

## Overview



Transparency:

**63** /100

(Open Budget Index score)



Public  
Participation:

**33** /100



Budget Oversight:

**78** /100

## About the survey

Government budget decisions – what taxes to levy, what services to provide, and how much debt to take on – affect how equal a society is and the well-being of its people, including whether the most disadvantaged will have real opportunities for a better life. It is critical that governments inform and engage the public on these vital decisions that impact their lives.

The **Open Budget Survey (OBS)** is the world's only independent, comparative and fact-based research instrument that uses internationally accepted criteria to assess public access to central government budget information; formal opportunities for the public to participate in the national budget process; and the role of budget oversight institutions such as the legislature and auditor in the budget process.

The survey helps local civil society assess and confer with their government on the reporting and use of public funds. This 7th edition of the OBS covers 117 countries.

Visit [www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey](http://www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey) for more information, including the full OBS methodology, the 2019 Global Report, findings for all surveyed countries, and the Data Explorer.





# Transparency


This part of the OBS measures public access to information on how the central government raises and spends public resources. It assesses the online availability, timeliness, and comprehensiveness of eight key budget documents using 109 equally weighted indicators and scores each country on a scale of 0 to 100. A transparency score of 61 or above indicates a country is likely publishing enough material to support informed public debate on the budget.

The Kyrgyz Republic has a transparency score of **63** (out of 100).

## Transparency in Kyrgyz Republic compared to others



## How has the transparency score for Kyrgyz Republic changed over time?



## Public availability of budget documents in Kyrgyz Republic

- Available to the Public
- Published Late, or Not Published Online, or Produced for Internal Use Only
- ⊘ Not Produced

Document	2010	2012	2015	2017	2019
Pre-Budget Statement	⊘	●	●	●	●
Executive's Budget Proposal	●	●	●	●	●
Enacted Budget	●	●	●	●	●
Citizens Budget	⊘	⊘	●	●	●
In-Year Reports	●	●	●	●	●
Mid-Year Review	⊘	⊘	●	⊘	⊘
Year-End Report	●	●	●	●	●
Audit Report	●	●	●	●	●

## How comprehensive is the content of the key budget documents that Kyrgyz Republic makes available to the public?

- 61-100 / 100
- 41-60 / 100
- 1-40 / 100

Key budget document	Document purpose and contents	Fiscal year assessed	Document content score
Pre-Budget Statement	Discloses the broad parameters of fiscal policies in advance of the Executive's Budget Proposal; outlines the government's economic forecast, anticipated revenue, expenditures, and debt.	2019	89
Executive's Budget Proposal	Submitted by the executive to the legislature for approval; details the sources of revenue, the allocations to ministries, proposed policy changes, and other information important for understanding the country's fiscal situation.	2019	67
Enacted Budget	The budget that has been approved by the legislature.	2019	95
Citizens Budget	A simpler and less technical version of the government's Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, designed to convey key information to the public.	2019	84
In-Year Reports	Include information on actual revenues collected, actual expenditures made, and debt incurred at different intervals; issued quarterly or monthly.	2018	56
Mid-Year Review	A comprehensive update on the implementation of the budget as of the middle of the fiscal year; includes a review of economic assumptions and an updated forecast of budget outcomes.	2018	Not Produced
Year-End Report	Describes the situation of the government's accounts at the end of the fiscal year and, ideally, an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the budget's policy goals.	2017	60
Audit Report	Issued by the supreme audit institution, this document examines the soundness and completeness of the government's year-end accounts.	2017	62

The Kyrgyz Republic's transparency score of 63 in the 2019 OBS is moderately higher than its score in 2017.

## **What changed in 2019?**

The Kyrgyz Republic has increased the availability of budget information by:

- Increasing the information provided in the Audit Report.


## **Recommendations**

The Kyrgyz Republic should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish revised and updated estimates in the Mid-Year Review online in a timely manner.
- Include in the Year-End Report debt and macroeconomic information .
- Improve the comprehensiveness of the In-Year Reports.



## Extent of opportunities for public participation in the budget process



few: 0 - 40; limited: 41 - 60; adequate: 61 - 100

## Recommendations

The Kyrgyz Republic's Ministry of Finance has established public consultations during budget formulation and public hearings during budget implementation but, to further strengthen public participation in the budget process, should also prioritize the following actions:

- Open mechanisms during budget implementation to engage any civil society organization or member of the public who wishes to participate.
- Actively engage with vulnerable and underrepresented communities, directly or through civil society organizations representing them.

The Kyrgyz Republic's Supreme Council has established public hearings related to the approval of the annual budget, but should also prioritize the following actions:

- Allow members of the public or civil society organizations to testify during its hearings on the Audit Report.

The Kyrgyz Republic's Accounts Chamber should prioritize the following actions to improve public participation in the budget process:

- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist in developing its audit program and to contribute to relevant audit investigations.



# Budget Oversight


The OBS also examines the role that legislatures and supreme audit institutions (SAIs) play in the budget process and the extent to which they provide oversight; each country is scored on a scale from 0 to 100 based on 18 equally weighted indicators. In addition, the survey collects supplementary information on independent fiscal institutions (see Box).

The legislature and supreme audit institution in the Kyrgyz Republic, together, provide adequate oversight during the budget process, with a composite oversight score of **78** (out of 100). Taken individually, the extent of each institution's oversight is shown below:

## Legislative oversight



## Audit oversight



weak: 0 - 40; limited: 41 - 60; adequate: 61 - 100

## Recommendations

The Kyrgyz Republic's Supreme Council provides adequate oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and limited oversight during the implementation stage. To make its oversight even more effective, it should prioritize the following actions:

- A legislative committee should examine in-year budget implementation and publish reports with their findings online.
- In practice, ensure the legislature is consulted before the executive shifts funds specified in the Enacted Budget between administrative units; spends any unanticipated revenue; or reduces spending due to revenue shortfalls during the budget year.

To strengthen its independence and make budget oversight more effective, the Kyrgyz Republic's Accounts Chamber should:

- Ensure the supreme audit institution has adequate funding to perform its duties, as determined by an independent body (e.g., the legislature or judiciary).
- Ensure audit processes are reviewed by an independent agency.

### **The emerging practice of establishing independent fiscal institutions**

The Kyrgyz Republic does not have an independent fiscal institution (IFI). IFIs are increasingly recognized as valuable independent and nonpartisan information providers to the Executive and/or Parliament during the budget process.

*\*These indicators are \*not\* scored in the Open Budget Survey.*

# Methodology

---

- Only documents published and events, activities, or developments that took place through 31 December 2018 were assessed in the OBS 2019.
- The survey is based on a questionnaire completed in each country by an independent budget expert:  
Chingiz Beksultanov, Chnara Mamatova and Nurida Baizakova  
Public Association “Partner Group Precedent”  
Sydykova St. 187, Office 28  
beksultanov.kg@gmail.com; chnara@gmail.com;  
n.baizakova2009@mail.ru
- To further strengthen the research, each country’s draft questionnaire is also reviewed by an anonymous independent expert, and in the Kyrgyz Republic by a representative of the Ministry of Finance.