"

(The core information must include the total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1; the amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1; interest payments on the debt; interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 31 focuses on prior-year debt information, rather than on prior-year expenditures or revenues, asking whether "core" information is provided on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1).

The "core" information includes:

- total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1;
- amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1;
- interest payments on the debt;
- interest rates on the debt instruments;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether it is domestic or external debt.

This core information for BY-1 is consistent with the budget year information for borrowing and debt, which is examined in Questions 13 and 14.

In addition, some governments provide information beyond the core elements, such as gross new borrowing required during BY-1; currency of the debt; whether the debt carries a fixed or variable interest rate; whether it is callable; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for BY-1 as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on government borrowing and

debt for BY-1.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

The only information presented is total debt and "whether the debt is domestic or external" because it is all external, as shown on p. 39 in table 2.5.3.1. in BB1 with values as of August 2018.

Net new borrowing is also presented as 'Borrowing/Loans' in table Table 2.1.3.1 on p. 5

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: the maturity profile of the debt is not presented

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

32. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 32 asks for which year the actual outcome for debt is shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated its debt data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

It is essential that all government activities that may have an impact on the budget – in the current budget year or in future budget years – be fully disclosed to the legislature and the public in budget documents. In some countries, for instance, entities outside central government (such as public corporations) undertake fiscal activities that could affect current and future budgets. Similarly, activities that can have a significant impact on the budget, such as payment arrears and contingent liabilities, sometimes are not properly captured by the regular presentations of expenditure, revenue, and debt.

Answer:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Source:

Budget Book 1, . The sources are table 2.5.3.1 (page 39) and 2.5.3.2 (p.41), . see: https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

In the tables, debt service payments are reported but not total debt outstanding for at least 2017.

For that reason, this score is D.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Its required by Law to presented. Article 22.4 of Budget and Financial management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

33. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund; and complete income, expenditure, and financing

data on a gross basis.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 33 focuses on extra-budgetary funds, asking whether "core" information related to these funds, which exist outside the budget, are presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund (i.e., why was a particular fund set up? what is it used for?); and
- estimates of its income, expenditure, and financing. (These estimates should be presented on a gross basis so that it is possible to tell how much money flows through each extra-budgetary fund.)

In most countries, governments engage in certain budgetary activities that are not included in the central government's budget. Known as extra-budgetary funds, they can range in size and scope. For example, countries frequently set up pension and social security programs as extra-budgetary funds, where the revenues collected and the benefits paid are recorded in a separate fund outside the budget. Another example of an extra-budgetary fund can be found in countries dependent on hydrocarbon/mineral resources, where revenues from producing and selling those resources are channeled through systems outside the annual budget.

In some cases, the separation engendered by an extra-budgetary fund serves a legitimate political purpose, and the finances and activities of these funds are well documented. In other cases, however, this structure is used for obfuscation, and little or nothing is known about a fund's finances and activities.

The availability of information related to extra-budgetary funds is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the government's true fiscal position. In addition to the core information, other information about extra-budgetary funds is also desirable. Such information includes a discussion of the risks associated with the extra-budgetary fund; expenditures classified by economic, functional, or administrative unit; and the rules and procedures that govern the operations and management of the extra-budgetary fund.

For more information about extra-budgetary funds, see the *Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget* (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 2.1.1 of the IMF's *Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018)* (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to extra-budgetary funds as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. A "b" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation presents all of the core information. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to extra-budgetary funds is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on extra-budgetary funds.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some extra-budgetary funds.

Source:

BB1 : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

BB4A : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>

Comment:

There is information on the Petroleum Fund (including all the core elements) on pages 19-27 of Budget Book 1.

However, BB 1 contains almost no information on the Funds for Contributory and Non-Contributory Social Security, although pages 376-377 of Budget Book 4A show how much money is being transferred into them.

There is no discussion of the rationale in the budget books, although numeric information (receipts, expenditures, balance) is in the six tables in Annex II of the proposed budget law (pages 44-46 of <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE18/PropLeiOGE2018-2Aug2018pt.pdf>). Since this is not published by the government it cannot be assessed for this question, however.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

34. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 34 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documents present the finances of the central government on a consolidated basis, showing both its budgetary and extra-budgetary activities. Virtually all of the questions in the OBS questionnaire focus on budgetary central government – the activities of the ministries, departments, or agencies of central government. In addition, Question 33 asks about extra-budgetary funds, such as social security funds that are not included in the budget.

Coverage is an important aspect of fiscal reporting. Budget documents should cover the full scope of government's financial activity. In many countries, extra-budgetary activities are substantial, and can represent a sizable share of the central government's activities. To get a full picture of the central government's finances, therefore, it is necessary to examine both activities that are included in the budget and those that are extra-budgetary. This question asks whether such a consolidated presentation of central government finances is provided.

The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local government, and public corporations. (See Box 2.1 under Principle 1.1.1 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018): <https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>). For the purpose of answering this question, please consider only the central government level.

In order to answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year.

Answer:

b. No, central government finances are not presented on a consolidated basis.

Source:

Comment:

There is no consolidated report of government finances in the EBP.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

35. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of intergovernmental transfers for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 35 asks about intergovernmental transfers. In many cases, the central government supports the provision of a good or service by a lower level of government through an intergovernmental transfer of funds. This is necessary because, independent from the level of administrative decentralization that exists in a given country, the capacity for revenue collection of a local government is unlikely to be sufficient to pay for all its expenses. However, because the activity is not being undertaken by an administrative unit of the central government, it is unlikely to receive the same level of review in the budget. Thus it is important to include in the budget proposal a statement that explicitly indicates the amount and purposes of these transfers.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all intergovernmental transfers and a narrative discussing these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all intergovernmental transfers are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, intergovernmental transfers (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Answer:

e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Source:

BB2 : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

BB3B : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Livru-3B_2019.pdf

BB4A : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A.pdf>

BB4B : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B.pdf>

Comment:

There is some in Book 2 about the transfers under each ministry (such as MPM) and those to local government. Book 3B also has information on money transferred through PDID and PDIM, while Book 4B (pages 625-735) has information about money transferred to local governments for their

programs. Books 4A and 4B, and Table III (page 39) of the budget law have information about subsidies for autonomous agencies.

However, since Timor-Leste is a unitary state, without fully decentralized local government, this score is E. See p. 32:
<https://pefa.org/sites/default/files/assessments/comments/TL-May14-PFMPR-Public.pdf>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

36. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present alternative displays of expenditures (such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region) to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 36 asks about "alternative displays" of expenditures that highlight the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens. As discussed above, expenditures are typically presented by at least one of three classifications – administrative, functional, and economic classifications (see Questions 1-5) – and by individual program (Question 6). In addition, governments can provide alternative displays to emphasize different aspects of expenditure policies and to show who benefits from these expenditures.

For the purpose of answering this question, the alternative presentation must differ from the presentations (such as administrative, functional, or economic classifications or presentation by program) used to answer other questions. The alternative display can cover all expenditures or only a portion of expenditures. For instance, it can show how all expenditures are distributed according to geographic region or it can show how selected expenditures (such as the health budget or the agriculture budget) are distributed to different regions. But such a geographic display must be something different than the presentation of intergovernmental transfers used to answer question 35. One exception is when a country includes a special presentation of all policies intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (and is used to answer Question 52) then that can be considered an alternative display for purposes of answering this question as well. Finally, brief fact sheets showing how proposals in the budget benefit particular groups would be insufficient; only more detailed presentations would be considered.

The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?"

(<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of the importance of alternative displays of budget information and provides a number of examples. For instance,

- *Bangladesh in its 2017-18 Budget included a detailed supplementary Gender Budgeting Report, which presents the spending dedicated to advancing women across various departments. (<https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/3bb14732-b5b1-44df-9921-efedf1496295>).*
- *The UK's 2017 budget included a supplementary analysis that provided a distributional analysis of the budget by households in different income groups (see https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/661465/distributional_analysis_autumn_budget_2017.pdf)*
- *South Africa's 2017 Budget Review goes beyond the standard presentation of intergovernmental transfers, discussing the redistribution that results from national revenue flowing to the provinces and municipalities and presenting the allocations on a per capita basis (see chapter 6, <http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2017/review/FullBR.pdf>).*

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least three different presentations that illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least two different alternative displays of expenditures. A "c" applies if only one type of alternative display of expenditure is presented. Answer "d" applies if no alternative display of expenditure is presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, one alternative display of expenditures is presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

Source:

Budget Book 3B, page 10 see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Livru-3B_2019.pdf

Comment:

Table shows distribution of municipal expenditures - however this table only includes less than 3% of total budget.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The budget distribution based on district is presented in Book 3, but also Government show Public Transfer for elderly pension and some

of vulnerable fund under Ministry of Inclusion Social and Solidarity Budget

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

Although some programs (such as pensions for elderly people or primary education) are obviously intended to benefit particular constituencies, no data is provided to show the impacts of such policies or how many people will benefit. The budget documents only show how much money will be spent.

IBP Comment

During an IBP review, the table that shows geographic distribution of spending at the district and municipal level is considered an alternative display of expenditures for this question, given that the funding is central government funding and not transfers to sub-national units. There is also breakouts that show how much each district and municipality receives by departments (health, education), etc. Based on this geographical presentation, the score for this question is revised from D to C.

36b. Based on the response to Question 36, select the box(es) below to identify which types of alternative displays are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Other displays of expenditure (please specify)

Source:

Budget Book 3B, page 10 see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Livru-3B_2019.pdf

This shows geographic distribution of central-level spending on municipalities.

Budget Book 2 : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

Comment:

There's a discussion about gender on pages 5-6 of Budget Book 2, but it's mostly about mechanisms and says nothing about how the budget will impact on women

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No Gender disaggregate data is presented on the target beneficiary in the Budget, even though "Bolso da mae", Merenda escolar, elderly and veteran pension are presenting how these policy will affected to age and gender

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

The selection of "other" refers to the geographic presentation considered in Q36.

37. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of transfers to public corporations for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 37 asks about transfers to public corporations. It is often the case that governments have a stake in enterprises that manage resources that are particularly relevant for the public good (such as electricity, water, and oil). While these public corporations can operate independently, in some cases the government will provide direct support by making transfers to these corporations, including to subsidize capital investment and operating expenses.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all transfers to public corporations and a narrative discussing the purposes of these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all transfers to public corporations are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, transfers to public corporations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all transfers to public corporations are presented.

Source:

Budget Book 2 : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

Comment:

There's a little information about the transfer to TimorGAP on page 415 of BB2, but it's not accurate. we answer C might be more appropriate because the information is difficult to find and is scattered over many places.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

38. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity and the intended beneficiaries.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 38 focuses on quasi-fiscal activities, asking whether "core" information related to such activities is presented. These core components include:

- *A statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity (i.e., what is the reason for engaging in this activity?);*
- *The identification of intended beneficiaries of the quasi-fiscal activity.*

The term "quasi-fiscal activities" refers to a broad range of activities that are fiscal in character and could be carried out through the regular budget process but are not. For example, a quasi-fiscal activity could take place if, instead of providing a direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution provides an indirect subsidy by offering loans at below-market rates for that activity. Similarly, it is a quasi-fiscal activity when an enterprise provides goods or services at prices below commercial rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government's policy goals.

The above examples are policy choices that may be approved by the government and legislature. However, quasi-fiscal activities can also involve activities that violate or circumvent a country's budget process laws or are not subject to the regular legislative approval process for expenditures. For example, the executive may issue an informal order to a government entity, such as a public commercial enterprise, to provide the executive with goods and services that normally would have to be purchased with funding authorized by the legislature. All quasi-fiscal activities should be disclosed to the public and subject to public scrutiny.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about quasi-fiscal activities, including for example: the anticipated duration of the quasi-fiscal activity; a quantification of the activity and the assumptions that support these estimates; and a discussion of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the activity, including the impact on the entity carrying out the activity. Principle 3.3.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) provides examples of quasi-fiscal activities that can be consulted as needed. And more details on quasi-fiscal activities can be found in the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to all quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to quasi-fiscal activities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on quasi-fiscal activities.

If quasi-fiscal activities do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, information related to quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1.; https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

There is no information on quasi-fiscal activities.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

39. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets, and an estimate of their value.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 39 focuses on financial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. These core components include:

- *A listing of the financial assets; and*
- *An estimate of their value.*

Governments own financial assets such as cash, bonds, or equities. Unlike private sector businesses, however, few governments maintain balance sheets that show the value of their assets and liabilities.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about financial assets, including for example: a discussion of their purpose; historical information on defaults; differences between reported values and market values; and a summary of financial assets as part of the government's balance sheet.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to all financial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to financial assets is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on financial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to financial assets is not presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, see :https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Information on the assets held by the Petroleum Fund is in the BCTL's quarterly and annual reports, but these are not part of the budget documentation. No information at all is presented about other financial assets held by the treasury, autonomous agencies or Special Funds.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

40. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on nonfinancial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets by category.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 40 focuses on nonfinancial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. The core information is a listing of nonfinancial assets, grouped by the type (or category) of asset.

Nonfinancial assets are things of value that the government owns or controls (excluding financial assets) such as land, buildings, and machinery. The valuation of public nonfinancial assets can be problematic, particularly in cases where the asset is not typically available on the open market (such as a government

monument). In these cases, it is considered acceptable to provide summary information in budget documents from a country's register of assets. But, in some cases, governments are able to value their nonfinancial assets; some present a summary of nonfinancial assets as part of their balance sheets. For an example of how nonfinancial assets are presented in one of the many supporting documents to the New Zealand Executive's Budget Proposal, see the Forecast Financial Statement 2011, Notes to the Financial Statements (Continued), Note 14, accessible here: <https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2011-05/befu11-pt6of8.pdf>.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year a listing by category of all nonfinancial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to nonfinancial assets is presented, but some nonfinancial assets are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on nonfinancial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

Source:

Information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Budget and Financial management Law article 22.3 (n) stated that The planned expenditure appropriations and revenue estimates for the financial year include: Estimation of revenues uncollected as a result of non-financial benefits concerning goods and services;

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

41. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure arrears for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 41 asks about estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due. (For more information see sections 3.49-3.50 of the IMF's GFS Manual 2001, <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf> (page 29)). Though equivalent to borrowing, this liability is often not recorded in the budget, making it difficult to assess fully a government's financial position. Moreover, the obligation to repay this debt affects the government's ability to pay for other activities.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all expenditure arrears and a narrative discussing the arrears. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all expenditure arrears are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, expenditure arrears (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of expenditure arrears are presented.

If expenditure arrears do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question. Public expenditure management laws and regulations often will allow for reasonable delays, perhaps 30 or 60 days, in the routine payment of invoices due. Expenditure arrears impacting a small percentage of expenditure that are due to contractual disputes should not be considered a significant problem for the purpose of answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of expenditure arrears are not presented.

Source:

The 2013 PEFA assessment confirms that arrears are supposed to be low, but also that there is a lack of information on their real status. No information on arrears is included in the EBP and supporting documents.
<https://pefa.org/sites/default/files/assessments/comments/TL-May14-PFMPR-Public.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

42. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities, such as government loan guarantees or insurance programs, for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability; the new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments (the gross exposure) at the end of the budget year.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 42 focuses on contingent liabilities, asking whether "core" information related to these liabilities is presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability;
- the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and
- the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments at the end of the budget year. This reflects the gross exposure of the government in the case that all guarantees or commitments come due (even though that may be unlikely to occur).

Contingent liabilities are recognized under a cash accounting method only when the contingent event occurs and the payment is made. An example of such liabilities is the case of loans guaranteed by the central government, which can include loans to state-owned banks and other state-owned commercial enterprises, subnational governments, or private enterprises. Under such guarantees, government will only make a payment if the borrower defaults. Thus a key issue for making quantitative estimates of these liabilities is assessing the likelihood of the contingency occurring.

In the budget, according to the OECD, "[w]here feasible, the total amount of contingent liabilities should be disclosed and classified by major category reflecting their nature; historical information on defaults for each category should be disclosed where available. In cases where contingent liabilities cannot be quantified, they should be listed and described."

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about contingent liabilities, including for example: historical default rates for each program, and likely default rates in the future; the maximum guarantee that is authorized by law; any special financing associated with the guarantee (e.g., whether fees are charged, whether a reserve fund exists for the purpose of paying off guarantees, etc.); the duration of each guarantee; and an estimate of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the guarantees.

For more details on contingent liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and page 59 (Box 11) and Principle 3.2.3 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to contingent liabilities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to contingent liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on contingent liabilities.

Answer:

d. No, information related to contingent liabilities is not presented.

Source:

No information is provided on contingent liabilities.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The Budget Law Article 22.4(f) is required that The information on assets and liabilities for a financial year includes: Estimated amount of Government contingency liabilities that may be transferred into actual liabilities;

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

43. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present projections that assess the government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term?

(The core information must cover a period of at least 10 years and include the macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used and a discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 43 focuses on government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer-term, asking whether "core" information related to these issues is presented. These core components must include:

- Projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.
- The macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used in making the projections.
- A discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections. Good public financial management calls for budgets to include fiscal sustainability analyses.

The IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) recommends that governments regularly publish the projected evolution of the public finances over the longer term (see Principle 3.1.3.). Future liabilities are a particularly important element when assessing the sustainability of public finances over the long term. Future liabilities are the result of government commitments that, unlike contingent liabilities, are virtually certain to occur at some future point and result in an expenditure. A typical example consists of government obligations to pay pension benefits or cover health care costs of future retirees. Under a cash accounting system, only current payments associated with such obligations are recognized in the budget. To capture the future impact on the budget of these liabilities, a separate statement is required.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about the sustainability of their finances, including for example: projections that cover 20 or 30 years; multiple scenarios with different sets of assumptions; assumptions about other factors (such as the depletion of natural resources) that go beyond just the core macroeconomic and demographic data; and a detailed presentation of particular programs that have long time horizons, such as civil service pensions.

For more details on future liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of government finances over the longer term as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to future liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government's finances

Answer:

d. No, information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of finances over the longer term is not presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page 41 : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

Although there are a few hints about the non-sustainability of the Petroleum Fund on pages 19 and 37 of budget book 1 and on the legally required table of the impact of this year's excess withdrawal (page 11 of the supplementary materials at <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/IntroduoJE2019OCRpt.pdf>, but these were not published), these are far short of the required "projections", as are the estimates of loan repayment obligations in table 2.5.3.2 on page 41 of BB 1. Other estimates of future spending are incomplete or inaccurate, including those in the Infrastructure Fund (BB 3B) and the Consolidated Fund (BB 4) as discussed before in Q7.

None of these discussions extent to a 10-year projection about government finances and liabilities, however.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

44. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 44 asks about estimates of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind assistance. Such assistance is considered non-tax revenue, and the sources of this assistance should be explicitly identified. In terms of in-kind assistance, the concern is primarily with the provision of goods (particularly those for

which there is a market that would allow goods received as in-kind aid to be sold, thereby converting them into cash) rather than with in-kind aid like advisors from a donor country providing technical assistance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all donor assistance and a narrative discussing the assistance. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all donor assistance are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, donor assistance (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if the sources of donor assistance are not presented, but the total amount of donor assistance is presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of donor assistance are presented. Select answer "e" if your country does not receive donor assistance.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of all sources of donor assistance are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page 5 and page 36 - 37 : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Details of each development partner project and purpose are found in Budget Book 5: <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB5-Eng.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Budget and Financial Management Law Article 33 Funds from Development Partners and International Organizations 1 – The Government member responsible for the area of finance may draft a special fund budget that is not part of the Consolidated Fund of Timor-Leste, containing the following details: a) Money sums granted by international organizations or foreign governments for the benefit of Timor-Leste; b) Estimates of any assistance in kind granted by international organizations or foreign governments for the benefit of Timor-Leste.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

45. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on tax expenditures for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each tax expenditure, the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 45 focuses on tax expenditures, asking whether "core" information related to these tax preferences is presented. These core components must include for both new and existing tax expenditures:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale;
- a listing of the intended beneficiaries; and
- an estimate of the revenue foregone.

Tax expenditures arise as a result of exceptions or other preferences in the tax code provided for specified entities, individuals, or activities. Tax expenditures often have the same impact on public policy and budgets as providing direct subsidies, benefits, or goods and services. For example, encouraging a company to engage in more research through a special tax break can have the same effect as subsidizing it directly through the expenditure side of the budget, as it still constitutes a cost in terms of foregone revenues. However, expenditure items that require annual authorization are likely to receive more scrutiny than tax breaks that are a permanent feature of the tax code.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about tax expenditures, including for example: the intended beneficiaries by sector and income class (distributional impact); a statement of the estimating assumptions, including the definition of the benchmark against which the foregone revenue is measured; and a discussion of tax expenditures as part of a general discussion of expenditures for those program areas that receive both types of government support (in order to better inform policy choices). For more details on tax expenditures, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 1.1.4 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, all of the core information related to tax expenditures as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to tax expenditures is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on tax expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, information related to tax expenditures is not presented.

Source:

Comment:

There are tax holidays and other special incentives intended to attract foreign investors, but these are not mentioned in the budget materials.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

46. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of earmarked revenues for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 46 asks about estimates of earmarked revenues, which are revenues that may only be used for a specific purpose (for example, revenues from a tax on fuel that can only be used for building roads). This information is important in determining which revenues are available to fund the government's general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all earmarked revenues and a narrative discussing the earmarks. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all earmarked revenues are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, earmarked revenues (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented. An "e" response applies if revenue is not earmarked or the practice is disallowed by law or regulation.

Answer:

e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Source:

<http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/?q=node/851>

Comment:

Article 6 of the TL Budget and Financial Management Law (13/2009) says: "The product of any head of revenue cannot be allocated for covering pre-identified expenses"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

47. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how the proposed budget is linked to some but not all of the government's policy goals for the budget year is presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page 4 - 11, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

See section 2.6: Priorities and Results from p. 45-48, and it has only a very general discussion about the link between policies and allocations, which only justifies a C score.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Government Policy Goals are stated in the Book 2 and Strategic Development Plan

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

48. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

Answer:

d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government's stated policy goals for a multi-year period is not presented.

Source:

Budget Book 2, page 4 to 7 : see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

Section 2.6: Priorities and Results p.. 45-38 which discusses policy goals, but it only covers the budget year.

Information in Budget Books 4a and 4b are not meaningful projections related to policy and therefore do not count for this question.

Comment:

The information in book 2 is only about 2019, and it didn't present anything in the budget materials about future policies.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

49. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on inputs to be acquired for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 49 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on inputs for the budget year. (Nonfinancial data on outputs and outcomes are addressed in Question 50.)

The budget should disclose not only the amount of money that is being allocated on a program but also any information needed to analyze that expenditure. Nonfinancial data and performance targets associated with budget proposals are used to assess the success of a given policy. For example, even when allocated funds are spent according to plan, there remains the question of whether the policy delivered the results that it aimed to achieve.

Nonfinancial data can include information on:

Inputs - These are the resources assigned to achieve results. For example, in regards to education, nonfinancial data on inputs could include the number of books to be provided to each school or the materials to be used to build or refurbish a school.

Outputs - These are products and services delivered as a result of inputs. For example, the number of pupils taught every year; the number of children that received vaccines; or the number of beneficiaries of a social security program.

Outcomes - These are the intended impact or policy goals achieved. For example, an increase in literacy rates among children under 10, or a reduction in rates of maternal mortality.

In addition, governments that set performance targets must use nonfinancial data for outputs and outcomes to determine if these targets have been met.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program is organized by functions. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on inputs for all administrative units or all functions, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on inputs are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on inputs is presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, nonfinancial data on inputs are presented for some programs and/or some administrative units (or functions).

Source:

Budget Book 2, see start on page 9, <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

Each section has a 'Perfil dos Funcionários' that includes the number of staff in each unit, which counts as an input, but it is only one type of input therefore the score is C.

Comment:

Budget book 3A on projects funded through the Infrastructure Fund, although it doesn't have annual targets or indicators.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

50. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on results (in terms of outputs or outcomes) for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 50 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and

outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 49).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on results for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on results for each individual program is organized by functional classification. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on results for all administrative units or all functional classifications, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on results are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on results is presented.

Answer:

b. Yes, nonfinancial data on results are presented for all administrative units (or functions) but not for all (or any) programs.

Source:

Budget Book 2, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

Comment:

Budget Book 2 on Annual Action Plans includes expected results for each activity/program by ministry, though in most cases these do not seem to cover the whole budget or all programs.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

51. Are performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results in the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation?

GUIDELINES:

Question 51 asks about performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. The question applies to those nonfinancial results shown in the budget, and that were identified for purposes of Question 50.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to all nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to a majority (but not all) of the nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget. A "c" response applies if performance targets are assigned only to less than half of the nonfinancial data on results. Answer "d" applies if no performance targets are assigned to nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget, or the budget does not present nonfinancial results.

Answer:

b. Yes, performance targets are assigned to most nonfinancial data on results.

Source:

Budget Book 2, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB2-Final.pdf>

Comment:

Annual Action Plans include results targets for most activities.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

As part of a consistency check, this response is revised from A to B, as 'most' indicators have targets assigned, but not all.

52. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations in at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 52 asks whether the budget highlight policies, both new and existing, that benefit the poorest segments of society. This question is intended to assess only those programs that directly address the immediate needs of the poor, such as through cash assistance programs or the provision of housing, rather than indirectly, such as through a stronger national defense. This information is of particular interest to those seeking to bolster government's commitment to anti-poverty efforts. For purposes of answering this question, a departmental budget (such for the Department of Social Welfare) would not be considered acceptable. In general, this question is asking whether the EBP includes a special presentation that pulls together estimates of all the relevant policies in one place. However, if the country uses "program budgeting," where programs are presented as expenditure categories with specific and identified objectives, and it identifies anti-poverty programs within each administrative unit, then that is also acceptable for this question.

The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?" (<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of countries that have provided information on how its policies affect the poor.

For instance, Pakistan provides a detailed breakdown of pro-poor expenditure as part of its 2017-18 budget proposal. In one document, the government sets out policy priorities, expected outputs, and estimates of past and future spending for several programs aimed at poverty alleviation. Another supporting document provides a comprehensive overview of ongoing policies, including a chapter on social safety nets, covering both financial and performance information of poverty alleviation schemes over a period of eight years. (http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf_2018_21.pdf and http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must for at least the budget year both present estimates covering all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations and include a narrative discussion that specifically addresses these policies. (For countries using program budgeting that breaks out individual anti-poverty programs, there should be a separate narrative associated with each such program.) Answer "b" if a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented. Answer "c" if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" if no estimates of policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations are presented.

Source:

BB1 : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng.pdf

Comment:

There is a discussion of reducing poverty on pages 48-51 of BB1, however there is almost no information on expenditures in the budget to reduce poverty, therefore the score is C.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

53. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal (that is, a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 53 asks about the budget timetable. An internal timetable is particularly important for the executive's management of the budget preparation process, in order to ensure that the executive accounts for the views of the different departments and agencies in the proposed budget. The timetable would, for instance, set deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. So that civil society is aware of the various steps in the budget formulation process, and when opportunities may exist to engage the executive, it is essential that this timetable be made available to the public.

To answer "a," the executive must prepare a detailed budget timetable and release it to the public. A "b" answer applies if the timetable is made public, but some details are not included. A "c" response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government. Answer "d" applies if no timetable is made available to the public. As long as a timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal is released, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Executive's Budget Proposal is not made publicly available.

Answer:

d. No, a timetable is not issued to the public.

Source:

Comment:

Although occasionally the executive mentions partial timelines in press releases as the process moves along, such as <http://timor-leste.gov.tl/?lang=en&p=20584> or <https://www.mof.gov.tl/enkontru-pre-liminariu-membru-komite-revizaun-orsamentu-politika/?lang=tl>.

These press releases are about individual steps in the budget process, however, and there is no overall timeline for the formulation process that is released.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

54. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 54 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- *nominal GDP level;*
- *inflation rate;*
- *real GDP growth; and*
- *interest rates.*

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short- and long-term interest rates; the rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to the macroeconomic forecast is not presented.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No PBS was published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

55. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities and an estimate of total expenditures.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 55 focuses on the government's expenditure policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- *a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities; and*
- *an estimate of total expenditures.*

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed programmatic proposals (such detailed information is typically only presented in the budget itself), it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least total expenditures associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can include some detail, for instance, estimates provided by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is not presented.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

56. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's revenue policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of revenue policies and priorities and an estimate of total revenues.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 56 focuses on the government's revenue policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- *a discussion of revenue policies and priorities; and*
- *an estimate of total revenue.*

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed revenue proposals, it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least the total revenue associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can also include more detail, for instance, with estimates provided by revenue category – tax and non-tax – or some of the major individual sources of revenue, such as the Value Added Tax or the income tax.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is not presented.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

57. Does Pre-Budget Statement present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 57 asks whether the Pre-Budget Statement includes three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year;*
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year; and*
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year.*

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt for at least the upcoming budget year. For a "b" answer, the Pre-Budget Statement must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the PBS must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the PBS.

Answer:

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

58. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 58 asks about multi-year expenditure estimates in the Pre-Budget Statement.

To answer "a," expenditure estimates for at least two years beyond the upcoming budget year must be presented. The estimates must be for at least total expenditures, but could include more detail than just the aggregate total.

Answer:

b. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: no Pre-Budget Statement was published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

59. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 59 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of the three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

BB 1 : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng1.pdf,

BB 4a: <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A1.pdf>

BB 4b: <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B1.pdf>

Admin: Budget Books 4A and 4B, also Table II of the budget law (pages 100-121 of BB 1).

Economic: Table 2.1.3.1, pages 21 of BB 1.

Functional: Figures 2.5.8.1 and 2.5.8.2, pages 54-55 of BB 1.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Budget and Financial management Law Article 23 Content of the State Budget and mandatory Expenses 1 – The Budget shall indicate the entity responsible for the budget appropriation, as well as the expenditure category

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

59b. Based on the response to Question 59, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Enacted Budget:

Answer:

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

B 1 : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng1.pdf,

BB 4a: <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A1.pdf>

BB 4b: <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B1.pdf>

Admin: Budget Books 4A and 4B, also Table II of the budget law (pages 100-121 of BB 1).

Economic: Table 2.1.3.1, pages 21 of BB 1.

Functional: Figures 2.5.8.1 and 2.5.8.2, pages 54-55 of BB 1. (based on percentages of total expenditures)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

60. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 60 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all programs, which account for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures.

Source:

Budget Book 4A, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4A1.pdf> and Budget Book 4B, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB4B1.pdf>

Comment:

Budget Books 4A and 4B, also Table II of the budget law (pages 100-121 of BB 1), as well as Annex I (p.21-32) of BB 3A and books 3C (ZEESM) and 6

(HCDF). However, this information is not provided for TimorGAP, ANPM and other agencies funded by public transfers from the Ministry of Petroleum and Minerals, which comprise about 1% of the budget.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

61. Does the Enacted Budget present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 61 asks whether revenue estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents revenue estimates by category.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng1.pdf

Table 2.6.2.1.1 (page 57), Table 2.6.2.2.1 (page 59) Table 2.6.3.1.1 (page 60)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

62. Does the Enacted Budget present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES:

Question 62 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Enacted Budget. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue that account for less than two-thirds of revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng1.pdf

Table 2.6.2.1.1 (page 57), Table 2.6.2.2.1 (page 59) Table 2.6.3.1.1 (page 60)

Comment:

'Other' categories of revenue account for less than 1% of total revenues.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

63. Does the Enacted Budget present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 63 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year;*
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Enacted Budget must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Enacted Budget must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

Budget Book 1, page 69-77, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/BB1_Eng1.pdf

Comment:

The debt discussion in the final 2018 BB1 (pages 69-77) is more extensive than in the EBP for 2019. The total amount of debt repayment during the budget years is on the second line of page 105 of BB 1; it is not separated into interest and principal, and is not discussed in the narrative section.

The total loans outstanding for 2018 (BY) is presented on p. 74.
Total financing is shown as Borrowing /Loans (disbursements) on p. 68

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree that the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year is not presented

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

IBP Comment

As confirmed with the researcher - the enacted budget does present 'debt service payments' for 2018 (see page 105 of BB 1). As there was no principal repayment scheduled until 2019, however, for 2018 the value presented is only interest payments. The enacted budget also presents forward-looking projections for debt service payments - however in the future these values will not be accepted because they don't separate interest payments from debt principal payments. The score for this indicator (in regards to 2018) is revised to A.

64. What information is provided in the Citizens Budget?

(The core information must include expenditure and revenue totals, the main policy initiatives in the budget, the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based, and contact information for follow-up by citizens.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 64 focuses on the content of the Citizens Budget, asking whether "core" information is presented. These core components include:

- *expenditure and revenue totals;*
- *the main policy initiatives in the budget;*
- *the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based; and*
- *contact information for follow-up by citizens.*

To answer "a," the Citizens Budget or supporting documentation must present all of the above core information as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Citizens Budget must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if the Citizens Budget includes some of the core components above, but other core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if a Citizens Budget is not published.

Answer:

d. The Citizens Budget is not published.

Source:

Citizen Budget Book of 2019, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Matadalan-Orsamentu_english.pdf

Comment:

It was published late, due to the late enactment of the 2018 budget. (There was a Citizens Budget for OJE 2017 published in February 2017, but at the workshop we decided that this was too old to be relevant to this OBS.)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The 2018 Budget was promulgated in the end of September 2018 and the 2018 Citizen Budget was published in February 2019. It was very clearly that CB was published after the FY is terminated but it was during 5 months after the Budget was promulgated. It is very important for the researcher to bring the context into account. For my point of view, it seem unfair to say the CB is not published even it published 5 months after the EB is promulgated.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

65. How is the Citizens Budget disseminated to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 65 asks how the Citizens Budget is disseminated to the public. Citizens Budgets should be made available to a variety of audiences. Therefore paper versions and an Internet posting of a document might not be sufficient.

To answer "a," the executive must use three or more different types of creative media tools to reach the largest possible share of the population, including those who otherwise would not normally have access to budget documents or information. Dissemination would also be pursued at the very local level, so that the coverage is targeted both by geographic area and population group (e.g., women, elderly, low income, urban, rural, etc.). Option "b" applies if significant dissemination efforts are made through a combination of two means of communications, for instance, both posting the Citizens Budget on the executive's official website and distributing printed copies of it. Option "c" applies if the Citizens Budget is disseminated through only posting on the executive's official website. Option "d" applies when the executive does not publish a Citizens Budget.

Answer:

d. A Citizens Budget is not published.

Source:

Comment:

The information about the 2018 CB that was published after the deadline.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: My comment is same as in previous question

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

66. Has the executive established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information prior to publishing the Citizens Budget?

GUIDELINES:

Question 66 asks whether the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information before publishing a Citizens Budget. What the public wants to know about the budget might differ from the information the executive includes in technical documents that comprise the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget; similarly, different perspectives might exist on how the budget should be presented, and this may vary depending on the context. For this reason the executive should consult with the public on the content and presentation of the Citizens Budget.

To answer "a," the executive must have established mechanisms to consult with the public, and these mechanisms for consultation are both accessible and widely used by the public. Such mechanisms can include focus groups, social networks, surveys, hotlines, and meetings/events in universities or other locations where people gather to discuss public issues. In countries where Citizens Budgets are consistently produced and released, it may be sufficient for the government to provide the public with contact information and feedback opportunities, and subsequently use the feedback to improve its management of public resources.

Option "b" applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation that are accessible to the public, but that the public nonetheless does not use frequently. That is, the public does not typically engage with the executive on the content of the Citizens Budget, even though the executive has created opportunities for such consultation. Option "c" applies if the executive has established mechanism for consultation with the public, but they are poorly designed and thus not accessible to the public. Option "d" applies if the executive has not created any mechanisms to seek feedback from the public on the content of the Citizens Budget.

Answer:

d. No, the executive has not established any mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information in the Citizen's Budget.

Source:

Citizen Budget Book 2019 in English : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Matadalan-Orsamentu_english.pdf

Comment:

The CBs for OJE 2018 and 2019 have a different format (and much less information – three substantive pages instead of 13) than the one for 2017.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

67. Are "citizens" versions of budget documents published throughout the budget process?

GUIDELINES:

Question 67 asks if "citizens" versions of budget documents are published throughout the budget process. While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle.

To answer "a," a citizens version of at least one budget document is published for each of the four stages of the budget process (budget formulation, enactment, execution, and audit) – for a total of at least four citizens budget documents throughout the process. Option "b" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process. Option "c" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least one of the four stages of the budget process. Select option "d" if no "citizens" version of budget documents is published.

Answer:

d. No citizens version of budget documents is published.

Source:

Comment:

it was published late, due to the late enactment of the 2018 budget. (There was a Citizens Budget for OJE 2017 published in February 2017, but at the workshop we decided that this was too old to be relevant to this OBS.)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: My comment is same as in question no 64

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

68. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 68 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," actual expenditures must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if actual expenditures are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by any of the three classifications in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

a. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

First quarterly report, see : https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf

Comment:

There are three in-year reports, for the first three quarters of the year. They present expenditures by classification as follows (I'm using the First Quarter one)

- Administrative: pages 22-1120.
- Economic: Table 1 (page 5), and Figure 5 (page 12), and Declaration 2, page 20.
- Functional: Declaration 3, page 21.

TL was under the duodecimal system for the first three quarters of 2018, so that the IYRs didn't have an annual appropriation to compare with, but only the total of the duodecimal (based on OJE 2017) to that date.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

68b. Based on the response to Question 68, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the In-Year Reports:

Answer:

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

the first Quarterly was on Jan-March 2018, see :

(https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf)

Second Quarterly stage was on Jan-Jun, see :

(<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>)

the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep, see :

(<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

69. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 69 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by program in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

a. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs accounting for all expenditures.

Source:

the first Quarterly was on Jan-March 2018, see :

(https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Relatorio_de_Execucao_Orcamental_Para_o_Primeiro_Trimestre2018.pdf)

Second Quarterly stage was on Jan-Jun, see :

(<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-o-Segundo-Trimestre-2018.pdf>)

the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep, see :

(<https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>)

Comment:

Each Ministry has separate pages for each department or unit which counts as a program.

Some programs do not have actual expenditures presented – those funded by public transfers (the IF and HCDF are included in the IYRs). In 2018, the total for these (ZEESM, MPM agencies) was \$33 million, about 2.6% of the state budget.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

70. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date expenditures with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 70 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual expenditures to-date with either the enacted levels or actual expenditures for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date expenditures in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast expenditures (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports

Answer:

a. Yes, comparisons are made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep, page 2-3 which shows the comparison between the reporting quarter and the same period for the previous fiscal year : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

71. Do In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 71 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual revenues by "category" – that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category.

Source:

the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep, page 16-17, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

The IYRs do not cover revenues received by the Petroleum Fund (from oil/gas sales or investment returns), which are the majority of revenues in most years.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

72. Do In-Year Reports present the individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected?

GUIDELINES:

Question 72 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual collections of individual sources of revenue (such as income taxes, VAT, etc.). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue collected, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue that account for less than two-thirds of all revenue collected. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of actual revenue are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue accounting for all revenue.

Source:

the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep, page 16-17, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

The IYRs do not cover revenues received by the Petroleum Fund (from oil/gas sales or investment returns), which are the majority of revenues in most years.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

73. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date revenues with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 73 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual revenues to-date with either the enacted levels or actual revenues for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date revenues in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast revenues (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Answer:

a. Yes, comparisons are made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

See table on p. 16-17, which shows a comparison to the same period the previous fiscal year (2017).

the IYRs do not cover revenues received by the Petroleum Fund (from oil/gas sales or investment returns), which are the majority of revenues in most years.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

74. Do In-Year Reports present three estimates related to actual government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing; the total debt outstanding; and interest payments?

GUIDELINES:

Question 74 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- *the amount of net new borrowing so far during the year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at that point in the year; and*
- *the interest payments to-date on the outstanding debt.*

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, In-Year Reports must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, IYRs must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

the third Quarterly was on Jan-Sep, see : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

Comment:

Information on new borrowing (disbursement of money from contracted loans, but with no information new loan agreements or on the total debt burden) is on line 16(c) and 6.1 of table 3 on page 5 of the 3q IYR, and is discussed on page 18. It's also in the table on the IF on pages 1089-1092. Information on interest payments (provision for the payment of public debt) is on page 477.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

75. Do In-Year Reports present information related to the composition of the total actual debt outstanding?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 75 focuses on the composition of government debt, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 74, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens and banks and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt to-date as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

d. No, information related to composition of total actual debt outstanding is not presented.

Source:

There is no total debt outstanding reported in the IYR (Q3 2018) <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Relatorio-de-Execucao-Orcamental-para-Terceiro-Trimestre-2018-V19-Nov-2018.pdf>

There is some information on loans in the Infrastructure Fund on pages 1089 - 1090, but this does not show total debt or any of the elements of debt composition.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

76. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 76 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated macroeconomic forecast and explain all of the differences between the initial forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and the updated forecast. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the macroeconomic forecast must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated forecasts are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the macroeconomic forecast has not been updated.

Answer:

d. No, the estimates for macroeconomic forecast have not been updated.

Source:

Comment:

"Government has never published Mid-Year Reviews"

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

77. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 77 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of expenditure for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated expenditure estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. The expenditure estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated expenditure estimates, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Answer:

d. No, expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

"Government has never published Mid-Year Reviews"

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

78. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present expenditure estimates for the budget year underway by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 78 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

"Government has never published Mid-Year Reviews"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

78b. Based on the response to Question 78, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Mid-Year Review:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

n/a

Comment:

n/a

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: MYR Report is not published or even produced. TL Budget system is only recognized the Rectification Budget as stated in Article 34 of Budget and Financial Management Law - Rectifying budget 1 – The Government may present alterations to the approved State Budget when the circumstances so justify it. 2 – The structure and content of the budget alteration laws comply with the provisions of chapters I and II, the rules of which apply with the necessary adaptations

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

79. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present expenditure estimates for the budget year underway for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 79 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:

n/a

Comment:
"Government has never published the MYRs"

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

80. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 80 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of revenue for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated revenue estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the revenue estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated revenue estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the revenue estimates have not been updated.

Answer:
d. No, revenue estimates have not been updated.

Source:
n/a

Comment:
"Government has never published the MYRs"

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

81. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present revenue estimates for the budget year underway by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 81 asks whether revenue estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:
b. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present revenue estimates by category.

Source:
n/a

Comment:
"Government has never published the MYRs"

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

82. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 82 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Mid-Year Review. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenues, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

No MYR is published

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

83. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 83 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- *The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *The interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, and explain all of the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the

estimates of borrowing and debt must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the estimates of borrowing and debt have not been updated.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of government borrowing and debt have not been updated.

Source:
n/a

Comment:
No MYR is published

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

84. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Question 84 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual expenditures for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all expenditures, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for expenditures are not presented.

Source:
n/a

Comment:
No Year-End Report is published

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

85. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 85 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification

displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. Answer "b" if expenditure estimates are presented by two of these three classifications. Answer "c" if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

The Government never published the Year-End Report

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

85b. Based on the response to Question 85, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

n/a

Comment:

No Year-End Report is published

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: As YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

86. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 86 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable detaillé*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. Answer "c"

if the Year-End Report presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" if expenditures are not presented by program in the Year-End Report.

Answer:
d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:
n/a

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: As YER is not published

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

87. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

GUIDELINES:

Question 87 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all revenues, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:
d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for revenues are not presented.

Source:
n/a

Comment:
No Year-End Report is published

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

88. Does the Year-End Report present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 88 asks whether revenue estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by "category"—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

b. No, the Year-End Report does not present revenue estimates by category.

Source:
n/a

Comment:
the YER (Consolidated Statement on the General State Accounts) for 2017, which is dated July 2018, was not published online until March 2019, after the cutoff date for the OBS and more than a year after the budget year had ended. It's at <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Conta-Geral-Estado-2017-para-Publicacao.pdf> . Similarly, the report for the previous year (2016) was not published until October 2018, nearly two years after the end of that budget year, even though it is dated July 2017.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

89. Does the Year-End Report present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES:

Question 89 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Year-End Report. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:
n/a

Comment:
the YER (Consolidated Statement on the General State Accounts) for 2017, which is dated July 2018, was not published online until March 2019, after the cutoff date for the OBS and more than a year after the budget year had ended. It's at <https://www.mof.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Conta-Geral-Estado-2017-para-Publicacao.pdf> . Similarly, the report for the previous year (2016) was not published until October 2018, nearly two years after the end of that budget year, even though it is dated July 2017.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:
Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

90. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 90 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates and the actual outcome for the fiscal year for borrowing and debt, including its composition, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- *the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*

- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:

n/a

Comment:

No YER is published

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

90b. Based on the response to Question 90, check the box(es) to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, have the differences between the original forecast and the actual outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

Information beyond the core elements:

Source:

Comment:

The Government did not published the Year-End Report

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

91. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 91 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast in the Executive's Budget Proposal. Core components include estimates of the nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates, although the importance of other macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil, can vary from country to country.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:

No YER published

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

91b. Based on the response to Question 91, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast have the differences between the original forecast and the outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

No YER Published

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

92. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 92 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 49 for the nonfinancial data on inputs included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

No YER Published

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

93. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 93 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 92).

Refer to Question 50 for the nonfinancial data on results included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

No YER published

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

94. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 94 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 52 for assistance to the most impoverished populations in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

No YER published

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

95. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 95 asks whether the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 33 for estimates of extra-budgetary funds in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome is not presented.

Source:

No YER published

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

96. Is a financial statement included as part of the Year-End Report or released as a separate report?

GUIDELINES:

Question 96 asks whether a financial statement is included as part of the Year-End Report, or whether it is released as a separate report. The financial statement can include some or all of the following elements: a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting. For purposes of responding to this question, the financial statement in question does not need to be audited. For an example of a financial statement, see the document "Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand 2013" (<https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2013-10/fsgnz-year-jun13.pdf>)

To answer "a," a financial statement must either be included in the Year-End Report or must be released as a separate report. Answer "a" applies if a financial statement is released as a separate report, even if the Year-End Report is not publicly available. Answer "b" applies if no financial statement is released either as part of the Year-End Report or as a separate report.

Answer:

b. No, a financial statement is neither part of the Year-End Report nor released as a separate report.

Source:

No YER published

Comment:

the financial statement is part of the YER, but it was published after the cutoff date.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: YER is not published. the Financial statement mentioned by researcher is published with Audit Report

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

97. What type of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) has the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) conducted and made available to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 97 asks about the types of audits conducted by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). There are three basic types of audits:

- Financial audits are intended to determine if an entity's financial information is accurate (free from errors or fraud) and presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting and regulatory framework. See ISSAI 200 (<http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm>) for more detail.
- Compliance audits look at the extent to which the relevant regulations and procedures have been followed. See ISSAI 400 (<http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm>) for more details.
- Performance audits assess whether activities are adhering to the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. See ISSAI 300 (<http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm>) for more details. ≈

Financial and compliance audits are more common than performance audits, which usually occur only once a performance framework has been agreed upon. In some countries, the SAI's mandate limits the type of audit it can conduct.

To answer "a," the SAI must have conducted all three types of audit – financial, compliance, and performance – and made all of them available to the public. A "b" response applies if the SAI has conducted two of the three audit types, and a "c" applies if it has conducted only one type of audit. Answers "b" and "c" may be selected even if the Audit Report is not publicly available, as long as the SAI has conducted compliance or performance audits and made them available to the public. A "d" response applies if the SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

Answer:

b. The SAI has conducted two of the three types of audits, and made them available to the public.

Source:

The Camara de Contas carries out financial and compliance audits.

Example of financial audit: http://www.tribunais.tl/files/relatorios_auditoria/RELATORIO_1_2018_RAEOA_VoL_FINAL.pdf

Example of compliance audit: http://www.tribunais.tl/files/relatorios_auditoria/2018_RELATORIO_MCIA_FINAL.pdf

Comment:

The court's website <https://www.tribunais.tl/?q=node/22> .

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree that Performance audits is not conducted

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

98. What percentage of expenditures within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:

Question 98 focuses on the coverage of audits by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), asking what percentage of expenditures within the SAI's mandate has been audited.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to budgetary central government (ministries, departments, and agencies) that are within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 99 addresses audits of extra-budgetary funds.) Further, the question does not apply to "secret programs" (for example, security-related expenditures that are confidential). Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. Financial audits and compliance audits, or a hybrid of the two, can be taken into account to answer this question. Performance audits should not be considered for this question.

To answer "a," all expenditures within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if at least two-thirds, but not all, expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response is appropriate when less than two-thirds of expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies when no expenditures have been audited.

Answer:

a. All expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited.

Source:

http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relatório_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%202017.pdf

Comment:

The relevant legislation states that all Government expenditures should be included in the audit. Law 13/2009 Artigo 145.º (Orçamento Geral do Estado) 3. The execution of the Budget is supervised by the Higher Administrative, Fiscal and Audit Court and by the National Parliament.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

99. What percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:

Question 99 focuses on audits of extra-budgetary funds, asking what percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited. These funds, although technically outside the budget, are governmental in nature and thus should be subject to the same audit requirement as other government programs.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 98 addresses audits of budgetary central government.) Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

To answer "a," all extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies if extra-budgetary funds have not been audited.

Answer:

d. No extra-budgetary funds have been audited.

Source:

Comment:

The Petroleum Fund is audited by a commercial auditor.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

100. Does the annual Audit Report(s) prepared by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) include an executive summary?

GUIDELINES:

Question 100 asks whether the annual Audit Report includes an executive summary. Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. The Audit Report can be a fairly technical document, and an executive summary of the report's findings can help make it more accessible to the media and the public.

To answer "a," the Audit Report must include at least one executive summary summarizing the report's content. Answer "b" applies if the Audit Report does not include an executive summary, or the Audit Report is not made publicly available.

Answer:

b. No, the annual Audit Report(s) does not include an executive summary.

Source:

Comment:

The SAI publishes such a report – the one for 2017 is at

http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relat%C3%B3rio_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%202017.pdf – and it does not have an executive summary.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

101. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

GUIDELINES:

Question 101 asks whether the executive reports to the public on the steps it has taken to address audit recommendations made by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). The ultimate purpose of audits is to verify that the budget was executed in a manner consistent with existing law, and to hold the government accountable for this execution and its future improvement. The extent to which audits achieve the latter depends on whether there is adequate and timely follow-up on the recommendations provided in the SAI's audit reports.

To answer "a," the executive must report publicly on the steps it has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address audit finding, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if the executive does not report at all on its steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.

Source:

The one for 2017 is at http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relat%C3%B3rio_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%202017.pdf

Comment:

The executive's response to the SAI report (mentioned on page 76 of the 2017 report) is not published by the court or by the executive.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agreed. Some audit recommendation report was leaked during the 2018 election period, especially related to ZEESM project but it was not published officially even by Court or Government

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

102. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?

GUIDELINES:

Question 102 asks whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or the legislature track actions by the executive to address audit recommendations. After audit results and recommendations are discussed and validated by the legislature, the executive is normally asked to take certain actions to address the audit findings. For accountability purposes, the public needs to be informed about the status of those actions, and steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. In addition to the executive reporting on its actions (see Question 101), the SAI and legislature – as the key oversight institutions – have a responsibility to keep the public informed by tracking the executive's progress in addressing audit recommendations.

To answer "a," the SAI or legislature must report publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the SAI or legislature reports publicly on the steps the executive has taken, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if neither the SAI nor the legislature reports on the executive's steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

a. Yes, the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit recommendations.

Source:

The SAI publishes such a report – the one for 2017 is at http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/Relat%C3%B3rio_e_Parecer_da_Conta_Geral_do_Estado_Ano_de%202017.pdf

Comment:

See pages 76 and the Annex (pages 83-89) of the audit report for 2017, which lists recommendations from previous reports and whether the executive has implemented them.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

103. Is there an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) that conducts budget analyses for the budget formulation and/or approval process?

GUIDELINES:

Question 103 examines whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) exists that contributes budget analyses to the budget formulation and/or approval process. According to the Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions, adopted by the OECD Council in 2014, "independent fiscal institutions are publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance", and with "a forward-looking ex ante diagnostic task". In practice, they come in two main forms:

- Parliamentary budget offices (also known as PBOs) such as the Congressional Budget Office in the United States (<https://www.cbo.gov/>), the Parliamentary Budget Office in South Africa (<https://www.parliament.gov.za/parliamentary-budget-office>), and the Center for Public Finance Studies in Mexico (Centro de Estudios de las Finanzas Públicas, <http://www.cefp.gob.mx/>); or
- Fiscal councils such as the Office for Budget Responsibility in the United Kingdom (<https://obr.uk/>), the Fiscal Policy Council in Sweden (Finanspolitiska Rådet, <http://www.finanspolitiskaradet.com/>), and the High Council for Public Finances in France (Haut Conseil des finances publiques, <https://www.hcfp.fr/>).

For more information, see von Trapp et al. 'Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions and Case Studies', OECD Journal on Budgeting 15:2 (special issue, 2016), <https://doi.org/10.1787/budget-15-5jm2795tv625>.

To answer "a," there must be an IFI, and its independence must be set in law. In addition, it must have sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to carry out its tasks. Answer "b" applies if an IFI exists, but either its independence is not set in law or its staffing and resources are insufficient to carry out its tasks. Answer "c" applies if an IFI exists, but its independence is not set in law and it lacks sufficient staffing and resources. Answer "d" applies if no IFI exists.

If the answer is "a," "b," or "c," please specify in the comments the name and type of IFI that exists (e.g., parliamentary budget office or fiscal council). If the answer is "a" or "b," identify the law that guarantees its independence, and provide evidence in support of the assessment of the adequacy of its staffing and resources. This can include the IFI's total budget allocation over recent years, any press reports that discuss perceived funding shortfalls, assessments by international organizations, and/or information from interviews with staff of the IFI.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI.

Source:

We only have a Budget Revision Committee which composed by several ministries under responsibility of the Ministry of Finance.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

104. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts?

GUIDELINES:

Question 104 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a role in producing the macroeconomic forecast (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, etc.) and/or the fiscal forecast (revenues, expenditure, deficits, and debt), and if so, what kind of role it has. Macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasting is a typical core function across IFIs, but their role in forecasting takes several forms (von Trapp et al. 2016, p. 17 and Table 2). Some IFIs produce just a macroeconomic forecast, while others produce a complete fiscal forecast (which also typically requires an underlying macroeconomic forecast). In some cases, the fiscal forecast reflects continuation of current budget policies; such forecasts can be used by the legislature, the media, or the public to assess the projections in the executive's budget reflecting the government's policy proposals.

Some IFIs produce the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts used in the executive's budget. In other cases, IFIs do not prepare their own independent forecasts, but rather produce an assessment of the official estimates, or provide an opinion on, or endorsement of, the government's forecasts. Some others have no role at all in forecasting.

To answer "a," there must be an IFI that publishes both its own macroeconomic AND fiscal forecasts. Answer "b" applies if an IFI publishes its own macroeconomic OR fiscal forecast (but not both). Answer "c" applies if the IFI does not publish a macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but rather publishes an assessment of the official forecasts produced by the executive and used in the budget. Choose option "d" if there is no IFI; or if there is an IFI that neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts for the budget.

Macroeconomic forecasts may include indicators relating to economic output and economic growth, inflation, and the labor market, amongst others. Fiscal forecasts may include estimates of revenues, expenditures, the budget balance, and debt. If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify which indicators and estimates are included in the forecasts and whether the forecast is used by government as the official forecast. If the answer is "c," please describe the nature and depth of the assessment (e.g., the length of the commentary, or whether it covers both economic and fiscal issues).

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts

produced by the executive.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No IFI in TL

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

105. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish its own costings of new policy proposals, to assess their impact on the budget?

GUIDELINES:

Question 105 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a costing function that involves assessing the budgetary implications of new policy proposals for both revenues and expenditures, and if so, what kind of role it has. Many IFIs have a costing role, but with substantial diversity in the nature and extent of this work (von Trapp et al 2016, pp. 17-18 and Table 2). Some assess virtually all new policy proposals, while others cost only a selection of new policy proposals. Others only publish opinions on, or scrutinize the costings of, budget measures produced by the executive.

To answer "a," the IFI must publish its own costings of all (or virtually all) new policy proposals. Answer "b" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only for major new policy proposals – for instance, only those proposals that cost or save above a certain amount. Answer "c" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only on a limited number of proposals. This could occur, for instance, if the IFI lacked the capacity to assess proposals dealing with certain sectors. Instead of producing a cost estimate, it can also publish an assessment of the estimates produced by the executive. Answer "d" applies if there is no IFI; or if the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals or provide an assessment of the official costings of new policy proposals.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No IFI in TL

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

106. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:

Question 106 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Almost all IFIs interact with the legislature in some form (von Trapp et al 2016, p. 18), but the intensity of the interaction varies. This question assesses this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the IFI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the IFI staff member in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). As evidence to support your answer, you can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the IFI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; and "c" for once or twice. Answer "d" should be selected if the head or a senior staff member of the IFI never took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature, or if there is no IFI.

Answer:

d. Never, or there is no IFI.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No IFI in TL

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

107. Does the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 107 asks whether the legislature debates budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal. In general, prior to discussing the Executive's Budget Proposal for the coming year, the legislature should have an opportunity to review the government's broad budget priorities and fiscal parameters. Often times this information is laid out in a Pre-Budget Statement, which the executive presents to the legislature for debate. (See Questions 54-58.)

A number of countries conduct a pre-budget debate in the legislature around six months before the start of the budget year. In some cases, they adopt laws that guide the upcoming budget, for example the Budget Guidelines Law in Brazil and the Spring Fiscal Policy Bill in Sweden. A pre-budget debate can serve two main purposes: 1) to allow the executive to inform the legislature of its fiscal policy intentions by presenting updated reports on its annual and medium-term budget strategy and policy priorities; and 2) to establish "hard" multi-year fiscal targets or spending ceilings, which the government must adhere to when preparing its detailed spending estimates for the upcoming budget year.

To answer "a," the full legislature must debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.

Answer "b" applies if a legislative committee (but not the full legislature) debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, and approves recommendations for the budget. Option "b" also applies if, in addition to the action by the committee, the full legislature also debates budget policy in advance of the budget, but does not approve recommendations.

Answer "c" applies if the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, but does not approve recommendations for the budget. Answer "d" applies if neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

In your comment, please indicate the dates of the budget debate, and if both the full legislature and a legislative committee held a debate. Note that a debate does not need to be open to the public, but a public record of the meeting or a public notice that the meeting occurred is required. In addition, please indicate whether the budget debate was focused on a Pre-Budget Statement published by the Executive. If the Executive did not publish a Pre-Budget Statement, then please indicate what served as the focus of the legislature's debate (for instance, a report released by an IFI or some other institution).

Answer:

d. No, neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

News, see: <http://timor-leste.gov.tl/?p=20921&n=1>

Comment:

The Government Presented the Budget to the National Parliament on 6 December 2018. It was the tabling (presentation to Parliament) of the EBP to Parliament, not debate regarding the budget policy prior.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

108. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 108 examines how far in advance of the start of the budget year the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be submitted to the legislature far enough in advance to allow the legislature time to review it properly, or at least three months prior to the start of the fiscal year. (See, for instance, Principle 2.2.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>)).

For the purposes of responding to this question, if – and only if – the most recent budget submission occurred later than usual as a result of a particular event, such as an election, please use a more normal year as the basis for the response. If, however, delays have been observed for more than one budget year, and the legislature has not received the Executive's Budget Proposal in a timely manner on more than one occasion in the last three years, then "d" will be the appropriate answer.

To answer "a," the legislature must receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least three months in advance of the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature does not receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month prior to the start of the budget year, or does not receive it at all.

Answer:

c. The legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year.

Source:

Ministry of Finance News : <https://www.mof.gov.tl/governu-submete-proposta-lei-oje-2019-ba-parlamentu-nasional/?lang=tl>;

Official government website, see : <http://timor-leste.gov.tl/?p=20921&n=1> (portuguese)

Comment:

The VIII Constitutional Government was presented Executive Budget Proposal on 8 November, 2018, in the National Parliament the General State Budget (OGE) for the fiscal year of 2019.

Even the Budget was presented on that day, but the budget but much of the supporting documentation was not made available until 22 November.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: it could be in 15 October in accordance to the Budget and Financial Management Law

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

109. When does the legislature approve the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 109 examines when the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be approved by the legislature before the start of the fiscal year the budget proposal refers to. This gives the executive time to implement the budget in its entirety, particularly new programs and policies.

In some countries, the expenditure and revenue estimates of the Executive's Budget Proposal are approved separately; for purposes of this question, at least the expenditure estimates must be approved. Further, approval of the budget implies approval of the full-year budget, not just a short-term continuation of spending and revenue authority.

To answer "a," the legislature must approve the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month before the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month after the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.

Answer:

c. The legislature approves the budget less than one month after the start of the budget year.

Source:

The legislature approved the Executive Budget Proposal on 22 December 2018, see : <http://timor-leste.gov.tl/?p=21004&lang=en&n=1>

Then after the President Vetoed, The new version after revised, the legislature approved on 31 January 2019, see : <http://timor-leste.gov.tl/?p=21111&lang=pt>

Comment:

Which was approved by the legislature on 22 December 2018. One reference is <http://timor-leste.gov.tl/?p=21004&print=1&lang=en>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

110. Does the legislature have the authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 110 examines the legislature's power to amend—as opposed to simply accept or reject—the budget proposal presented by the executive. This question is about legal authority rather than actions the legislature takes in practice. The legislature's powers to amend the budget can vary substantially across countries.

The "a" response is appropriate only if there are no restrictions on the right of the legislature to modify the Executive's Budget Proposal, including its right to change the size of the proposed deficit or surplus. The "b" response would be appropriate if, for instance, the legislature is restricted from changing the deficit or surplus, but it still has the power to increase or decrease funding and revenue levels. The more limited "c" response would apply if, for instance, the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive's Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues. Finally, response "d" would apply if the legislature may not make any changes (or only small technical changes), or if amendments must first be approved by the executive. In these cases, the legislature is essentially only able to approve or reject the budget as a whole. If the answer is "b" or "c", please indicate the nature of the amendment powers available to the Parliament and how they are limited.

Answer:

a. Yes, the legislature has unlimited authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

Constituição da República Democrática de Timor-Leste; see page : http://timor-leste.gov.tl/wp-content/uploads/2010/03/Constituicao_RDTL_PT.pdf (page number 29, Article 95)

Comment:

1. The Executive's Budget is made by the Government and approved by the National Parliament. 2. The Budget Law must anticipate the breakdown of the revenue and the breakdown of the expenditures based on the efficiency and effectiveness, as well as avoiding the existence of donations or secret funds. 3. The execution of the Budget is supervised by the Higher Administrative, Fiscal and Audit Court and by the National Parliament.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Legally the legislature has unlimited authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal but practically it different, as the Parliamentarian, especially those who are come from Government coalition didn't used their authority to amend the budget law.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

111. During the most recent budget approval process, did the legislature use its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 111 assesses whether any formal authority of the legislature to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal is used in practice. The responses to this question should be determined based on action by the legislature related to the Enacted Budget used in the OBS. Choose answer "a" if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal during the most recent budget approval process, and amendments were adopted (all, or at least some of them). Answer "a" also applies if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but the amendments were rejected by executive veto. Answer "b" applies if the legislature used its authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive's Budget Proposal, but none of these amendments were adopted. Answer "c" applies if the legislature has the authority in law to amend the budget, but no amendments were proposed

during its consideration. Answer "d" applies when the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget (that is, Question 110 is answered "d").

If the answer is "a" or "b", please specify in the comments the number of amendments introduced by the legislature (and in the case of an "a" response, the number adopted, or if applicable, information about an executive veto) and describe their nature. For example, did the amendments result in an increase or decrease of the deficit? What were the most significant amendments to revenues and to expenditures in terms of the sums involved? How did amendments affect the composition of expenditures? If the answer is "a," please specify which amendments were adopted, and provide evidence for it.

Answer:

a. Yes, the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, and (at least some of) its amendments were adopted.

Source:

Comment:

La'o Hamutuk's website (<http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE17/16OGE17.htm#OGE2018>) says "The debate on specifics [of the proposed budget for 2018] began on 31 August 2018. Members introduced 99 amendments, but most were withdrawn after the AMP majority rejected the first several." However, some amendments were approved, such as removing \$64.5 million to repay over-collected taxes from the Kitan oil field and adding \$50 million to subsidize the TL Cement project.

Parliament also made amendments to the 2019 budget proposal. For example, on 20 December, Parliament approved an amendment to add \$300 million for purchasing Shell's participation in the Sunrise Joint Venture. After each day's debate, Parliament publishes a summary in Portuguese and Tetum, but without specifics of votes on proposed amendments. See <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/18OGE19.htm#toPN>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

112. During the last budget approval process, did a specialized budget or finance committee in the legislature examine the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 112 assesses the role of a specialized budget or finance committee during the budget approval stage. Effective committee involvement is an essential condition for legislative influence in the budget process. Specialized committees provide opportunities for individual legislators to gain relevant expertise, and to examine budgets and policy in depth. Yet, the involvement of committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures have separate committees to examine spending and tax proposals, while others have a single finance committee. Not all legislatures have a specialized budget or finance committee to examine the budget. In addition, there can be differences in the time available for the committee's analysis of the budget.

A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, therefore it must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget.

Response "a" requires that, in the last budget approval process, a specialized budget or finance committee had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such a committee examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if a committee examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Please specify in your comment the name of the committee and the number of days it had available to examine the budget and to publish a report. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a copy of the report. Please note also if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

Answer:

c. Yes, a specialized budget or finance committee examined the Executive's Budget Proposal, but it did not publish a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

Source:

There is no such link because Parliament didn't publish the report.

But you can see LH's website: <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/RelComCOJE2019-6Dez2018pt.pdf>

Comment:

The Committee report was not officially published by the legislature, however La'o Hamutuk got it through personal relationships and posted it on

their website.

Parliament received the budget proposal on 8 November, but some documentation wasn't provided until 22 November. The Committee published its report on 6 December.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?

GUIDELINES:

Question 113 assesses the role of committees of the legislature that are responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.) during the budget approval stage. The role of sectoral committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures do not involve them in the budget approval process, while others do. In addition, the time available for committee analysis differs.

A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, so therefore must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget. Response "a" requires that sector committees had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and published a report with findings and recommendations prior the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such committees examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if sectoral committees examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where sectoral committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Please provide in the comments a brief overview of the committee structure and specify the number of days that sectoral committees had available to examine the budget and to publish their reports. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a sample copy of at least one of the reports. Please note if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

For purposes of responding to this question, use those sectoral committees that are best performing – that is, the ones that examine the budget the longest and that publish reports.

Answer:

c. Yes, sector committees examined the Executive's Budget Proposal, but they did not publish reports with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

Source:

La'o Hamutuk website, see : <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/RelComCOJE2019-6Dez2018pt.pdf>

Comment:

As explained before that the parliament discussed all government priorities but is not described in the allocation budget. the Conclusions from each of them are included in the committee C report in pages 57-67 and their Recommendations are on pages 72-92 of the report from Committee C (see on LH' website) because none of the reports were published officially.

La'o Hamutuk was invited to provide aspirations and input (submission) related to what needs to be prioritized in allocation and implementation,

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

114. In the past 12 months, did a committee of the legislature examine in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period?

GUIDELINES:

Question 114 is about legislative oversight of budget execution. It assesses whether and how often a committee examined the implementation of the budget during the budget execution period (i.e., financial year) for which it was approved, and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. This question does not apply to the ex post review of implementation following the end of the budget year as part of the audit stage, which is assessed separately. Nor does it apply to the legislature's review of the budget that it may undertake as part of the process of considering a supplemental budget during the year. In-year monitoring by the legislature will be affected by the frequency that the executive publishes In-Year Reports.

To answer "a," a committee must have examined in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget at least three times during the course of the relevant budget year and published reports with findings and recommendations. Answer "b" applies where this occurred only once or twice during the year.

Exception: If a legislature is in session only twice during the year, and it examines the implementation of the budget during both sessions, then it would be eligible for an "a" response.

Choose "c" if a committee examined in-year implementation (without regard to frequency), but did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined in-year implementation.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation.

For purposes of responding to this question, if more than one committee holds in-year reviews of the budget, use the committee that is best performing – that is, the one that examines in-year implementation the most times and that publishes a report.

Answer:

d. No, a committee did not examine in-year implementation.

Source:

Comment:

This was an exceptional year due to a political impasse and new elections, so the 2018 budget wasn't enacted until late September 2018. Prior to that, Government functioned under a "duodecimal" system which allowed spending of 1/12 of the 2017 budget on each line each month, but this was not reviewed by Parliament. After the 2018 budget was finally enacted, no review of in-year implementation was conducted.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

115. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units that receive explicit funding in the Enacted Budget, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 115 examines whether the executive seeks approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and whether it is legally required to do so.

In some countries, the executive has the power in law to adjust funding levels for specific appropriations during the execution of the budget. This question examines rules around shifting funds between administrative units (ministries, departments, or agencies) or whatever funding unit (or "vote") is specified in the Enacted Budget.

The conditions under which the executive may exercise its discretion to shift funds should be clearly defined in publicly available regulations or law. In addition, the amount of funds that the executive is allowed to transfer between administrative units should not be so excessive as to undermine the accountability of the executive to the legislature.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before shifting funds, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to shift funds between administrative units and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. Answer "d" also applies if the executive is authorized to shift an amount considered so excessive as to undermine accountability (roughly equal to 3 percent of total budgeted expenditures). A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the shifting of funds after it has already occurred.

In the comments, please indicate any law or regulation that provides the executive with standing authority to shift funds between administrative units and, if so, describe that authority. Similarly, legislative approval for shifting funds between administrative units typically occurs with the adoption of legislation such as a supplemental budget. But if other formal procedures for gaining approval from the legislature exist, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and in practice the executive shifts funds between administrative units before obtaining approval from the legislature.

Source:

Comment:

After Parliament altered PFA article 38 of the Budget and Financial Management Law allows the executive to move money around, but not more than 20% of the amount on a particular budget line can be moved to another line. This has to be approved by the Minister of Finance but not by the legislature. Money cannot be transferred out of Capital Development or Wages and Salaries to other budget categories, but can be transferred within those categories.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

116. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue (that is, amounts higher than originally anticipated) that may become available during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 116 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if additional revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, which often happens in oil/mineral-dependent countries, and it was not accounted for in the Enacted Budget, there should be a procedure in place to ensure that the legislature approves any proposed use of these "new" funds. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might deliberately underestimate revenue in the budget proposal it submits to the legislature, in order to have additional resources to spend at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before spending any funds resulting from higher-than-expected revenues, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if prior legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to spend excess revenue and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the additional spending after it has already occurred.

Typically, legislative approval of additional spending beyond what was reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur with the adoption of a supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

a The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, and it does so in practice.

Source:

Parliamentary approval (Law no 1/2018 http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2018/serie_1/SERIE_I_NO_31_A.pdf). See <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE18/lawrev/18RevPF-BFM.htm>

Comment:

Since the budget runs with an 80% deficit, with transfers from the Petroleum Fund to close the gap, this has never happened. The Petroleum Fund received 'excess' oil revenues and they do not enter into the state budget. However, if the execution of a given year's budget does not use all the money authorized to be transferred from the PF, the executive does the transfer anyway and keeps the money in the Treasury to be used in future years.

If the government wants to transfer more from the PF than was authorized by the state budget, it's unclear whether they need to seek legislative approval. During 2018 they did this twice, once without asking Parliament (it was not tested in court), and the second time when the newly-elected government got Parliamentary approval.

If the government wants to spend more (in total) than was authorized by the budget, it has to seek Parliamentary approval for a rectification budget, but this is not related to 'higher-than-expected' revenues.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Even though, some of the capitalization of the TimorGAP a National Oil Company will not approved anymore by Parliament since the Parliamentarian amended Petroleum Act Law

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

117. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls (that is, revenues lower than originally anticipated) or other reasons during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 117 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to cutting spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls or for any other reason, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if less revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, the legislature should approve or reject any proposed reductions in expenditures that are implemented as a result. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might substantially change the composition of the budget at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

Typically, legislative approval of proposals to reduce spending below the levels reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur as part of the supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive received legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to obtain legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to implement such cuts and the executive does not obtain such approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the spending cuts after they have already occurred.

Answer:

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, and in practice the executive implements these spending cuts before seeking prior approval from the legislature.

Source:

Comment:

The law is not clear on spending reductions, and Timor-Leste never spends all the money authorized by the state budget. Execution rates have been as low as 66%, but in recent years have been between 84% and 90%. Recurrent execution is around 93%.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

118. Did a committee of the legislature examine the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 118 is about ex post oversight following the implementation of the budget. It probes whether a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. A key issue is how soon after the SAI releases the report does it legislature review it. This question does not apply to the legislative scrutiny of in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period, which is assessed separately. Also, the question is asking specifically about the SAI's annual report on the execution of the budget, not about other audit reports that the SAI may produce. (This is the Audit Report used for responding to Question 98.)

To answer "a," a legislative committee must have examined the annual Audit Report within three months of it being released by the SAI, and then published a report (or reports) with findings and recommendations. (Note that the three-month period should only take into account time when the legislature is in session.)

Answer "b" applies when the committee examines it within six months of it being released (but more than three months), and then published a report with its findings and recommendations. Choose "c" if a committee examined the annual Audit Report more than six months after it became available or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined the annual Audit Report.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed the Audit Report, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation. Answers "a," "b," or "c" may be selected if the Audit Report is produced by the SAI but not made publicly available.

Answer:

c. Yes, a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget, but it did so after the report had been available for more than six months or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Source:

This is the SAI report for 2016, see : http://www.tribunais.tl/files/camara_contas/PARECER_CGE_2016.pdf;

The Parliament discussed it in plenary on 4 October 2018, and Committee C presented a report (<http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE16/exec/RelComC-CGE2016Sep2018.pdf>) which was not published.

Comment:

The SAI Report for 2016 was produced on 14 December 2017. However, Parliament was soon dissolved and the committee did not take it up until after the May 2018 elections, producing its report on 26 September 2018. Although the Parliamentary Committee report was not published, La'o Hamutuk obtained a copy and posted it on their website.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

119. Was the process of appointing (or re-appointing) the current head of the SAI carried out in a way that ensures his or her independence?

GUIDELINES:

Question 119 concerns the appointment process of the current head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). Appointment procedures vary greatly across countries, as well as across different types of SAIs. Moreover, conventions and informal practices can greatly affect the de facto independence of the head of the SAI. While these factors make it difficult to devise a single metric against which all SAIs can be assessed with regard to this particular aspect, this question focuses on whether the legislature or judiciary must appoint or approve the appointment of the head of the SAI as a way to ensure the SAI's independence from the executive. However, if the appointment is carried out in another way that nonetheless ensures the independence of the SAI head, then that approach could be also considered.

To answer "a," the legislature or judiciary must appoint (or re-appoint) the head of the SAI, or approve the recommendation of the executive, as a way that ensure his or her independence from the executive. (As noted above, alternative approaches may also be acceptable.) Choose "b" if the appointment process does not ensure the independence of the head of the SAI, e.g. the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Irrespective of which answer you selected, provide a description of how the head of the SAI is appointed.

Answer:

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be appointed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the appointment takes effect.

Source:

Law no. 11/2004, amending Law no. 8/2002.

Comment:

Timor-Leste has a split executive, so the President of the Republic (who appoints the head of the SAI) isn't the same executive that proposed and implements the budget. Also, the pool of candidates for SAI President consists of judges chosen by the Superior Council for the Judiciary, which is primarily composed of members of the judiciary, not the executive.

This is an interim situation, as the Court of Appeal is serving as the interim High Administrative, Tax and Audit Court (SAI) until the latter is established, and the High Administration, Tax and Audit Court will have better protection, with its president being elected by the other judges on the court.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IBP Comment

IBP confirms the researcher's original response. In the 2002 constitution, the following sections apply. • 129-3: "The President of the High Administrative, Tax and Audit Court is elected for a term of office of four years from among and by respective judges " • 95-3.a: "It is also incumbent upon (the National Parliament): [...] to ratify the appointment of the President of the Supreme Court of Justice and of the High Administrative, Tax and Audit Court;" • 86-j: "It is incumbent upon the President of the Republic, with regard to other organs: [...] to swear in the President of the High Administrative Court, the Tax Court and the Court of Accounts;" • 128-1: "The Superior Council for the judiciary is the organ of conduct and discipline of the judges of the courts and it is incumbent upon it to appoint, assign, transfer and promote the judges." Given that the Court of Appeals is the current acting SAI, this appointment procedure describes applies to the head of the Court of Appeals as the interim SAI. The score of A is confirmed.

120. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?

GUIDELINES:

Question 120 covers the manner in which the head or senior members of the SAI may be removed from office. This question draws on best practices identified in the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>), including measures intended to guarantee the office's independence from the executive.

To answer "a," the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the head of the SAI is removed. For example, the legislature or judiciary may give final consent following a certain external process, such as a criminal proceeding. So while the executive may initiate a criminal proceeding, the final consent of a member of the judiciary – or a judge – is necessary to render a verdict of wrongdoing that may lead to the removal from office of the head of the SAI. Answer "b" applies if the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

Answer:

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before he or she is removed.

Source:

Based on Law no 1/2017, Article 5- Article 9), see : http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2017/serie_1/serie1_no3.pdf

Comment:

The head of the SAI (which is the TR for now) is chosen by the President of the Republic, not of the court. But I'm not sure how he or she can be removed. The Constitution article 128 says it's by the Superior Council for the Judiciary.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Comments: The President of Republic has full competence in accordance with the Constitution Article 86(j).

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

article 86(j) of the constitution mandates the President to appoint the head of SAI, but does not mention dismissal. Other clauses of that article, such as 86(l) and 86(m), explicitly state that the President can dismiss certain officials that he/she appoints, but that is not the case for the head of the SAI.

IBP Comment

Thanks to the reviewer for the comment, however IBP confirms the researcher's original response. In the 2002 constitution, the following sections apply. • 129-3: "The President of the High Administrative, Tax and Audit Court is elected for a term of office of four years from among and by respective judges " • 95-3.a: "It is also incumbent upon (the National Parliament): [...] to ratify the appointment of the President of the Supreme Court of Justice and of the High Administrative, Tax and Audit Court;" • 86-j: "It is incumbent upon the President of the Republic, with regard to other organs: [...] to swear in the President of the High Administrative Court, the Tax Court and the Court of Accounts;" • 128-1: "The Superior Council for the judiciary is the organ of conduct and discipline of the judges of the courts and it is incumbent upon it to appoint, assign, transfer and promote the judges." Given that the Court of Appeals is the current acting SAI, this removal process described in the constitution applies to them as well (by the Superior Council), therefore the score is confirmed as A.

121. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 121 asks who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). To ensure objective audits of government budgets, another important component of the SAI's independence from the executive is the extent to which the SAI's budget is determined by a body other than the executive, and whether the SAI has adequate resources to fulfill its mandate.

Answer "a" applies if the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate, AND either the SAI determines its own budget and then submits it to the executive (which accepts it with little or no change) or directly to the legislature, or the budget of the SAI is determined directly by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body). Answer "b" applies if the SAI's budget is determined by the executive (absent a recommendation from the SAI), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "c" applies if the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body) determines the SAI's budget, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "d" applies if the executive determines the SAI's budget, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Please provide evidence in support of the assessment that the funding level is or is not broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Answer:

a. The SAI determines its own budget (i.e., submits it to the executive, which accepts it with little or no change, or directly to the legislature), or the budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Source:

Article 60 of Law 9/2011

The Court's plenary decides on the Court's budget and annual plans (Art 60.c), which is then incorporated into the State Budget

Comment:

The Budget of the SAI determine by their own Budget or through Judiciary.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

122. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have the discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?

GUIDELINES:

Question 122 explores the scope of the investigative powers of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) as prescribed in law.

Question 97 asks which of the three types of audits – financial, compliance, and performance – the SAI conducts. This question asks if the SAI is constrained by law (rather than by a lack of capacity or an inadequate budget) from undertaking any form of audit or investigating irregularities in any program or activity.

There are numerous examples of limitations. For instance, some SAIs are not permitted by their legal mandate to audit joint ventures or other public-private arrangements. Others are only allowed to undertake financial audits, precluded from conducting performance or value-for-money audits. The SAIs in some countries do not have the legal mandate to review arrangements involving oil or stabilization funds, or other types of special or extra-budgetary funds. The SAI may also not have the ability to audit commercial projects involving the public and private sector.

To answer "a," the SAI must have full discretion in law to decide which audits to undertake. Answer "b" applies if some limitations exist, but the SAI enjoys significant discretion to undertake those audits it wishes to. Answer "c" applies if the SAI has some discretion, but significant legal limitations exist. Answer "d" applies if the SAI has no power at all to choose which audits to undertake

Consulting the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>) may be useful in answering this question as its provisions serve to define the appropriate scope of a SAI's legal mandate and jurisdiction.

Answer:

a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.

Source:

Law no. 9/2011. http://www.mj.gov.tl/jornal/public/docs/2011/serie_1/serie1_no31.pdf

Comment:

Articles 5 and 6 of Law no. 9/2011 promise independence for the Camara da Contas, and Article 12.1(f) says it can do audits on its own initiative.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: But the projects conducted with TimorGAP as part of Petroleum Operation as stated in the Article 22 of Petroleum Act Law eliminated the role of Court Audit to undertake a audit

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

123. Are the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) reviewed by an independent agency?

GUIDELINES:

Question 123 assesses whether and to what extent the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) are subject to review by an independent agency. The latter could be a peer SAI, an international organization, an academic institution with relevant expertise, or an independent domestic agency with quality assurance functions in the area of financial reporting.

To answer "a," an independent agency must conduct and publish a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis. Answer "b" applies if a review was carried out within the past five years, and published, but it is not conducted annually, but. Choose answer "c" if the SAI has an internal unit that reviews the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis, but an independent agency does not conduct such a review. Answer "d" applies if the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

If the answer is either "a" or "b," please specify the name of the independent agency and when last it conducted such a review, and provide a copy of the published report. If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the unit within the SAI that is tasked with conducting such reviews.

Answer:

d. No, the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

Source:

http://www.tribunais.tl/files/relatorios_auditoria/2019_RELATORIO_MTAC_FINAL.pdf, and refer to the signature page (55 in this case)

Comment:

Review by the SAI itself

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

124. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:

Question 124 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Many SAIs interact with the legislature in some form, but the nature and intensity of the interaction varies. This question probes this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the SAI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the SAI representative in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). You can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the SAI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; "c" for once or twice, and "d" for never.

Answer:

d. Never.

Source:

Comment:

The President of the SAI met twice with the President of Parliament, but that Committees weren't functioning normally during 2018 as Parliament

was out of session for half the year.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

125. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget (prior to the budget being tabled in parliament)?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in the formulation of the annual budget, including annual pre-budget discussions. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as spending and tax policy, funding and revenue levels, and macro-fiscal planning.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

In most years, the government hosts a budget workshop (Jornada Orsamentais) a few months before submitting the budget to Parliament, as was done on 12-18 September 2018 for the proposed 2019 budget. Selected civil society organizations are invited to observe part of it. However, this is a presentation only, and input from the public is not part of the process.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

126. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive take concrete steps to include vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on the executive's efforts to seek out the views of members of the public from socially vulnerable groups and/or who are underrepresented in the process.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from vulnerable and underrepresented communities and/or civil society organizations representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives of vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

127. During the budget formulation stage, which of the following key topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the formulation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics – and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive’s engagement with the public during budget formulation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above – for the purpose of answering this question, “key topics” are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the executive’s engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., “what issues is the public invited to engage on?”) and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer “d” applies if requirements for a “c” response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a “c” response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

There was no public participation in the budget formulation stage.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

128. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on “Inclusiveness” and “Timeliness” and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of “involvement” (option “a” in the responses) and “consultation” (option “b”). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms (“the executive”) is currently using to allow the public to participate in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget. If the executive has designated a central coordinating agency to implement participation mechanisms throughout the national budget process, researchers may consider these mechanisms. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government’s efforts to incorporate citizens’ input into the implementation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenue administration, public service delivery, public investment project implementation, including procurement, and the administration of social transfer schemes.

To answer “a,” the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings, online, deliberative exchanges, procurement complaint mechanisms, and social monitoring and dialogue.

Answer “b” applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input on the implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include public hearings, surveys, focus groups, report cards, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer “c” applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to provide input on budget implementation, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured, happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or

partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", the researcher must have evidence that the government is holding participation mechanisms that have some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples include hotlines, Facebook announcements, one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

No mechanisms exist for the public to provide inputs during budget execution.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

129. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on national government's efforts to obtain input from members of the public who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes during the implementation of the annual budget.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organizations representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives from vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No mechanism is applied that executive can take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

130. During the implementation of the annual budget, which of the following topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Changes in macroeconomic circumstances
2. Delivery of public services
3. Collection of revenue
4. Implementation of social spending
5. Changes in deficit and debt levels
6. Implementation of public investment projects

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the implementation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget implementation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be ONLY the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

The same as what was explained before.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No mechanism is applied that executive can take concrete steps to receive input from population on the implementation of the annual budget.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

131. When the executive engages with the public, does it provide comprehensive prior information on the process of the engagement, so that the public can participate in an informed manner?

Comprehensive information must include at least three of the following elements:

1. Purpose
2. Scope
3. Constraints
4. Intended outcomes
5. Process and timeline

GUIDELINES:

This question relates to the GIFT principle of "Openness," and addresses whether the executive provides relevant information on the process of the engagement before public participation takes place, in order to help citizens engage effectively. The question addresses whether the "rules of the public engagement" are clearly spelled out, in advance and in detail, so that those members of the public who want to engage know how to do so, in terms of when they can do so, what they are expected to provide input on, by when, to whom, etc. This question does not cover the substance of the engagement, which is covered by questions 127 and 130.

Non-comprehensive information means that the government provides information that includes at least one but less than three of the elements listed above.

Purpose refers to a brief explanation of why the public engagement is being undertaken, including the executive's objectives for its engagement with the public.

Scope refers to what is within the subject matter of the engagement as well as what is outside the subject matter of the engagement. For example, the scope may include how a current policy is administered but exclude the specifics of the policies themselves.

Constraints refers to whether there are there any explicit limitations on the engagement. An example of a constraint could be that any policy changes must not cost (or forgo revenue) more than a specific amount or have no net fiscal cost.

Intended outcomes refers to what the executive hopes to achieve as a result of the engagement. Examples of intended outcomes could be revising a policy to better reflect citizen or service-user views or to improve the way in which a particular program is administered.

Process refers to the methods by which the public engagement will take place and the discrete steps in the process. For example, the process may simply be a one-off Internet-based consultation, with a summary published of public inputs and the official response. The process may involve simultaneous or overlapping steps, and may be conducted in one round or in two or more rounds of engagement.

Timeline refers to the specific dates on which the discrete steps in the process will take place, or during which they will be completed, and clear start and end dates for the overall engagement.

Answer "d" applies if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

They held an event but still limited civil society to providing input and just watching even though there was an opportunity to give questions, but not all were given the opportunity.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Comments: No mechanism is applied that executive can take concrete steps to receive input from population on the implementation of the annual budget.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

132. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used in the formulation of the annual budget, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)*

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used.*

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or*

- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

There was no public participation in the budget formulation stage.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: No public consultation was conducted by Government during budget cycle in executive level

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

133. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive provide the public with information on how citizens' inputs have been used to assist in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received during the implementation of the annual budget, which ones are taken into account to improve budget monitoring, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received (e.g., a written transcript) from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were or were not taken into account by the executive during budget monitoring.

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

No mechanism for the execution stage.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Even I agree with the answer but researcher need to include social audit program conducted by FONGTIL in collaboration with Prime Minister office

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

134. Are participation mechanisms incorporated into the timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Sustainability," "Timeliness" and "Complementarity" and addresses whether the executive is able to link participation mechanisms to the administrative processes that are used to create the annual budget.

Please note that "timetable" refers to a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. This document is sometimes referred to as the budget calendar and is the same document referenced in Question 53.

Answer "a" applies if the national executive establishes a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget. For answer choice "a", the timetable must be available to the public prior to the budget preparation process beginning.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not establish a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

There is no timetable available for the formulation of the budget.

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The social audit program has no timetable in accordance to formulation budget. Most Social Audit Program are for implementation project rather than the policy level

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

135. Do one or more line ministries use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES

While questions 125 – 135 focus only on participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency currently use to allow the public to participate in the national budget process, this question asks about participation mechanisms used by line ministries to allow the public to participate in national budget processes. Thus, participation mechanisms used by the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by a line ministry or if multiple line ministries use participation mechanisms, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation and/or implementation of the annual budget.

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf

To answer "a," a line ministry must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This

means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which a line ministry seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if a line ministry has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) A line ministry consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the line ministry determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

There was no chance for public to participate or give input while the Budget was being developed.

Line ministries also do not have these engagement mechanisms.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

136. Does the legislature or the relevant legislative committee(s) hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) has put in place and is using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the annual budget. This includes deliberations during the pre-budget phase (i.e., when the executive is still in the process of formulating the draft budget) and the budget discussions after the budget has been tabled to parliament and before it is approved. In the comment box, please specify during which stage of the budget cycle the legislature has put in place a public participation mechanism.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual Members of Parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in

answering this question.

If there is more than one mechanism, please select a mechanism that best shows/reflects the legislature's efforts to incorporate citizens into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenues, policy selection, and macro-fiscal planning (please note that the issue of coverage is covered in a subsequent question).

To answer "a," the legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs; but
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, but
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget

Answer:

c. Yes, public hearings are held. No testimony from the public is provided during the public hearings, and there are no other mechanisms through which public contributions are received, but the legislature invites specific individuals or groups to testify or provide input (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:

Comment:

This was during Parliamentary deliberations on the 2019 budget after it had been tabled and it was very limited, as the Public Finance Committee of Parliament invited only specific CSOs to speak at a single hearing, and none of the other committees did. However the Committee was not interested in hearing testimony about areas their narrow mandate of public finances, and the hearing was not open to the public or press, even to observe.

For the 2019 budget, the Parliamentary hearing schedule was not officially published but La'o Hamutuk posted it at <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/CalendarioAudiencia16Nov2018.pdf>. However, this schedule was soon abandoned because the government had not provided all the budget books, and a revised one was received and published by LH at <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/KalAudPubOGE2019-22Nov2018.pdf>.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

137. During the legislative deliberations on the annual budget (pre-budget or approval stages), which of the following key topics does the legislature's (or relevant legislative budget committee) engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider the range of mechanisms currently used by the legislature to promote public participation during legislative deliberations on the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics, for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the legislature's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

b. The legislature seeks input on at least three (but less than six) of the above-mentioned topics.

Source:

Comment:

As the Public Finance Committee was the only one which invited CSOs to testify on the 2019 budget, they were not interested in testimony on Social spending policies, Public investment projects or Public services. The Chair berated CSOs who spoke on these topics because they were not within the committee's mandate. La'o Hamutuk's submission is at <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/LHSubPNOJE2019-29Nov18en.pdf>, and some other CSO statements (in Tetum) are linked to from <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/18OGE19.htm#toPN>.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. The legislature seeks input on all six topics.

Comments: Actually the Parliament didn't limited public input on specific topics mentioned above but the lack of capacity of NGOs or any institutions itself to covered all topics were the issue in Timor-Leste.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

Researcher Response

This question is about Govt's engagement with the public - we consider public engagement to mean significantly more than not barring specific topics from public input. Public engagement means that the Govt actively seeks out CSO opinions. The only forum for engagement that the government facilitated, did not allow discussion of the key topics mentioned above. This was not due to lack of CSO capacity. Although Parliamentary Committees held hearings on various aspects of the budget (see <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/KalAudPubOGE2019-22Nov2018.pdf>), the only one they invited CSOs to was Committee C on the afternoon of 29/11. The President of the Committee told CSOs who spoke about Social spending policies, Public investment projects or Public services that they were off-topic and in the wrong place ... but no other place was available to them.

138. Does the legislature provide feedback to the public on how citizens' inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the legislature provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received and how inputs were used during legislative deliberations (please note that these deliberations can refer to the pre-budget and approval phases). By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the legislature.

Answer "a" applies when the legislature provides a written document with:

- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).*

Answer "b" applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and*
- *A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used in legislative deliberations on the annual budget (please note that these deliberations refer to the pre-budget and approval phases).*

Answer "c" applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) or*

- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

Parliament Committee C's report only listed their own recommendations and those of other standing committees. Although some references were made to CSO input, they were not specific. However that report was never published or posted on the government website. La'o Hamutuk got it via indirect personal approach and made it available at <http://www.laohamutuk.org/econ/OGE19/RelComCOJE2019-6Dez2018pt.pdf>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree with the answer

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

139. Does the legislature hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislature are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

A key constitutional role of the legislature in almost all countries is to oversee the government's management of public resources. While the Supreme Audit Institution is responsible for checking the government's accounts and publishing the outcome of their audits, for accountability purposes it is essential that the legislature reviews and scrutinizes those reports, and checks on whether the executive is taking the appropriate corrective actions based on the Supreme Audit Institution's recommendations.

Holding public hearings to review audit findings allows the public to learn more about how the government has managed its resources for the budget years that have ended, and demand accountability in case of mismanagement and irregularities. Reviewing and discussing those reports in public is therefore a key responsibility of a legislature.

Please note that by "Audit Report" we refer to the same audit report assessed in the transparency section of this Survey, i.e., one of the eight key budget documents that all governments (in this case, the Supreme Audit Institution) must produce, according to best practice.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) have put in place and using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the Audit Report.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual members of parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

To answer "a," the national legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *No testimony is allowed from the public; BUT*
- *There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.*

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*

- *No testimony is allowed from the public;*
- *No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, BUT*
- *The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)*

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

There were no public hearings on the audit report..

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

140. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program (for example, by bringing ideas on agencies, programs, or projects that could be audited)?

GUIDELINES:

This question assesses whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program. When deciding its audit agenda, the SAI may undertake audits for a sample of agencies, projects, and programs in the country; and such a selection could be based on complaints and suggestions made by members of the public. To receive such suggestions, the SAI may create formal mechanisms, like setting up a website, hotline, or office (or assigning staff to liaise with the public).

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:

There are no formal mechanisms for the public to make suggestions, although the government can do so. However, CSOs have written unsolicited letters to the court suggesting audits. In at least one case (La'o Hamutuk requesting an audit of the NOC TimorGAP in 2014) such audits were subsequently undertaken, but we do not know if it was in response to the letter. See

<https://www.laohamutuk.org/Oil/TimorGAP/14LHTRTimorGAPaudit5Aug14.htm>.

Audit reports are on the court website, at <https://www.tribunais.tl/?q=node/22> and <http://www.tribunais.tl/?q=node/64> (which links to two audits of TimorGAP done in 2016 and 2017).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

141. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used to determine its audit program?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the Supreme Audit Institution provides

information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used to determine the Supreme Audit Institution's audit program. By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the Supreme Audit Institution.

Answer "a" applies when the Supreme Audit Institution provides a written document with:

- The inputs received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).

Answer "b" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used to determine the SAI's annual audit program.

Answer "c" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:

- The received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggests issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

There is mechanism for public feedback or input.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Actually There is no mechanism for public feedback or input.

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

142. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents, witnesses, etc.)?

GUIDELINES:

This question mirrors question 140, but instead of covering public assistance in formulating the SAI's audit program, it focuses on whether the Supreme Audit Institution has established mechanisms through which the public can participate in audit investigations. In addition to seeking public input to determine its audit agenda, the SAI may wish to provide formal opportunities for the public and civil society organizations to participate in the actual audit investigations, as witnesses or respondents.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:

There are no mechanisms for unsolicited public input into audits, and we are not aware of the auditors' seeking such input in specific cases, although it may have happened. Proceedings, witnesses, etc. are not made public, and the Audit Reports do not mention such sources of information.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: There is no mechanism for public feedback or input.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: