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Section One: The Availability of Budget Documents
Table 1. Budget Year of Documents Used in Completing the Questionnaire

Please indicate the budget year of the document used to complete the questionnaire. If the document is not available to the public, please state, “Not Available.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Documents Used in Completing the Questionnaire</th>
<th>Budget Year Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Budget Statement</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Summary</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive’s Budget Proposal</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Budget Documents</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enacted Budget</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Year Reports</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Report</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Report</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Internet Links for Key Budget Documents

Please provide the Internet link for the document, or indicate one of these three answers: “Not Produced,” “Produced but Not Available to the Public,” “Publicly Available, but Not on the Internet.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Document</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget Summary</td>
<td>Produced, But Not Available to the Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive’s Budget Proposal</td>
<td>Produced, But Not Available to the Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Budget Documents</td>
<td>Produced, But Not Available to the Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
<td>Not Produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Year Reports</td>
<td>Publicly Available, But Not on the Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Review</td>
<td>Produced, But Not Available to the Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Documents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant Ministries &amp; Departments</td>
<td>Ministry of Finance: <a href="http://www.mof.pmis.gov.mn">www.mof.pmis.gov.mn</a> General Department of National Taxation: <a href="http://www.mta.mn">www.mta.mn</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Distribution of Documents Related to the Executive’s Budget Proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRIBUTION OF BUDGET DOCUMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For the following reports, place “Yes” in the appropriate row below to indicate if the report is:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive’s Budget Proposal</th>
<th>Main document</th>
<th>Budget summary*</th>
<th>Supporting Document 1</th>
<th>Additional Document 2</th>
<th>Citizens budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Not produced, even for internal purposes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Produced for internal purposes, but not available to the public</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Produced and available to the public, but only on request</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Produced and distributed to the public (for example, in libraries, posted on the Internet.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For budget reports that are produced and distributed to the public, what steps does the executive take to distribute these reports and to promote interest in them? For each characteristic, mark “Yes” if yes, “No” if no, or “NA” if Not Available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-budget</th>
<th>Executive’s Budget</th>
<th>Citizens budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main document</td>
<td>Budget summary*</td>
<td>Supporting Document 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The release date is known at least one month in advance
2. Advance notification of release is sent to users, media
3. Released to public same day as official release to media
4. Available on the Internet free of charge
5. Free print copies available, limited distribution
6. Free print copies available, mass distribution
7. Readily available outside capital and/or big cities
8. Written in more than one language
9. News conference is held to discuss release

*A budget summary should be a stand-alone document, which could include a budget speech or a separately published executive summary.

†Mark “Yes” if access by mail order or by Internet, if Internet service is readily available outside the capital and/or big cities.
Table 4. Distribution of the Enacted Budget and Other Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRIBUTION OF ENACTED BUDGET AND OTHER REPORTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For the following reports, place a “Yes” in the appropriate row below to indicate if the report is:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enacted budget</th>
<th>In-year reports</th>
<th>Mid-year review</th>
<th>Year-end report</th>
<th>Audit report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Not produced, even for internal purposes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Produced for internal purposes, but not available to the public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Produced and available to the public, but only on request</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Produced and distributed to the public (for example, in libraries, posted on the Internet.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For budget reports that are produced and distributed to the public, what steps does the executive take to distribute these reports and to promote interest in them?

For each characteristic, mark “Yes” if yes, “No” if no, or “NA” if Not Available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enacted budget</th>
<th>In-year reports</th>
<th>Mid-year review</th>
<th>Year-end report</th>
<th>Audit report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The release date is known at least one month in advance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Advance notification of release sent to users, media</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Released to public same day as official release to media</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Available on the Internet free of charge</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Free print copies available, limited distribution</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Free print copies available, mass distribution</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Readily available outside capital/big cities</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Written in more than one language</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. News conference is held to discuss release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mark “Yes” if access is available by mail order or by Internet, if Internet service is readily available outside the capital and/or big cities.
Section Two: The Executive’s Budget Proposal

Notes on abbreviations:
BY = budget year (year for which the budget proposals are being made)
BY+1 = one year beyond the budget year
BY-1 = one year before the budget year
**The Executive’s Budget Proposal**

**Estimates for the Budget Year and Beyond**

1. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?
   
   a. All expenditures are classified by administrative unit.
   b. Expenditures are classified by administrative unit, but some small units are not shown separately.
   c. Expenditures are classified by administrative unit, but a significant number of units are not shown separately.
   d. No expenditures classified by administrative unit are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not made available to the public. The proposal is available at the Open Society Forum’s (a NGO) website, but not on any government website. The Open Society Forum did not obtain the document through official requests, but through informal channels and published without permission from authorities. If the budget documents were made public by the government, the response would have been marked as “b.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

2. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by functional classification?

   a. All expenditures are classified by functional classification, and the categorization is compatible with international standards.
   b. All expenditures are classified by functional classification, but the categorization is not compatible with international standards.
   c. Some, but not all, expenditures are classified by function.
   d. No expenditures classified by function are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
3. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by economic classification?

   a. All expenditures are classified by economic classification, and the categorization is compatible with international standards.
   b. All expenditures are classified by economic classification, but the categorization is not compatible with international standards.
   c. Some, but not all, expenditures are classified by economic classification.
   d. No expenditures classified by economic classification are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

   a. Program-level data are presented for all expenditures.
   b. Program-level data are presented for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.
   c. Program-level data are presented, but for less than two-thirds of expenditures.
   d. No program-level data are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
5. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, are estimates of the aggregate level of expenditure presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year)?

   a. Yes, multi-year estimates of aggregate expenditure are presented.
   b. No, multi-year estimates of aggregate expenditure are not presented.
   c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

6. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, is more detail than just the aggregate level presented for expenditure estimates that cover a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

   a. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented for all individual programs, and for one or more expenditure classification (such as functional, economic, or administrative).
   b. Yes, multi-year estimates are presented for one or more expenditure classification (such as functional, economic, or administrative), but only for some individual programs.
   c. Yes, multi-year estimates are presented, but only for a portion of one or more of the expenditure classifications (such as some functions, or some administrative units) and/or for only some individual programs.
   d. No, multi-year estimates are presented for aggregate expenditure only, or they are not presented at all.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
7. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify the different sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?

   a. All sources of tax revenue are identified individually.
   b. Sources of tax revenue amounting to at least two-thirds of, but not all, tax revenues are identified individually.
   c. Sources of tax revenue amounting to less than two-thirds of all tax revenues are identified individually.
   d. No sources of tax revenue are identified individually.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

8. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify the different sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of goods and services) for the budget year?

   a. All sources of non-tax revenue are identified individually.
   b. Sources of non-tax revenue amounting to at least two-thirds of, but not all, non-tax revenues are identified individually.
   c. Sources of non-tax revenues amounting to less than two-thirds of all non-tax revenue are identified individually.
   d. No sources of non-tax revenues are identified individually.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
9. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, are estimates of the aggregate level of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year)?

   a. Yes, multi-year estimates of aggregate revenue are presented.
   b. No, multi-year estimates of aggregate revenue are not presented.
   c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

10. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, there is more detail than just the aggregate level presented for revenue estimates that cover a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

   a. Yes, multi-year revenue estimates are presented for individual sources of revenue, and by revenue classification (such as tax and non-tax).
   b. Yes, multi-year estimates are presented by revenue classification (such as tax and non-tax), but only for some individual sources of revenue.
   c. Yes, multi-year estimates are presented, but only for some individual sources of revenue.
   d. No, multi-year estimates are presented for aggregate revenue only, or they are not presented at all.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
11. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present data on the total government debt outstanding for the budget year?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, the data reflect the outstanding debt both at the start of the budget year and at the end of the budget year (and thereby reflect the net borrowing requirement of the budget).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, the data reflect the outstanding debt at the end of the budget year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, the data reflect the outstanding debt at the start of the budget year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, data on the outstanding debt are not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

12. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, interest payments on the debt are presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>No, interest payments on the debt are not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
13. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of government debt (such as interest rates on the debt, maturity profile of the debt, currency denomination of the debt, or whether it is domestic and external debt) for the budget year?

   a. Yes, extensive information related to the composition of government debt is presented.
   b. Yes, key additional information is presented, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some additional information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, additional information related to the composition of government debt is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: 

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14.</th>
<th>Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, an extensive discussion of the macroeconomic forecast is presented, and key assumptions (such as inflation, real GDP growth, unemployment rate, and interest rates) are stated explicitly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, the macroeconomic forecast is discussed and most of the key assumptions are stated explicitly, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, there is some discussion of the macroeconomic forecast (and/or the presentation of key assumptions), but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, information related to the macroeconomic forecast is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: 

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: |
15. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget (including expenditures, revenues, and debt)?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, extensive information on the impact of macroeconomic assumptions on the budget is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, information is presented, highlighting the impact of the major macroeconomic assumptions, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, information on the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions on the budget is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

16. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how policy proposals in the budget, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, extensive information on the impact of policy proposals on expenditures is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, information is presented, highlighting the impact of key policy proposals on expenditures, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, information on the impact of policy proposals on expenditures is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
17. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how policy proposals in the budget, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

   a. Yes, extensive information on the impact of policy proposals on revenues is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the impact of key policy proposals on revenues, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on the impact of policy proposals on revenues is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

Estimates for Years Prior to the Budget Year

18. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

   a. All expenditures are classified by administrative unit for BY-1.
   b. Expenditures are classified by administrative unit for BY-1, but some small units are not shown separately.
   c. Expenditures are classified by administrative unit for BY-1, but a significant number of units are not shown separately.
   d. No expenditures classified by administrative unit are presented for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
19. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) that are classified by functional classification?

   a. All expenditures are classified by functional classification for BY-1, and the categorization is compatible with international standards.
   b. All expenditures are classified by functional classification for BY-1, but the categorization is not compatible with international standards.
   c. Some, but not all, expenditures are classified by function for BY-1.
   d. No expenditures classified by function are presented for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

20. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) that are classified by economic classification?

   a. All expenditures are classified by economic classification for BY-1, and the categorization is compatible with international standards.
   b. All expenditures are classified by economic classification for BY-1, but the categorization is not compatible with international standards.
   c. Some, but not all, expenditures are classified by economic classification for BY-1.
   d. No expenditures classified by economic classification are presented for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
21. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. Program-level expenditure data are presented for all expenditures for BY-1.
   b. Program-level expenditure data are presented for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures for BY-1.
   c. Program-level expenditure data are presented, but for less than two-thirds of expenditures for BY-1.
   d. No program-level expenditure data are presented for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not made public.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

22. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, how many months of actual data are reflected in the expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. All BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect six or more months of actual expenditure.
   b. All BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original enacted levels, but reflect less than six months of actual expenditure.
   c. Some BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditure.
   d. The BY-1 estimates have not been updated from the original enacted levels, or no BY-1 estimates are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
23. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, are estimates of the aggregate level of expenditure presented for years that precede the budget year by more than one year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

   a. Yes, such prior-year estimates of aggregate expenditure are presented.
   b. No, such prior-year estimates of aggregate expenditure are not presented.
   c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

24. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, is more detail than just the aggregate level presented for expenditure estimates that cover years preceding the budget year by more than one year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

   a. Yes, such prior-year expenditure estimates are presented for all individual programs, and for one or more expenditure classification (such as functional, economic, or administrative).
   b. Yes, such prior-year estimates are presented for one or more expenditure classification (such as functional, economic, or administrative), but only for some individual programs.
   c. Yes, such prior-year estimates are presented, but only for a portion of one or more of the expenditure classifications (such as some functions, or some administrative units) and/or for only some individual programs.
   d. No, such prior-year estimates are presented for aggregate expenditure only, or they are not presented at all.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
25. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?
   a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).
   b. Three years prior to the budget year (BY-3).
   c. Before BY-3.
   d. No actual data for all expenditures are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

26. Are the expenditure estimates for the years prior to the budget year adjusted, as needed, to be comparable with the budget-year estimates in terms of classification and presentation?
   a. Yes, prior-year data are always adjusted to be comparable to the budget year data.
   b. Yes, in most cases, prior-year data are adjusted to be comparable.
   c. Yes, in some cases, prior-year data are adjusted to be comparable, but significant deviations between prior-year and budget-year classifications exist.
   d. No, prior-year data are not adjusted to be comparable, or no prior-year estimates are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
27. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify the different sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. All tax revenues are identified individually for BY-1.
   b. Tax revenues amounting to at least two-thirds of, but not all, tax revenue for BY-1 are identified individually.
   c. Tax revenues amounting to less than two-thirds of all tax revenues for BY-1 are identified individually.
   d. No tax revenues are identified individually for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

28. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify the different sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of goods and services) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. All non-tax revenues are identified individually for BY-1.
   b. Non-tax revenues amounting to at least two-thirds of, but not all, non-tax revenue for BY-1 are identified individually.
   c. Non-tax revenues amounting to less than two-thirds of all non-tax revenues for BY-1 are identified individually.
   d. No non-tax revenues are identified individually for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **29.** In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, how many months of actual data are reflected in the revenues estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1)? | a. All BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original estimates to reflect six or more months of actual revenue collections.  
 b. All BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original estimates, but reflect less than six months of actual revenue collections.  
 c. Some BY-1 estimates have not been updated from the original estimates to reflect actual revenue collections.  
 d. The BY-1 estimates have not been updated from the original estimates or no BY-1 estimates are presented.  
 e. Not applicable/other (please comment). |
| **Citation and/or comment:** The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.” |
| **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** |  |
| **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:** |  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **30.** In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, are estimates of the aggregate level of revenues presented for years that precede the budget year by more than one year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)? | a. Yes, such prior-year estimates of aggregate revenue are presented.  
 b. No, such prior-year estimates of aggregate revenue are not presented.  
 c. Not applicable/other (please comment). |
| **Citation and/or comment:** The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.” |
| **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** |  |
| **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:** |  |
31. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, is more detail than just the aggregate level presented for revenue estimates that cover years preceding the budget year by more than one year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

   a. Yes, such prior-year revenue estimates are presented for individual sources of revenue, and by revenue classification (such as tax and non-tax).
   b. Yes, such prior-year estimates are presented by revenue classification (such as tax and non-tax), but only for some individual sources of revenue.
   c. Yes, such prior-year estimates are presented, but only for some individual sources of revenue.
   d. No, such prior-year estimates are presented for aggregate revenue only, or they are not presented at all.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Three years prior to the budget year (BY-3).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Before BY-3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No actual data for all revenues are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “a.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

25
33. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the government debt for the year preceding the budget year?

   a. Yes, extensive information is presented on the level and composition of government debt for the year preceding the budget year.
   b. Yes, information on the level of debt is presented, but some important details about the composition of the debt are excluded.
   c. Yes, but only information on the level of debt is presented.
   d. No, information related to the government debt for BY-1 is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

34. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

   a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).
   b. Three years prior to the budget year (BY-3).
   c. Before BY-3.
   d. No actual data for government debt are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comprehensiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on extra-budgetary funds?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on extra-budgetary funds is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key extra-budgetary funds, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, information on extra-budgetary funds is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

| Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: |
| Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: |

| 36. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on intergovernmental transfers? |
| a. Yes, extensive information on intergovernmental transfers is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates. |
| b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key intergovernmental transfers, but some details are excluded. |
| c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details. |
| d. No, information on intergovernmental transfers is not presented. |
| e. Not applicable/other (please comment). |

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

| Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: |
| Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: |
37.  Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on transfers to public corporations?

   a.  Yes, extensive information on transfers to public corporations is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b.  Yes, information is presented, highlighting key transfers to public corporations, but some details are excluded.
   c.  Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d.  No, information on transfers to public corporations is not presented.
   e.  Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

38.  Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on quasi-fiscal activities?

   a.  Yes, extensive information on quasi-fiscal activities is presented, including both a narrative discussion and, where possible, quantitative estimates.
   b.  Yes, information is presented, highlighting key quasi-fiscal activities, but some details are excluded.
   c.  Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d.  No, information on quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.
   e.  Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
39. Does the executive's budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government?

   a. Yes, extensive information on financial assets is presented, including a listing of the assets, a discussion of their purpose, and an estimate of their market value.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key information, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on financial assets is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

40. Does the executive's budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on non-financial assets held by the government?

   a. Yes, extensive information on non-financial assets is presented, including a listing of the assets, a discussion of their purpose, and (where possible) an estimate of their market value.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key information, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on non-financial assets is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
41. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on expenditure arrears?

   a. Yes, extensive information on expenditure arrears is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key expenditure arrears, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on expenditure arrears is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

42. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities (such as government loan guarantees)?

   a. Yes, extensive information on contingent liabilities is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key contingent liabilities, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on contingent liabilities is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>43. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on future liabilities, such as civil service pensions?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on future liabilities is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key future liabilities, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, information on future liabilities is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Citation and/or comment:* The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>44. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation provide details on the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. All sources of donor assistance are identified individually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. At least two-thirds of, but not all, sources of donor assistance, are identified individually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Less than two-thirds of sources of donor assistance are identified individually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No sources of donor assistance are identified individually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Citation and/or comment:* The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
45. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on tax expenditures?

   a. Yes, extensive information on tax expenditures is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key tax expenditures, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on tax expenditures is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

46. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify all earmarked revenues?

   a. All earmarked revenues are identified individually.
   b. At least two-thirds of, but not all, earmarked revenues are identified individually.
   c. Less than two-thirds of earmarked revenues are identified individually.
   d. No earmarked revenues are identified individually.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would remain marked as “d.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
47. What percentage of expenditure in the budget year is dedicated to spending on secret items relating to, for instance, national security and military intelligence?

a. One percent or less of expenditure is dedicated to secret items.
b. Three percent or less, but more than one percent, of expenditure is dedicated to secret items.
c. Eight percent or less, but more than three percent, of expenditure is dedicated to secret items.
d. More than eight percent of expenditure is dedicated to secret items, or the percentage is not available to the public.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
### The Budget Narrative & Performance Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>48. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation explain how the proposed budget is linked to government’s stated policy goals, by administrative unit (or functional category), for the budget year?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals, by administrative unit (or functional category) is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the link between major policy goals and the budget, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>49. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation explain how the proposed budget is linked to government’s stated policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals over a multi-year period is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the major policy goals, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals over a multi-year period is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
50. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present non-financial data, such as the number of beneficiaries, for expenditure programs?

   a. Non-financial data are presented for all programs.
   b. Non-financial data are presented for programs representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.
   c. Non-financial data are presented for programs representing less than two-thirds of expenditure.
   d. No non-financial data are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51. Are the non-financial data presented useful for assessing how an expenditure program is performing?

   a. The non-financial data are very useful for assessing program performance.
   b. The non-financial data are mostly useful for assessing program performance.
   c. The non-financial data are somewhat useful for assessing program performance.
   d. No non-financial data are provided or they are not useful for assessing program performance.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
52. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation contain performance indicators for expenditure programs?
   a. Performance indicators are presented for all programs.
   b. Performance indicators are presented for programs representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.
   c. Performance indicators are presented for programs representing less than two-thirds of expenditures.
   d. No performance indicators are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

53. Are the performance indicators sufficiently well designed, such that one can assess whether there has been progress toward meeting policy goals?
   a. All performance indicators are well designed.
   b. Most performance indicators are well designed.
   c. Some performance indicators are well designed, but most are not.
   d. No programs have performance indicators, or they are not well designed.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>54.</th>
<th>Are performance indicators used in conjunction with performance targets presented in the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>All performance indicators are used in conjunction with performance targets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Most performance indicators are used in conjunction with performance targets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Some performance indicators are used in conjunction with performance targets, but most are not.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No performance indicators are used in conjunction with performance targets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “c.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>55.</th>
<th>Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on policies (both proposals and existing commitments) in at least the budget year that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, extensive information on policies intended to alleviate poverty is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, information is presented, highlighting the impact of key policies intended to alleviate poverty, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, information on policies intended to alleviate poverty is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The executive’s budget proposal for 2005 was not available to the public. If the budget documents were made public, the response would have been marked as “b.”

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
### Additional Key Information for Budget Analysis & Monitoring

Please note that the questions in this section ask about information that is generally available to the public. The information need not be included in the executive’s budget proposal or supporting documents, but may be found in other documents issued by the executive.

56. Does the executive make available to the public a description of the tax rate or fee schedule for all revenue sources?

- a. Yes, extensive information on tax rates and fee schedules is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
- b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the rates and fees for major revenue sources, but some details are excluded.
- c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
- d. No, information is not presented.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Citation and/or comment:**

**The researcher’s response to this question was “b”:** Tax rates and fee schedules are available at the website of the General Administration of National Taxation ([www.mta.mn](http://www.mta.mn)), but the links to different revenue sources are not explicitly described.


**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:** A more appropriate response to this question would be “c.”

**IBP Comment:** IBP editors chose answer “b” to maintain the consistency of the assumptions used in selecting answers across the countries.
57. Does the executive make available to the public an analysis of the distribution of the tax burden?

   a. Yes, a detailed analysis of the distribution of the tax burden is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an analysis is presented, highlighting key aspects of the distribution, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some analysis is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No analysis on the distribution of the tax burden is presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Tax burden distribution analysis is made only for internal purposes only (from an interview with a Ministry of Finance official).

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

58. Does the executive make available to the public an explanation of the conditions associated with assistance from international financial institutions (IFI)?

   a. Yes, extensive information on conditions associated with IFI assistance is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on conditions associated with IFI assistance is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Some information on this issue is provided through the media.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
59. Does the executive make available to the public an explanation of the conditions associated with assistance from donor countries?

   a. Yes, extensive information on conditions associated with donor country assistance is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on conditions associated with donor country assistance is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** Some information on this issue is provided through the media.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

60. Does the executive make available to the public a summary that describes the budget and its proposals?

   a. Yes, it provides a summary that is very informative.
   b. Yes, it provides a summary that is somewhat informative.
   c. Yes, but the summary is not very informative.
   d. No, it does not provide a summary.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** Daily newspapers and TV news coverage provide short summaries of the executive’s budget proposal, but the main summary issued with the proposal is not provided to the public.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
61. Does the executive publish a “citizens budget” or some non-technical presentation intended for a wide audience that describes the budget and its proposals?

- a. Yes, it publishes a citizens budget that is very informative.
- b. Yes, it publishes a citizens budget that is somewhat informative.
- c. Yes, but the citizens budget is not very informative.
- d. No, it does not publish a citizens budget.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: From an interview with Ministry of Finance official; no citizens budget is produced by the executive. Although, some budget guides are produced by NGOs such as the Open Society Forum and other donor funded projects.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

62. Does the executive make available to the public non-technical definitions of terms used in the budget and other budget-related documents (for instance, in a glossary)?

- a. Yes, thorough definitions of budget terms are provided.
- b. Yes, definitions are provided, but some details are excluded.
- c. Yes, some definitions are provided, but it lacks important details.
- d. No, definitions are not provided.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: From an interview with a Ministry of Finance official.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

63. Does the executive make available to the public a summary of the budget process?

- a. Yes, it includes a summary of the budget process that is very informative.
- b. Yes, it includes a summary of the budget process that is somewhat informative.
- c. Yes, but the summary of the budget process is not very informative.
- d. No, it does not include a summary of the budget process.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Citizens guides are produced by some NGOs and donor funded projects.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
64. Do citizens have the right in law to access government information, including budget information?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, the right has been codified into law, and citizens are generally able in practice to obtain government information, including budget information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, the right has been codified into law, but it is sometimes not possible for citizens in practice to obtain government information, including budget information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, the right has been codified into law, but it is frequently or always impossible in practice to obtain access to government information, including budget information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, the right to access government information has not been codified into law, or this right does not include access to budget information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment:

**The researcher’s response to this question was “c”:** The Constitution of Mongolia, Article 16, provides the right to access government information.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** Mongolia has a secrecy law dating from 1995 which is used to justify rather extensive secrecy, and a law on freedom of information which has been proposed has not yet been adopted. The Constitutional article is rather restrictive. From Article 16, paragraph 17: “The right to seek and receive information except that which the state and its bodies are legally bound to protect as secret. In order to protect human rights, dignity, and reputation of persons and to ensure national defense, security, and public order, the information which is not subject to disclosure must be classified and protected by law.”

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

**IBP Comment:** IBP editors chose answer “c” to maintain consistency with the guidance provided to researchers for correctly completing the questionnaire in the *Guide to the Open Budget Questionnaire*. The survey methodology adopted by IBP provides that a constitutional provision on access to information is sufficient for a marking of “c.”
65. Are citizens able in practice to obtain financial information on expenditures for individual programs in a format that is more highly disaggregated than that which appears in the executive’s budget proposal if they request it (for example, from a ministry or agency)?

   a. In practice, highly disaggregated information is available to the public for all programs except those considered related to national security or military intelligence.
   b. In practice, highly disaggregated information is available to the public for programs representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.
   c. In practice, highly disaggregated information is available to the public for programs representing less than two-thirds of expenditures.
   d. In practice, no highly disaggregated expenditure information is available.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: In practice, information on individual program expenditures is typically unavailable to the public, even though this information is produced by some agencies.

66. Are citizens able in practice to obtain non-financial information related to expenditures (for example, number of beneficiaries, number of persons employed by the program, etc.) for individual programs in a format that is more highly disaggregated than that which appears in the executive’s budget proposal if they request it from a ministry or agency?

   a. In practice, highly disaggregated non-financial information is available to the public for all programs except those considered related to national security or military intelligence.
   b. In practice, highly disaggregated non-financial information is available to the public for programs representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.
   c. In practice, highly disaggregated non-financial information is available to the public for programs representing less than two-thirds of expenditures.
   d. In practice, no highly disaggregated non-financial expenditure information is available.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: In practice, information on individual program expenditures is typically unavailable to the public, even though this information is produced by some agencies.
Section Three: The Budget Process
### Executive’s Formulation of the Budget

#### 67. How far in advance of the release of the budget is the day of its release known?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>The release date is set in permanent law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>The executive announces the release date at least two months in advance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>The executive announces the release date less than two months but more than</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>two weeks in advance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>The executive announces the release date two weeks or less before the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>release, or makes no announcement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** See the *Law on Public Sector Management and Financing*.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

#### 68. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for its budget preparation process?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, a detailed timetable is released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, a timetable is released, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, a timetable is released, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, a timetable is not issued to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** From an interview with Ministry of Finance official; some dates for the timetable are set in the *Law on Public Sector Management and Financing*. Details of the timetable in the *Public Sector Financing and Management Law* are as follows:

State organizations submit to the relevant ministers their strategic business plans by July 1st; Ministers submit to the Ministry of Finances the strategic business plans by August 1st; Ministry of Finance submits the portfolio appropriation estimates, based on the strategic business plans, to the Cabinet by September 15th; Cabinet submits to the Parliament the budget proposal by October 1st.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
69. Does the executive adhere to its timetable for the preparation and release of the budget?
   a. The executive adheres to the dates in its timetable.
   b. The executive adheres to most of the key dates in its timetable.
   c. The executive has difficulty adhering to most of the dates in its timetable.
   d. The executive does not adhere to the dates in its timetable, or it does not release to the public its timetable.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Some dates relating to the release of the Budget and Pre-Budget Statement are set into law and the executive has mostly adhered to these dates. For example, the Pre-Budget Report for 2006 was submitted to the legislature 10 days later than the date stated in the law, which is May 1st. Similarly, the Budget Proposal for 2005 was submitted late due to elections that resulted in a split of power and subsequent delay in establishing a coalition government.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

70. Does the executive hold consultations with members of the legislature as part of its process of determining budget priorities?
   a. Yes, the executive holds extensive consultations with a wide range of legislators.
   b. Yes, the executive holds consultations with a range of legislators, but some key members are excluded.
   c. Yes, the executive holds very limited consultations, involving only a few members of the legislature.
   d. No, the executive does not typically consult with members of the legislature as part of the budget preparation process.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The question was marked “a” because the new government is constituted from a grand coalition consisting of representatives of two major parties. This has ensured that the budget preparation process is open to the legislature, as both parties have appointees in the Ministry of Finance.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: The grand coalition fell apart in January 2006. Therefore, with respect to the 2007 Budget, the answer to this question may change; however, it is correct with regard to the time period specified.

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>71. Does the executive hold consultations with the public as part of its process of determining budget priorities?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, the executive holds extensive consultations with a wide range of constituencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, the executive holds consultations with a range of constituencies, but some key constituencies are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, the executive holds very limited consultations, involving only a few constituencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, the executive does not typically consult with the public as part of the budget preparation process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment:

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

| d |
72. When does the executive release a pre-budget statement to the public?

   a. The executive releases a pre-budget statement at least four months in advance of the start of the budget year.
   b. The executive releases a pre-budget statement at least two months (but less than four months) in advance of the start of the budget year.
   c. The executive releases a pre-budget statement, but it is released less than two months before the start of the budget year.
   d. The executive does not release a pre-budget statement.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment:

The researcher’s response to this question was “a”: The executive does release the Pre-Budget Statement to the public, but only on request. In 2005, the Pre-Budget Statement was available at: www.forum.mn. The legislature releases the Pre-Budget Statement after reviewing and approving the executive’s Budget Proposal. See the: Law on Public Sector Management and Financing, available at www.parl.gov.mn.

Researcher Response to Peer Reviewer: The Pre-Budget Statement for 2005 was available to any member of the public on request (electronically by email, as well as in limited numbers in print). We in fact requested and got (both in print and electronically) the full version of the executive’s Statement, and posted it on our website.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: The Fiscal Framework Statement is submitted to parliament by May 1 (PSMFL, Article 25). The PSMFL does not specify whether it is to be made public; but documents submitted to the legislature usually are accessible on request. Given the unclear legal situation, actual practice appears to vary depending on the government of the day.

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

IBP Comment: IBP editors chose answer “a” to maintain consistency with the guidance provided to researchers for correctly completing the questionnaire in the IBP Guide to the Open Budget Questionnaire.
73. Does the pre-budget statement describe the government’s macroeconomic and fiscal framework?

a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the government’s fiscal and macroeconomic policy is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.

b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key aspects of the fiscal and macroeconomic framework, but some details are excluded.

c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.

d. No, an explanation is not presented, or the executive does not release to the public a pre-budget statement.

e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment:

The researcher’s response to this question was “a”. This information is available at: www.forum.mn.

Researcher Response to Peer Reviewer: The Pre Budget Statement for 2005 was available to any member of the public on request (electronically by email, as well as in limited numbers in print). We in fact requested and got (both in print and electronically) the full version of the executive’s Statement, and posted it on our website.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: No Pre-Budget Statement is available from the MinFin’s (Ministry of Finance) website. A fiscal framework statement is to be submitted to parliament by May 1 according to the PSMFL, so one would expect the document to be available to the public on request. However, I cannot fully judge whether such a statement would be routinely available to Mongolian citizens.

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

IBP Comment: IBP editors chose answer “a” to maintain consistency with the guidance provided to researchers for correctly completing the questionnaire in the IBP Guide to the Open Budget Questionnaire.
74. Does the pre-budget statement describe the government’s policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

- a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the government’s budget policies and priorities is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
- b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key aspects of the government’s budget policies and priorities, but some details are excluded.
- c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
- d. No, an explanation is not presented, or the executive does not release to the public a pre-budget statement.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The Law on Public Sector Management and Financing specifies the level of detail the executive shall provide; refer to: [www.forum.mn](http://www.forum.mn). The main omission in the Pre Budget Statement is the inadequate clarity in reading the linkage between the stated priorities and budget estimates, according to several specialists that we interviewed. For example, the macroeconomic framework is discussed in detail, but it is unclear how budget estimates are linked to this framework analysis.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** The PSMFL sets broad guidelines, which seem adequate for this level of legal regulation.

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

### Legislative Approval of the Budget

75. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the budget?

- a. The legislature receives the budget at least three months before the start of the budget year.
- b. The legislature receives the budget at least six weeks, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year.
- c. The legislature receives the budget less than six weeks before the start of the budget year.
- d. The legislature does not receive the budget before the start of the budget year.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: It is before October 1st, according to the Law on Public Sector Management and Financing. However, the budget was submitted to the Parliament the first week of November 2004. The information on this is on the Open Society Forum website on November 12, 2004: [http://www.forum.mn/index.php?coid=655&cid=225](http://www.forum.mn/index.php?coid=655&cid=225).

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
76. Does a legislative committee (or committees) hold public hearings on the macroeconomic and fiscal framework presented in the budget in which testimony from the executive branch and the public is heard?

| a. | Yes, public hearings are held on the macroeconomic and fiscal framework in which testimony is heard from the executive branch and a wide range of constituencies. |
| b. | Yes, hearings are held in which testimony is heard from the executive branch and some constituencies. |
| c. | Yes, hearings are held in which testimony from the executive branch is heard, but no testimony from the public is heard. |
| d. | No, public hearings are not held on the macroeconomic and fiscal framework in which testimony from the executive branch and the public is heard. |
| e. | Not applicable/other (please comment). |

Citation and/or comment: This year the legislature held some hearings on the budget that included “experts” such as researchers, some NGO representatives, and former politicians. However, the hearing was not open to the public (or advertised in the media) and attendance was permitted only to select invitees: Information obtained from an interview with a legislative staff member.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

77. Do legislative committees hold public hearings on the individual budgets of central government administrative units (that is, ministries, departments, and agencies) in which testimony from the executive branch is heard?

| a. | Yes, extensive public hearings are held on the budgets of administrative units in which testimony from the executive branch is heard. |
| b. | Yes, hearings are held, covering key administrative units, in which testimony from the executive branch is heard. |
| c. | Yes, a limited number of hearings are held in which testimony from the executive branch is heard. |
| d. | No, public hearings are not held on the budgets of administrative units in which testimony from the executive branch is heard. |
| e. | Not applicable/other (please comment). |

Citation and/or comment: Information obtained from an interview with a legislative staff member.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
78. Does a legislative committee (or committees) hold public hearings on the individual budgets of central government administrative units (that is, ministries, departments, and agencies) in which testimony from the public is heard?

a. Yes, extensive public hearings are held on the budgets of administrative units in which testimony from the public is heard.
b. Yes, hearings are held, covering key administrative units, in which testimony from the public is heard.
c. Yes, a limited number of hearings are held in which testimony from the public is heard.
d. No, public hearings are not held on the budgets of administrative units in which testimony from the public is heard.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Obtained from an interview with a legislative staff member.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

79. Do the legislative committees that hold public hearings release reports to the public on these hearings?

a. Yes, the committees release very informative reports, which include all written testimony presented at the hearings.
b. Yes, the committees release reports, but some details are excluded.
c. Yes, the committees release reports, but they are not very informative.
d. No, the committees do not release reports or do not hold public hearings.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Refer to: http://www.parl.gov.mn/home.php?loc=detail.php&val1=pid&val2=22; The legislature released reports that provide a brief description of the issues discussed and decisions made at hearings organized by the legislative committees. However, the hearings were not open to the public. Therefore, the reports released cannot be considered to be reports of public hearings.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
80. Does the executive present more details or provide a better explanation of any budget proposal, if members of the legislature (including from minority parties) request such information?

   a. The executive responds to such legislative requests, and it generally provides an appropriate and timely response.
   b. The executive responds to such legislative requests, but its responses are sometimes not appropriate or timely.
   c. The executive responds to such legislative requests, but its responses are typically not appropriate or timely.
   d. The executive responds selectively or ignores such legislative requests.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Information obtained from interviews with officials from the legislature and the Ministry of Finance.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

81. Is the legislature (or the appropriate legislative committee) given information for the budget year on the spending of secret items relating to, for instance, national security and military intelligence?

   a. Yes, the legislature is provided extensive information on all spending on secret items.
   b. Yes, the legislature is provided information on spending on secret items, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, the legislature is provided some information on spending on secret items, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, the legislature is provided no information on secret items.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: As per the State Secrecy Law, if the legislators want to learn about secret programs, they sign the confidentiality letter and can request any information on secret programs and national security.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** I would like to suggest rephrasing this question for future questionnaires. It would seem useful to distinguish between cases in which information is made available as part of a regular process or upon request, or not at all. The grading by the level of detail seems less pertinent and rather open to interpretation.

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q. 82. Does the legislature have authority to amend the budget presented by the executive?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, the legislature has unlimited authority to amend the budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, the legislature has authority to amend the budget, with some limitations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, the legislature has authority to amend the budget, but its authority is very limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** It is limited by Pre-Budget Statement parameters which provide for the maximum limits of budget revenues, expenditures, current balance, deficits, investments and budget employee wages share in GDP, as well as GDP and inflation targets.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q. 83. What is the most detail provided in the appropriation (expenditure budget) approved by the legislature?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The approved budget includes program-level detail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The approved budget includes departmental totals and some additional details (for instance, sub-department totals or major programs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The approved budget includes only departmental totals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The approved budget includes less information than departmental totals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
### Executive's Implementation of the Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 84. How often does the executive release to the public in-year reports on actual expenditure (organized by administrative unit, economic classification and/or function)? | a. In-year reports on actual expenditure are released at least every month.  
b. In-year reports on actual expenditure are released at least every quarter.  
c. In-year reports on actual expenditure are released at least semi-annually.  
d. In-year reports on actual expenditure are not released.  
e. Not applicable/other (please comment). | a         |

Citation and/or comment: Refer to the *Monthly Bulletin of Statistics*, issued by National Statistical Office (which is a branch of the legislature); for instance, see the *Bulletin* for June 2005, pg. 77-83.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 85. What share of expenditure is covered by in-year reports on actual expenditure (organized by administrative unit, economic classification and/or function)? | a. Yes, in-year reports cover all expenditures.  
b. Yes, in-year reports cover at least two-thirds, but not all, of expenditures.  
c. Yes, in-year report covers less than two-thirds of expenditures.  
d. No in-year reports are released to the public.  
e. Not applicable/other (please comment). | a         |

Citation and/or comment: Refer to the *Monthly Bulletin of Statistics*.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: But, an important constraint is that information is made public only at a highly aggregate level.

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 86. Do the in-year reports released to the public compare actual year-to-date expenditure with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year? | a. Yes, comparisons are made for all expenditures.  
b. Yes, comparisons are made for at least two-thirds, but not all, of expenditures.  
c. Yes, but comparisons are made for less than two-thirds of expenditures.  
d. No, comparisons are not made, or no in-year reports are released to the public.  
e. Not applicable/other (please comment). | a         |

Citation and/or comment: Refer to the *Monthly Bulletin of Statistics*.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
87. How often does the executive release to the public in-year reports on actual revenue collections by source of revenue?

   a. In-year reports on actual revenue collections by source of revenue are released at least every month.
   b. In-year reports on actual revenue collections are released at least every quarter.
   c. In-year reports on actual revenue collections are released at least semi-annually.
   d. In-year reports on actual revenue collections by source of revenue are not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Refer to the Monthly Bulletin of Statistics.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

88. What share of revenue is covered by the in-year reports on actual revenue collections?

   a. In-year reports cover the actual revenue collections of all sources of revenue.
   b. In-year reports cover the actual revenue collections of at least two-thirds of, but not all, sources of revenue.
   c. In-year reports cover actual revenue collections of less than two-thirds of revenue sources.
   d. In-year reports on actual revenue collections are not released to the public.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Refer to the Monthly Bulletin of Statistics.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
89. Do the in-year reports released to the public compare actual year-to-date revenue collections with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

   a. Yes, comparisons are made for all revenue sources.
   b. Yes, comparisons are made for at least two-thirds of, but not all, revenue sources.
   c. Yes, but comparisons are made for less than two-thirds of revenue sources.
   d. No, comparisons are not made, or no in-year reports are released to the public.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Refer to the *Monthly Bulletin of Statistics*.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

90. Does the executive release to the public in-year reports on actual borrowing?

   a. Yes, in-year reports on actual borrowing are released at least every month.
   b. Yes, in-year reports on actual borrowing are released at least every quarter.
   c. Yes, in-year reports on actual borrowing are released at least semi-annually.
   d. No, in-year reports on actual borrowing are not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Refer to the *Monthly Bulletin of Statistics*.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
91. Do in-year reports released to the public on actual borrowing present information related to the composition of government debt (such as interest rates on the debt, maturity profile of the debt, and currency denomination of the debt) for the budget year?

a. Yes, extensive information related to the composition of government debt is presented.
b. Yes, key additional information is presented, but some details are excluded.
c. Yes, some additional information is presented, but it lacks important details.
d. No, additional information related to the composition of government debt is not presented, or such in-year reports on borrowing are not released.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Refer to the Monthly Bulletin of Statistics; some information on types of government debt, such as long term bonds, financial and project loans is presented. However, no information on interest paid, exact maturity profile and currency denomination is available in the Bulletin.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

92. For in-year reports on actual expenditure released to the public by the executive, how much time typically elapses between the end of the reporting period and when the report is released (e.g., are quarterly reports released less than 4 weeks after the end of the quarter)?

a. Reports are released 1 month or less after the end of the period.
b. Reports are released 2 months or less (but more than 1 month) after the end of the period.
c. Reports are released more than 2 months after the end of the period.
d. In-year reports are not released.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The Monthly Bulletin of Statistics is released by the 8th of the next month.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
93. Does the executive release to the public a mid-year review of the budget that discusses the changes in economic outlook since the budget was enacted?

a. Yes, the mid-year review includes an extensive discussion of the economy that includes a revised forecast for the full fiscal year and its effects on the budget.
b. Yes, the mid-year review includes a discussion of the economy, but it lacks some details.
c. Yes, the mid-year review includes a discussion of the economy, but it lacks important details.
d. No, the mid-year review does not include a discussion of the economy, or the executive does not release a mid-year review of the budget.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: This is produced only for internal purposes.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

94. Does the executive release to the public a mid-year review of the budget that includes updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?

a. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated expenditure estimates for the full fiscal year, providing extensive information on why estimates have changed from the original enacted levels.
b. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated estimates of expenditure, but some details on why estimates have changed are not provided.
c. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated estimates of expenditure, but important details on why estimates have changed are not provided.
d. No, the mid-year review does not include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year, or the executive does not release a mid-year review of the budget.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: This is produced only for internal purposes.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
95. What is the most detail provided in the mid-year review for expenditures?
   a. The mid-year review includes program-level detail for expenditures.
   b. The mid-year review includes departmental totals (or functional totals) and some additional details (for instance, sub-department totals or major programs).
   c. The mid-year review includes only departmental totals (or functional totals).
   d. The mid-year review includes less information than departmental totals (or functional totals) for expenditures, or the executive does not release a mid-year review of the budget.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: This is produced only for internal purposes.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

96. Does the executive release to the public a mid-year review of the budget that includes updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?
   a. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated revenue estimates for the full fiscal year, providing extensive information on why estimates have changed from the original enacted levels.
   b. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated estimates of revenue, but some details on why estimates have changed are not provided.
   c. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated estimates of revenue, but important details on why estimates have changed are not provided.
   d. No, the mid-year review does not include updated revenue estimates for the budget year, or the executive does not release a mid-year review of the budget.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: This is produced only for internal purposes.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
97. Does the executive seek input from the legislature when the executive shifts funds between administrative units (except when the amounts are below a certain level, as specified in law or regulation)?

   a. Yes, the executive seeks approval from the legislature before shifting funds between administrative units.
   b. Yes, the executive advises the legislature before shifting funds, giving the legislature an opportunity to block or modify the proposed adjustment.
   c. Yes, the executive seeks legislative approval, but only after the shift of funds has been implemented.
   d. No, the executive shifts funds between administrative units without seeking input from the legislature.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment:

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

98. During the past year, have there been credible reported instances in which the procurement of goods and services has not followed an open and competitive process in practice?

   a. There were no credible reported instances of procurement failing to follow an open and competitive process in practice during the past year.
   b. The procurement of goods and services frequently followed an open and competitive process in practice, but there were some reported instances of irregularities.
   c. The procurement of goods and service followed an open and competitive process in many instances, but there were significant reported examples of irregularities.
   d. No, the procurement process was not open and competitive in practice.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: For example, refer to Suhbaatar Square Improvement Works and the School Textbook Publication Tender.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** Problems with procurement procedures have been reported by donors (WB CPAR). Efforts to improve the system are underway (including substantial revisions of the procurement law discussed in 2005); but their impact is not yet clear.

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
99. When does the legislature typically approve supplemental budgets?

- **a.** Supplemental budgets are approved before the funds are expended, or the executive rarely proposes a supplemental budget (please specify).
- **b.** Supplemental budgets are approved after the funds are expended, or the executive implements supplemental budgets without ever receiving approval from the legislature (please specify).
- **c.** Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Citation and/or comment:**

**The researcher’s response to this question was “a”:** Supplemental budgets are approved before the funds are expended.

**Researcher Response to Peer Reviewer:** The *Law on General Budget* in several places implicitly gives authority to spend only approved budget to the government. For instance, Article 5.1 on the definition of budget governors says “administering and spending budget funds approved by the parliament and respective local councils”; 6.1.9 on the functions of the Ministry of Finance “to allocate the approved budgets to state budget organizations” etc. In practice, based on the interview of relevant Ministry of Finance authorities, the non-approved budget spending is not allowed and is considered illegal.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** The PSMFL does not contain clear regulation in this regard. Article 9.1 authorizes the Ministry of Finance to prepare “the Government’s proposal on supplementary State Budget, and be responsible for the accuracy of information and calculations;” the law makes no reference to the role of parliament in this regard; however, the logic of Mongolia’s NPM inspired system centers control around outputs and outcomes, rather than ex-ante forms of control.

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

**IBP Comment:** IBP editors chose answer “a” to maintain the consistency of the assumptions used in selecting answers across the countries.
100. In most years, how large are supplemental budget requests relative to the size of the original budget?

a. Supplemental budgets are generally equal to 2 percent or less of the original budget.
b. Supplemental budgets are generally equal to more than 2 percent, but less than 5 percent, of the original budget.
c. Supplemental budget are generally equal to more than 5 percent, but less than 10 percent, of the original budget.
d. Supplemental budget are generally equal to 10 percent or more of the original budget, or insufficient information is available to the public regarding the amount of supplemental budgets.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Supplemental budgets were 5.2% of the total budget in 2001, 2.5% in 2002, and 2004 in 9.5%

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

101. When does the legislature approve the expenditure of contingency funds or other funds for which no specific purpose was identified in the budget?

a. Expenditures are approved before the funds are expended, or contingency funds or other funds with no specific purposes are not included in the budget.
b. Expenditures are approved after the funds are expended, but before the end of the fiscal year.
c. Expenditures are approved after the end of the fiscal year, for example in the next budget.
d. Such expenditure takes place without legislative approval.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Contingency funds or other funds with no specific purposes have to be approved before the funds are expended. The Law on Budget, Article 3.2.1, states that the contingency funds shall be part of the budget. Article 5 of the same law provides for the authority of the budget governors in respect to the budget. They are authorized to spend the budget as approved by the legislature.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
**Executive’s Year-End Report and the Supreme Audit Institution**

102. How long after the end of the budget year does the executive release to the public a year-end report or another document that discusses the budget’s actual outcome for the year?

   a. Reports are released six months or less after the end of the fiscal year.
   b. Reports are released 12 months or less (but more than six months) after the end of the fiscal year.
   c. Reports are released more than 12 months after the end of the fiscal year.
   d. The executive does not release a year-end report.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).


**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

103. In the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), have the data on the actual outcomes been audited?

   a. Yes, all data on actual outcomes have been audited.
   b. At least two-thirds, but not all, of the data on actual outcomes have been audited.
   c. Less than two-thirds of the data on actual outcomes have been audited.
   d. None of the data on actual outcomes has been audited, or a year-end report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** The auditing is done after the year-end report is released.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
104. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: A narrative is not presented in the year-end report by the executive and only quantitative estimates are presented.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

   d

105. What level of detail is the focus of the explanation of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for expenditures presented in the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below)?

   a. The explanation of the differences between the enacted expenditure levels and the actual outcome focuses on the program level.
   b. The explanation focuses on departmental totals (or functional totals) or some lower level of detail (but not the program level in all cases).
   c. The explanation focuses on a higher level of aggregation than departmental totals (or functional totals).
   d. No explanation of the differences is provided, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The report provides quantitative information on the differences but does not provide any explanation for these differences.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
106. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

   - Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   - Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   - Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   - No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   - Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment:

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

107. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

   - Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   - Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   - Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   - No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   - Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: No explanation is presented and the only information provided is on the quantitative differences.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
108. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the original estimates of non-financial data and the actual outcome?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment:

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108.</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

109. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the original performance indicators and the actual outcome?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment:

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109.</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
110. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the enacted level of funds intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment:

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

111. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), present the actual outcome for extra-budgetary funds?

   a. Yes, extensive information on the actual outcome for extra-budgetary funds is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key issues, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information is not presented on extra-budgetary funds, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment:

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
112. How long after the end of the fiscal year are the final expenditures of national departments audited and (except for secret programs) released to the public?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Final audited accounts are released to the public six months or less after the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Final audited accounts are released 12 months or less (but more than six months) after the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Final audit accounts are released more than 12 months, but within 24 months of the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Final audited accounts are not completed within 24 months after the end of the fiscal year or they are not released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** According to the *Law on Public Sector Management and Financing*; see: [http://www.mnao.pmis.gov.mn/welcome/repsubs/functions/browsereports.jsp?pager.offset=12#](http://www.mnao.pmis.gov.mn/welcome/repsubs/functions/browsereports.jsp?pager.offset=12#)

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

113. Two years after the completion of a fiscal year, what percentage of expenditure has been audited and (except for secret programs) the reports released to the public?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>All expenditures have been audited and the reports released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Expenditures representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures have been audited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Expenditures representing less than two-thirds of expenditures have been audited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No expenditures have been audited, or the reports have not been released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** Refer to the State Audit Office website at: [http://www.mnao.pmis.gov.mn/welcome/repsubs/functions/browsereports.jsp?pager.offset=12#](http://www.mnao.pmis.gov.mn/welcome/repsubs/functions/browsereports.jsp?pager.offset=12#)

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
114. Do year-end audit reports of departmental expenditure that are released to the public include an executive summary?

   a. All of these audit reports include an executive summary.
   b. Most of these reports include an executive summary.
   c. Some of these reports include an executive summary.
   d. None of these audit reports include an executive summary, or such reports are not released to the public.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Executive summaries are a part of the report, available at: http://www.mnao.pmis.gov.mn/welcome/repsubs/functions/browsereports.jsp?pager.offset=12#

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

115. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?

   a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before they are removed.
   b. No, the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.
   c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: As per the law on state audit.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
116. Does the Supreme Audit Institution release to the public audits of extra-budgetary funds?

a. Yes, the SAI releases to the public audits of all extra-budgetary funds.
b. Yes, the SAI releases audits representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, extra-budgetary funds.
c. Yes, the SAI releases audits representing less than two-thirds of all extra-budgetary funds.
d. No, the SAI does not release to the public audits of extra-budgetary funds, or it does not audit such funds.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment:

The researcher’s response to this question was “b”: Available at: http://www.mnao.pmis.gov.mn/welcome/httpdownloads/nao_1_2005_10ga.pdf

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: The answer “b” appears reasonable. Legally, the remit of the Audit Office covers all state activities, regardless of funding, and hence including extra-budgetary funds (Law on the MNAO from 2003).

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: A more appropriate response to this question would be “c.”

IBP Comment: IBP editors chose answer “b” to maintain the consistency of the assumptions used in selecting answers across the countries.

117. Beyond the established year-end attestation audits, does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?

a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.
b. The SAI has significant discretion, but faces some limitations.
c. The SAI has some discretion, but faces considerable limitations.
d. The SAI has no discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: As per the law on state audit.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
118. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. The budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. The budget of the SAI is determined by the executive, and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. The budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. The budget of the SAI is determined by the executive, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** As per the law on state audit.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

119. Does a committee of the legislature view and scrutinize the audit reports?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Yes, all audit reports are scrutinized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Yes, most audit reports are scrutinized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Yes, some audit reports are scrutinized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. No, audit reports are not scrutinized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** Obtained from an interview with the Audit Office staff.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

120. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Yes, the executive reports publicly on what steps it has taken to address audit findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Yes, the executive reports publicly on most audit findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Yes, the executive reports publicly on some audit findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** Obtained from an interview with Audit Office staff.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
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121. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution or the legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?

a. Yes, a comprehensive report is released regularly that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations.

b. Yes, a report is released, covering key audit recommendations, but some details are excluded.

c. Yes, a report is released, but it lacks important details.

d. No, a report is not produced or it is prepared for internal purposes only (please specify).

e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment:

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
122. Are audit reports related to national security and other secret programs provided to the legislature (or relevant committee)?

   a. Yes, legislators are provided with detailed audit reports related to national security and other secret programs.
   b. Yes, legislators are provided audit reports on secret items, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, legislators are provided audit reports on secret items, but they lack important details.
   d. No, legislators are not provided audit reports on secret items, or secret programs are not audited (please specify).
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment:

The researcher’s response to this question was “d”: If the legislators want to learn about secret programs, they sign the confidentiality letter and can request any information on secret programs and national security.

Researcher Response to Peer Reviewer: The Law on State Audit, Provision 15.1.6, provides the authority to carry out budget spending audit but states: ‘the auditor authorized by General Auditor and Legislature.’ However, in practice, it is unclear if such audit was ever done, and some private interviews suggest that such audits have not been done, and would be done in only special occasions. The legislature committees would be presented only with aggregate data. Individual members of legislature can get information after signing confidentiality agreement, but it is unclear how detailed information they can get, my understanding is that only aggregate information will be presented.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: The 2003 Law on National Audit stipulates: “15.1.6 Audits of disbursement of budget funds allocated for the intelligence agency, armed forces and police department shall be conducted by the Auditor General of Mongolia and an auditor authorized by the State Great Hural;” A more appropriate response would therefore appear to be “b” or “c” (based on an interview with the NAO on actual practice).

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

IBP Comment: IBP editors chose answer “d” to maintain the consistency of the assumptions used in selecting answers across the countries.