This questionnaire was completed by:

Name: ROBIN SHERBOURNE, Research Associate
Organization: INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC POLICY RESEARCH
Address: P O Box 6566 Ausspannplatz
         Windhoek
         Namibia
Telephone: (+264 61) 240514
E-mail: editor@insight.com.na; daniel@ippr.org.na (cc)
Internet Website: www.ippr.org.na
International Budget Project
OPEN BUDGET QUESTIONNAIRE
NAMIBIA

Section One: The Availability of Budget Documents .............................................. 4
Table 1. Budget Year of Documents Used in Completing the Questionnaire .......... 5
Table 2. Internet Links for Key Budget Documents............................................... 6
Table 3. Distribution of Documents Related to the Executive’s Budget Proposal..... 7
Table 4. Distribution of the Enacted Budget and Other Reports ............................... 8

Section Two: The Executive’s Budget Proposal .................................................... 9
Estimates for the Budget Year and Beyond............................................................ 10
Estimates for Years Prior to the Budget Year......................................................... 19
Comprehensiveness................................................................................................. 27
The Budget Narrative & Performance Monitoring................................................. 34
Additional Key Information for Budget Analysis & Monitoring............................ 38

Section Three: The Budget Process ....................................................................... 43
Executive’s Formulation of the Budget................................................................. 44
Legislative Approval of the Budget........................................................................ 47
Executive’s Implementation of the Budget............................................................ 52
Executive’s Year-End Report and the Supreme Audit Institution......................... 60
Section One: The Availability of Budget Documents
Table 1. Budget Year of Documents Used in Completing the Questionnaire

Please indicate the budget year of the document used to complete the questionnaire. If the document is not available to the public, please state, “Not Available.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Documents Used in Completing the Questionnaire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As explained in the guide to the questionnaire, documents from a variety of fiscal years are referred to throughout the questionnaire. Please indicate below for which fiscal year responses to questions relating to each report or experience were based on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Year Used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Budget Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive’s Budget Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Budget Documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enacted Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Year Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Internet Links for Key Budget Documents

*Please provide the Internet link for the document, or indicate one of these three answers: “Not Produced,” “Produced But Not Available to the Public,” “Publicly Available, But Not on the Internet.”*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Document</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Budget Statement</td>
<td>Produced, But Not Available to the Public (MoF produces an internal macro framework paper)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Summary</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/BudgetSpeech05_06.pdf">http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/BudgetSpeech05_06.pdf</a> (budget speech)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
<td>Not Produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enacted Budget</td>
<td>Publicly Available But Not on the Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Review</td>
<td>Publicly Available But Not on the Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report</td>
<td>Publicly Available But Not on the Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant Ministries &amp; Departments</td>
<td>Not Produced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Distribution of Documents Related to the Executive’s Budget Proposal

#### DISTRIBUTION OF BUDGET DOCUMENTS

For the following reports, place “Yes” in the appropriate row below to indicate if the report is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive’s Budget Proposal</th>
<th>Citizens budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-budget</td>
<td>Main document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Not produced, even for internal purposes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Produced for internal purposes, but not available to the public</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Produced and available to the public, but only on request</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Produced and distributed to the public (for example, in libraries, posted on the Internet.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For budget reports that are produced and distributed to the public, what steps does the executive take to distribute these reports and to promote interest in them? For each characteristic, mark “Yes” if yes, “No” if no, or “NA” if Not Available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-budget</th>
<th>Executive’s Budget</th>
<th>Citizens budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main document</td>
<td>Budget summary*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The release date is known at least one month in advance</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Advance notification of release is sent to users, media</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Released to public same day as official release to media</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Available on the Internet free of charge</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Free print copies available, limited distribution</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Free print copies available, mass distribution</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Readily available outside capital and/or big cities+</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Written in more than one language</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. News conference is held to discuss release</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A budget summary should be a stand-alone document, which could include a budget speech or a separately published executive summary.

+Mark “Yes” if access by mail order or by Internet, if Internet service is readily available outside the capital and/or big cities.
Table 4. Distribution of the Enacted Budget and Other Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRIBUTION OF ENACTED BUDGET AND OTHER REPORTS</th>
<th>Enacted budget</th>
<th>In-year reports</th>
<th>Mid-year review</th>
<th>Year-end report</th>
<th>Audit report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Not produced, even for internal purposes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Produced for internal purposes, but not available to the public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Produced and available to the public, but only on request</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Produced and distributed to the public (for example, in libraries, posted on the Internet.)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For budget reports that are produced and distributed to the public, what steps does the executive take to distribute these reports and to promote interest in them? For each characteristic, mark “Yes” if yes, “No” if no, or “NA” if Not Available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enacted budget</th>
<th>In-year reports</th>
<th>Mid-year review</th>
<th>Year-end report</th>
<th>Audit report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The release date is known at least one month in advance</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Advance notification of release sent to users, media</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Released to public same day as official release to media</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Available on the Internet free of charge</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Free print copies available, limited distribution</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Free print copies available, mass distribution</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Readily available outside capital/big cities</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Written in more than one language</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. News conference is held to discuss release</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mark “Yes” if access is available by mail order or by Internet, if Internet service is readily available outside the capital and/or big cities.

* It is difficult to say since 2005/06 is the first year during which documents have been available on the internet but there has been no revised budget (the only form of mid-year review available apart from Bank of Namibia reports)
Section Two: The Executive’s Budget Proposal

Notes on abbreviations:
BY = budget year (year for which the budget proposals are being made)
BY+1 = one year beyond the budget year
BY-1 = one year before the budget year
The Executive’s Budget Proposal

Estimates for the Budget Year and Beyond

1. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

   a. All expenditures are classified by administrative unit.
   b. Expenditures are classified by administrative unit, but some small units are not shown separately.
   c. Expenditures are classified by administrative unit, but a significant number of units are not shown separately.
   d. No expenditures classified by administrative unit are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The main budget document presents expenditures by vote, main division and sub-division as well as by ministry, all of which are administrative units (see ERE pages 20-22).

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
2. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by functional classification?

   a. All expenditures are classified by functional classification, and the categorization is compatible with international standards.
   b. All expenditures are classified by functional classification, but the categorization is not compatible with international standards.
   c. Some, but not all, expenditures are classified by function.
   d. No expenditures classified by function are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The breakdown into functional/economic classification is presented in the ERE, pages 14-16.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** The first part of the statement concerning the classification of the Namibian budget (economic and functional classification) is correct. However, the International Monetary Fund’s (IMF) Government Finance Statistics (GFS) manual requires the budget to be classified by administrative units and item expenditure at a more disaggregated level than is the Namibian budget. [http://www.imf.org/external/np/fad/trans/manual/sec02a.htm#bx7](http://www.imf.org/external/np/fad/trans/manual/sec02a.htm#bx7)

   The categorization of expenditures in the Namibian budget is not as disaggregated, and therefore not comparable.

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:** A more appropriate response to this question would be “a.” After independence, when the new budget system and publications were set up, there was a major IMF-led support team in the Ministry of Finance that set up the classification and made sure that it was internationally comparable, with the detail that the IMF Fiscal Affairs Department would be interested in.

   **IBP Comment:** IBP editors chose answer “b” to maintain the consistency of the assumptions used in selecting answers across the countries.

3. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by economic classification?

   a. All expenditures are classified by economic classification, and the categorization is compatible with international standards.
   b. All expenditures are classified by economic classification, but the categorization is not compatible with international standards.
   c. Some, but not all, expenditures are classified by economic classification.
   d. No expenditures classified by economic classification are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: All expenditure is classified by standard items, which closely follow the IMF’s GFS manual. The breakdown into functional/economic classification is presented in the ERE pages 18-19.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
4. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

   a. Program-level data are presented for all expenditures.
   b. Program-level data are presented for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.
   c. Program-level data are presented, but for less than two-thirds of expenditures.
   d. No program-level data are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The government has recently introduced a new document, the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework or MTEF, which presents all expenditures by program. The government wants to issue this as the main public budget document rather than the budget document showing expenditure broken down by administrative unit. Each vote in the MTEF document contains program level data. Pages 131-158 of the Estimate of Revenue and Expenditure of the State Revenue Fund for 2005-06 on the education budget, for example, provide program level data for the education department. Available at: [http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf](http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf).

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

5. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, are estimates of the aggregate level of expenditure presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year)?

   a. Yes, multi-year estimates of aggregate expenditure are presented.
   b. No, multi-year estimates of aggregate expenditure are not presented.
   c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Estimates are given for program expenditure for two years beyond the budget year in the new MTEF document to provide a three-year “rolling budget.” Each vote in the MTEF document contains multi-year estimates of aggregate expenditure and individual programs. Pages 131-158 of the Estimate of Revenue and Expenditure of the State Revenue Fund for 2005-06 on the education budget, for example, provide multi-year expenditure at the aggregate and program level for the education department. Available at: [http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf](http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf)

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
6. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, is more detail than just the aggregate level presented for expenditure estimates that cover a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

   a. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented for all individual programs, and for one or more expenditure classification (such as functional, economic, or administrative).
   b. Yes, multi-year estimates are presented for one or more expenditure classification (such as functional, economic, or administrative), but only for some individual programs.
   c. Yes, multi-year estimates are presented, but only for a portion of one or more of the expenditure classifications (such as some functions, or some administrative units) and/or for only some individual programs.
   d. No, multi-year estimates are presented for aggregate expenditure only, or they are not presented at all.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: As explained in question 5, the MTEF provides program totals for three years (BY, BY+1 and BY+2). However, in some cases, descriptive information is provided for future years. The MTEF appendices provide expenditure estimates by ministry, vote, main division and subdivision for the three-year period. Each vote in the MTEF document contains multi-year estimates of aggregate expenditure and individual programs. Pages 131-158 of the Estimate of Revenue and Expenditure of the State revenue Fund for 2005-06 on the Education Budget, for example, provide multi-year expenditures at the aggregate and program level for the education department. Available at: http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

7. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify the different sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?

   a. All sources of tax revenue are identified individually.
   b. Sources of tax revenue amounting to at least two-thirds of, but not all, tax revenues are identified individually.
   c. Sources of tax revenue amounting to less than two-thirds of all tax revenues are identified individually.
   d. No sources of tax revenue are identified individually.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The main budget and the MTEF provide a detailed breakdown of tax revenue (see ERE pp. 3-13).

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
8. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify the different sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of goods and services) for the budget year?

   a. All sources of non-tax revenue are identified individually.
   b. Sources of non-tax revenue amounting to at least two-thirds of, but not all, non-tax revenues are identified individually.
   c. Sources of non-tax revenues amounting to less than two-thirds of all non-tax revenue are identified individually.
   d. No sources of non-tax revenues are identified individually.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The main budget and the MTEF provide a detailed breakdown of non-tax revenue (see ERE pp. 3-13).

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, are estimates of the aggregate level of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, multi-year estimates of aggregate revenue are presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. No, multi-year estimates of aggregate revenue are not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document provides aggregate revenue estimates for BY, BY+1 and BY+2 (see MTEF p. 18).

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
10. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, is more detail than just the aggregate level presented for revenue estimates that cover a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

   a. Yes, multi-year revenue estimates are presented for individual sources of revenue, and by revenue classification (such as tax and non-tax).
   b. Yes, multi-year estimates are presented by revenue classification (such as tax and non-tax), but only for some individual sources of revenue.
   c. Yes, multi-year estimates are presented, but only for some individual sources of revenue.
   d. No, multi-year estimates are presented for aggregate revenue only, or they are not presented at all.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document provides a detailed breakdown of revenue estimates for BY, BY+1 and BY+2 in Appendix B.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

11. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present data on the total government debt outstanding for the budget year?

   a. Yes, the data reflect the outstanding debt both at the start of the budget year and at the end of the budget year (and thereby reflect the net borrowing requirement of the budget).
   b. Yes, the data reflect the outstanding debt at the end of the budget year.
   c. Yes, the data reflect the outstanding debt at the start of the budget year.
   d. No, data on the outstanding debt are not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document provides information on total government debt outstanding for the budget year (see MTEF pp. 21-22 and ERE pp. 1-2). Some government borrowing takes place outside the SRF as described by the GDDS for Namibia. Details of some of this borrowing are presented in the MTEF. Refer to p. 22.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
12. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

   a. Yes, interest payments on the debt are presented.
   b. No, interest payments on the debt are not presented.
   c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The main budget document presents estimates of interest payments under Debt Management Vote 09 Main Division 14 Public Debt Transactions (see p. 130).

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

13. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of government debt (such as interest rates on the debt, maturity profile of the debt, currency denomination of the debt, or whether it is domestic and external debt) for the budget year?

   a. Yes, extensive information related to the composition of government debt is presented.
   b. Yes, key additional information is presented, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some additional information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, additional information related to the composition of government debt is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document presents limited information on how the budget deficit is to be financed during the budget year and beyond, see p. 22 and Appendix A. There is no breakdown of project borrowing and no terms and conditions under which this borrowing has taken place.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
14. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

   a. Yes, an extensive discussion of the macroeconomic forecast is presented, and key assumptions (such as inflation, real GDP growth, unemployment rate, and interest rates) are stated explicitly.
   b. Yes, the macroeconomic forecast is discussed and most of the key assumptions are stated explicitly, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, there is some discussion of the macroeconomic forecast (and/or the presentation of key assumptions), but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information related to the macroeconomic forecast is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF report presents information on the macroeconomic forecast. Refer to the chapter on “Economic outlook” (see p. 3) and appendix A.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

15. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget (including expenditures, revenues, and debt)?

   a. Yes, extensive information on the impact of macroeconomic assumptions on the budget is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the impact of the major macroeconomic assumptions, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions on the budget is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: No sensitivity analysis is presented in the MTEF document.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
16. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how policy proposals in the budget, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?

   a. Yes, extensive information on the impact of policy proposals on expenditures is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the impact of key policy proposals on expenditures, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on the impact of policy proposals on expenditures is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: In theory, policy proposals in the budget have been incorporated into the expenditure estimates and this is often explained in the text accompanying program expenditure estimates in the MTEF document.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

17. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how policy proposals in the budget, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

   a. Yes, extensive information on the impact of policy proposals on revenues is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the impact of key policy proposals on revenues, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on the impact of policy proposals on revenues is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: In theory, policy proposals in the budget have been incorporated into the revenue estimates but this is not explained in any detail.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimates for Years Prior to the Budget Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. All expenditures are classified by administrative unit for BY-1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Expenditures are classified by administrative unit for BY-1, but some small units are not shown separately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Expenditures are classified by administrative unit for BY-1, but a significant number of units are not shown separately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No expenditures classified by administrative unit are presented for BY-1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: Both the main budget and MTEF reports present expenditures for BY-1 by administrative unit. This information tends to be the same as the estimates provided in the previous revised budget rather than actual or audited expenditures (Refer to ERE pp. 20-21). In the ERE, expenditures for BY-1 are presented for each vote and BY-1 and BY-2 for each standard item.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

| 19. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) that are classified by functional classification?  |
| a. All expenditures are classified by functional classification for BY-1, and the categorization is compatible with international standards. |
| b. All expenditures are classified by functional classification for BY-1, but the categorization is not compatible with international standards. |
| c. Some, but not all, expenditures are classified by function for BY-1. |
| d. No expenditures classified by function are presented for BY-1. |
| e. Not applicable/other (please comment). |

Citation and/or comment: The budget document provides expenditures for BY-1 by economic and functional classifications (see pp. 14-16).

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
20. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) that are classified by economic classification?

   a. All expenditures are classified by economic classification for BY-1, and the categorization is compatible with international standards.
   b. All expenditures are classified by economic classification for BY-1, but the categorization is not compatible with international standards.
   c. Some, but not all, expenditures are classified by economic classification for BY-1.
   d. No expenditures classified by economic classification are presented for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The budget document provides expenditures for BY-1 by economic and functional classifications (see pp. 14-16).

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

21. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. Program-level expenditure data are presented for all expenditures for BY-1.
   b. Program-level expenditure data are presented for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures for BY-1.
   c. Program-level expenditure data are presented, but for less than two-thirds of expenditures for BY-1.
   d. No program-level expenditure data are presented for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document provides information on all programs going back to BY-3. Each vote in the MTEF document contains program-level data for BY-1. Pages 131-158 of the Estimate of Revenue and Expenditure of the State revenue Fund for 2005-06 on the education budget, for example, provides BY-1 data for the education department. Available at: http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
22. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, how many months of actual data are reflected in the expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. All BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect six or more months of actual expenditure.
   b. All BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original enacted levels, but reflect less than six months of actual expenditure.
   c. Some BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditure.
   d. The BY-1 estimates have not been updated from the original enacted levels, or no BY-1 estimates are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: All BY-1 expenditure estimates presented in the main budget for BY are the same as the revised budget estimates for BY-1 which are generally tabled more than six months after the original main budget in the “revised” or “additional” budget. However, these estimates reflect revised appropriations rather than actual expenditures.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

23. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, are estimates of the aggregate level of expenditure presented for years that precede the budget year by more than one year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

   a. Yes, such prior-year estimates of aggregate expenditure are presented.
   b. No, such prior-year estimates of aggregate expenditure are not presented.
   c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document provides estimates of aggregate expenditure going back to BY-4 (see p. 9).

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: Actual expenditures (not audited) are provided in the MTEF going back to BY-4 and expenditure estimates for the years where actual figures are not available. The question asks about expenditure estimates, but there may be no need to change the answer since comprehensive audit is still pending.

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
24. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, is more detail than just the aggregate level presented for expenditure estimates that cover years preceding the budget year by more than one year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

   a. Yes, such prior-year expenditure estimates are presented for all individual programs, and for one or more expenditure classification (such as functional, economic, or administrative).
   b. Yes, such prior-year estimates are presented for one or more expenditure classification (such as functional, economic, or administrative), but only for some individual programs.
   c. Yes, such prior-year estimates are presented, but only for a portion of one or more of the expenditure classifications (such as some functions, or some administrative units) and/or for only some individual programs.
   d. No, such prior-year estimates are presented for aggregate expenditure only, or they are not presented at all.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The Medium-Term Expenditure Framework report provides a breakdown of all program expenditure going back to BY-3. However, expenditure aggregates by functional, economic or administrative classifications are not provided beyond BY-1.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

25. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?

   a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).
   b. Three years prior to the budget year (BY-3).
   c. Before BY-3.
   d. No actual data for all expenditures are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The main budget document provides detailed actual expenditures for BY-2 but the data are taken from the general ledger and have not necessarily been audited by the Auditor General.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
26. Are the expenditure estimates for the years prior to the budget year adjusted, as needed, to be comparable with the budget-year estimates in terms of classification and presentation?

   a. Yes, prior-year data are always adjusted to be comparable to the budget year data.
   b. Yes, in most cases, prior-year data are adjusted to be comparable.
   c. Yes, in some cases, prior-year data are adjusted to be comparable, but significant deviations between prior-year and budget-year classifications exist.
   d. No, prior-year data are not adjusted to be comparable, or no prior-year estimates are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The government generally attempts to ensure that prior-year information is comparable with the current budget year. However, the creation of new administrative units sometimes makes this difficult.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

27. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify the different sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. All tax revenues are identified individually for BY-1.
   b. Tax revenues amounting to at least two-thirds of, but not all, tax revenue for BY-1 are identified individually.
   c. Tax revenues amounting to less than two-thirds of all tax revenues for BY-1 are identified individually.
   d. No tax revenues are identified individually for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The main budget document provides detailed breakdowns of tax revenue for BY-1 (see pp. 3 -11).

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
28. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify the different sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of goods and services) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. All non-tax revenues are identified individually for BY-1.
   b. Non-tax revenues amounting to at least two-thirds of, but not all, non-tax revenue for BY-1 are identified individually.
   c. Non-tax revenues amounting to less than two-thirds of all non-tax revenues for BY-1 are identified individually.
   d. No non-tax revenues are identified individually for BY-1.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The main budget document provides detailed breakdowns of non-tax revenue for BY-1 (see pp. 3 -11).

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: 

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: 

29. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, how many months of actual data are reflected in the revenues estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1)?

   a. All BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original estimates to reflect six or more months of actual revenue collections.
   b. All BY-1 estimates have been updated from the original estimates, but reflect less than six months of actual revenue collections.
   c. Some BY-1 estimates have not been updated from the original estimates to reflect actual revenue collections.
   d. The BY-1 estimates have not been updated from the original estimates or no BY-1 estimates are presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: As with expenditure, revenue estimates for BY-1 are the same as those tabled in the previous revised budget and have not necessarily been audited. Revenue estimates reflect revised budget estimates. Although expenditure estimates also reflect revised budget estimates, these are based more on additional appropriates and virements than on a view of what is actually likely to be spent.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: 

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: 

[24]
30. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, are estimates of the aggregate level of revenues presented for years that precede the budget year by more than one year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

   a. Yes, such prior-year estimates of aggregate revenue are presented.
   b. No, such prior-year estimates of aggregate revenue are not presented.
   c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document contains aggregate revenue estimates going back to BY-4 (see p. 8).

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

31. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, is more detail than just the aggregate level presented for revenue estimates that cover years preceding the budget year by more than one year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

   a. Yes, such prior-year revenue estimates are presented for individual sources of revenue, and by revenue classification (such as tax and non-tax).
   b. Yes, such prior-year estimates are presented by revenue classification (such as tax and non-tax), but only for some individual sources of revenue.
   c. Yes, such prior-year estimates are presented, but only for some individual sources of revenue.
   d. No, such prior-year estimates are presented for aggregate revenue only, or they are not presented at all.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The 2006/07 ERE provides a detailed breakdown for revenue going back as far as 2004/05, i.e. BY-2.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: The MTEF presents revenue back to BY-4 by individual source and classification (see p. 8).

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
32. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?

   a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).
   b. Three years prior to the budget year (BY-3).
   c. Before BY-3.
   d. No actual data for all revenues are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The main budget document contains actual outcomes for BY-2 but these have not necessarily been audited by the Auditor General.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

33. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the government debt for the year preceding the budget year?

   a. Yes, extensive information is presented on the level and composition of government debt for the year preceding the budget year.
   b. Yes, information on the level of debt is presented, but some important details about the composition of the debt are excluded.
   c. Yes, but only information on the level of debt is presented.
   d. No, information related to the government debt for BY-1 is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document contains some details on government debt going back to BY-4 (see p. 11). The central bank publishes quarterly estimates of debt but this is not a budget document.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
34. In the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

   a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).
   b. Three years prior to the budget year (BY-3).
   c. Before BY-3.
   d. No actual data for government debt are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document contains actual debt figures for BY-2 (see p. 11).

**Comprehensiveness**

35. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on extra-budgetary funds?

   a. Yes, extensive information on extra-budgetary funds is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key extra-budgetary funds, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on extra-budgetary funds is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The MTEF report presents a lot of information on extra-budgetary funds but it is unclear how comprehensive this information is (see, for example, p. 22 of the MTEF). However, further information on funding outside the budget is presented in many if not most of the vote sections of the MTEF. It is unclear how comprehensive these are. The budget does not contain details of extra-budgetary funds generated by earmarked revenues.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
36. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on intergovernmental transfers?

   a. Yes, extensive information on intergovernmental transfers is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key intergovernmental transfers, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on intergovernmental transfers is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The budget document and the MTEF report present details on intergovernmental transfers (transfers to other levels of government and government agencies) but this information is limited.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on transfers to public corporations?</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   a. Yes, extensive information on transfers to public corporations is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key transfers to public corporations, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on transfers to public corporations is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Both the budget document and the MTEF present information on transfers to public corporations. The ERE provides an aggregate total for such transfers in the summary of each vote, under each main division, and the ERE also contains a footnote which identifies the corporation or organization receiving the funds. For example, Vote 09 Finance Main Division 12, page 128. This is true for all other votes as well.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>38. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on quasi-fiscal activities?</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on quasi-fiscal activities is presented, including both a narrative discussion and, where possible, quantitative estimates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key quasi-fiscal activities, but some details are excluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, information on quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citation and/or comment</strong>: There is virtually no information on quasi-fiscal activities in budget documentation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>39. Does the executive's budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government?</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on financial assets is presented, including a listing of the assets, a discussion of their purpose, and an estimate of their market value.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key information, but some details are excluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, information on financial assets is not presented.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citation and/or comment</strong>: Budget documents present no information on financial assets held by the government.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
40. Does the executive's budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on non-financial assets held by the government?

   a. Yes, extensive information on non-financial assets is presented, including a listing of the assets, a discussion of their purpose, and (where possible) an estimate of their market value.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key information, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on non-financial assets is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Budget documents present no information on non-financial assets held by the government.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**
   
   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

41. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on expenditure arrears?

   a. Yes, extensive information on expenditure arrears is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key expenditure arrears, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on expenditure arrears is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment:

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**
   
   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>42.</th>
<th>Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities (such as government loan guarantees)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on contingent liabilities is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key contingent liabilities, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. No, information on contingent liabilities is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citation and/or comment: The MTEF document presents limited information on government loan guarantees for BY-1 to BY-4 (see p. 12).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on future liabilities, such as civil service pensions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on future liabilities is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key future liabilities, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. No, information on future liabilities is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citation and/or comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
44. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation provide details on the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind?

a. All sources of donor assistance are identified individually.
b. At least two-thirds of, but not all, sources of donor assistance, are identified individually.
c. Less than two-thirds of sources of donor assistance are identified individually.
d. No sources of donor assistance are identified individually.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Most donor assistance is channeled outside the State Revenue Fund. The MTEF document attempts to give a comprehensive account of this assistance under the various programs. No one is quite sure how comprehensive this information is but it is unlikely that more than a third of such assistance is missing from the document. Each vote in the MTEF document where donor assistance is being provided contains the relevant information on donor assistance. Pages 125-126 of the Estimate of Revenue and Expenditure of the State Revenue Fund for 2005-06 on the education budget, for example, provides donor assistance information for the education department. Available at: [http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf](http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf).

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

---

45. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year on tax expenditures?

a. Yes, extensive information on tax expenditures is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting key tax expenditures, but some details are excluded.
c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
d. No, information on tax expenditures is not presented.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: There is no information on tax expenditures in any budget documentation.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
46. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation identify all earmarked revenues?

   a. All earmarked revenues are identified individually.
   b. At least two-thirds of, but not all, earmarked revenues are identified individually.
   c. Less than two-thirds of earmarked revenues are identified individually.
   d. No earmarked revenues are identified individually.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** No earmarked revenues are paid into the State Revenue Fund. Earmarked revenues are generally paid into dedicated funds, which are not included in budget documentation.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

47. What percentage of expenditure in the budget year is dedicated to spending on secret items relating to, for instance, national security and military intelligence?

   a. One percent or less of expenditure is dedicated to secret items.
   b. Three percent or less, but more than one percent, of expenditure is dedicated to secret items.
   c. Eight percent or less, but more than three percent, of expenditure is dedicated to secret items.
   d. More than eight percent of expenditure is dedicated to secret items, or the percentage is not available to the public.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** Military expenditure is shrouded in secrecy and such expenditure accounts for almost ten percent of the budget. No breakdown of expenditure is provided for the National Intelligence Security Agency, but the agency accounts for less than one percent of the total budget (a breakdown is presented in Vote 08). Defense procurement is treated with more secrecy than the military budget.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
### The Budget Narrative & Performance Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>48.</strong> Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation explain how the proposed budget is linked to government’s stated policy goals, by administrative unit (or functional category), for the budget year?</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals, by administrative unit (or functional category) is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the link between major policy goals and the budget, but some details are excluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals is not presented.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The MTEF report presents an explanation of how spending is linked to policy goals. However, it is unclear how the goals would be achieved through the proposed spending.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>49.</strong> Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation explain how the proposed budget is linked to government’s stated policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, extensive information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals over a multi-year period is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the major policy goals, but some details are excluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals over a multi-year period is not presented.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The MTEF document presents information on how proposed expenditure is linked to stated policy goals for BY, BY+1, and BY+2.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
50. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present non-financial data, such as the number of beneficiaries, for expenditure programs?

a. Non-financial data are presented for all programs.
b. Non-financial data are presented for programs representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.
c. Non-financial data are presented for programs representing less than two-thirds of expenditure.
d. No non-financial data are presented.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: In theory, outcome targets and Performance Effectiveness Management Program (PEMP) measures are provided for much of the government’s spending program. Several votes in the MTEF document provide information on non-financial data. Pages 144 to 145 of the Estimate of Revenue and Expenditure of the State Revenue Fund for 2005-06 on the Education Budget, for example, provide non-financial information for the education department. Available at: http://www.mof.gov.na/resources/Estimate_Revenue_and_Expenditure_%202005_06.pdf.

51. Are the non-financial data presented useful for assessing how an expenditure program is performing?

a. The non-financial data are very useful for assessing program performance.
b. The non-financial data are mostly useful for assessing program performance.
c. The non-financial data are somewhat useful for assessing program performance.
d. No non-financial data are provided or they are not useful for assessing program performance.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: A large amount of non-financial data is missing or out-of-date. Furthermore, it is difficult to verify the accuracy of the data since their origin is not stated.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: A more appropriate response to this question would be “b.” Non-financial data presented in NDP documents are mostly useful in assessing program performance and the formulation of NDP is where inclusive national planning takes place.

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: IBP Comment: IBP editors chose answer “c” to maintain the consistency of the assumptions used in selecting answers across the countries.
52. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation contain performance indicators for expenditure programs?

- Performance indicators are presented for all programs.
- Performance indicators are presented for programs representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.
- Performance indicators are presented for programs representing less than two-thirds of expenditures.
- No performance indicators are presented.
- Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: In theory, the PEMP measures are measures of performance (see question 50). These measures are presented in the MTEF report.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

53. Are the performance indicators sufficiently well designed, such that one can assess whether there has been progress toward meeting policy goals?

- All performance indicators are well designed.
- Most performance indicators are well designed.
- Some performance indicators are well designed, but most are not.
- No programs have performance indicators, or they are not well designed.
- Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Many performance indicators are either immeasurable or unimportant. Further, many indicators that could have been provided are missing.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** I would add that the budgeting system is still undergoing reforms with the ultimate objective of implementing a full-fledged performance-based budgeting. For now, performance indicators are not sufficiently developed.

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
54. Are performance indicators used in conjunction with performance targets presented in the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation?

a. All performance indicators are used in conjunction with performance targets.
b. Most performance indicators are used in conjunction with performance targets.
c. Some performance indicators are used in conjunction with performance targets, but most are not.
d. No performance indicators are used in conjunction with performance targets.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The PEMP is supposed to link performance indicators with performance targets.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

55. Does the executive’s budget or any supporting budget documentation present information on policies (both proposals and existing commitments) in at least the budget year that are intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations?

a. Yes, extensive information on policies intended to alleviate poverty is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the impact of key policies intended to alleviate poverty, but some details are excluded.
c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
d. No, information on policies intended to alleviate poverty is not presented.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Some information is provided but the poverty focus of the budget is unclear. See for example pages 23-24 of the budget speech.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: A more appropriate response to this question would be “b.”
Details on poverty reduction programs are in the NDP and in its action plan, Namibia Poverty Reduction Action Plan (NPRAP). In addition, these are consistently highlighted in the budget and related documents.

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

IBP Comment: IBP editors chose answer “c” to maintain consistency with the guidance provided to researchers for correctly completing the questionnaire in the Guide to the Open Budget Questionnaire. The NDP and NPRAP are not supporting budget documents for the purposes of our questionnaire. To be considered a supporting budget document, the document should be released along with the executive’s budget proposal.
### Additional Key Information for Budget Analysis & Monitoring

*Please note that the questions in this section ask about information that is generally available to the public. The information need not be included in the executive’s budget proposal or supporting documents, but may be found in other documents issued by the executive.*

56. Does the executive make available to the public a description of the tax rate or fee schedule for all revenue sources?

   a. Yes, extensive information on tax rates and fee schedules is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, highlighting the rates and fees for major revenue sources, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Citation and/or comment:** Detailed information on tax rates and fees can be obtained from auditing firms and other organizations. However, the government does not publish documents which provide this information. Auditing firms mostly produce brochures where tax rates and fee schedules are detailed. Government does not include such information in any budget document as a rule unless changes are being proposed. The executive makes available to the public information on tax rates and fee schedules but not generally as part of the budget process. You have to dig a bit to get the information because it is not on a website or in a single government publication.

**Researcher Response to Peer Reviewer:** Tax rates and fee schedules for diamond mining companies are publicly available through the Government Gazette which can be purchased by anyone who can find the right edition. Auditing firms mostly produce brochures where these things are detailed too. Government does not include such information in any budget document as a rule unless changes are being proposed. The executive does not generally make this information publicly available as part of the budget process. You have to dig a bit to get the information as it is not on a website or in a single government publication.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** A more appropriate response to this question would be “b.” Income tax rates (individual income tax rates, corporate tax rates, VAT rates etc.) are available to the public, though not in the budget documents.

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

**IBP Comment:** IBP editors chose answer “c” to maintain the consistency of the assumptions used in selecting answers across the countries.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>57.</th>
<th>Does the executive make available to the public an analysis of the distribution of the tax burden?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, a detailed analysis of the distribution of the tax burden is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, an analysis is presented, highlighting key aspects of the distribution, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, some analysis is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No analysis on the distribution of the tax burden is presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: There is no information on the tax burden whatsoever in any public documentation.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>58.</th>
<th>Does the executive make available to the public an explanation of the conditions associated with assistance from international financial institutions (IFIs)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, extensive information on conditions associated with IFI assistance is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, information is presented, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, information on conditions associated with IFI assistance is not presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: Namibia does not receive much assistance from the IMF or the World Bank. Information can probably be obtained on concessionary loans from the government but this information is not published.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
59. Does the executive make available to the public an explanation of the conditions associated with assistance from donor countries?

   a. Yes, extensive information on conditions associated with donor country assistance is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, information is presented, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, information on conditions associated with donor country assistance is not presented.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** No explanation is made publicly available. Conditions are contained in individual donor agreements which are not readily available to the public.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

60. Does the executive make available to the public a summary that describes the budget and its proposals?

   a. Yes, it provides a summary that is very informative.
   b. Yes, it provides a summary that is somewhat informative.
   c. Yes, but the summary is not very informative.
   d. No, it does not provide a summary.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** The Minister of Finance makes a budget speech to Parliament. This is the closest thing to a budget summary that exists in Namibia.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

61. Does the executive publish a “citizens budget” or some non-technical presentation intended for a wide audience that describes the budget and its proposals?

   a. Yes, it publishes a citizens budget that is very informative.
   b. Yes, it publishes a citizens budget that is somewhat informative.
   c. Yes, but the citizens budget is not very informative.
   d. No, it does not publish a citizens budget.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** The executive does not publish anything of this nature.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
62. Does the executive make available to the public non-technical definitions of terms used in the budget and other budget-related documents (for instance, in a glossary)?

   a. Yes, thorough definitions of budget terms are provided.
   b. Yes, definitions are provided, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some definitions are provided, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, definitions are not provided.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The budget document contains an introduction which explains certain terms (see pp. i–vi).

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

63. Does the executive make available to the public a summary of the budget process?

   a. Yes, it includes a summary of the budget process that is very informative.
   b. Yes, it includes a summary of the budget process that is somewhat informative.
   c. Yes, but the summary of the budget process is not very informative.
   d. No, it does not include a summary of the budget process.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: On request, government officials are generally happy to describe the budget process at public meetings.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

64. Do citizens have the right in law to access government information, including budget information?

   a. Yes, the right has been codified into law, and citizens are generally able in practice to obtain government information, including budget information.
   b. Yes, the right has been codified into law, but it is sometimes not possible for citizens in practice to obtain government information, including budget information.
   c. Yes, the right has been codified into law, but it is frequently or always impossible in practice to obtain access to government information, including budget information.
   d. No, the right to access government information has not been codified into law, or this right does not include access to budget information.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: Every law, in the gazette, made by parliament must be made public so in that sense there is a requirement to make budget information public. The government is currently considering the introduction of freedom of information legislation.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>65.</th>
<th>Are citizens able in practice to obtain financial information on expenditures for individual programs in a format that is more highly disaggregated than that which appears in the executive’s budget proposal if they request it (for example, from a ministry or agency)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>In practice, highly disaggregated information is available to the public for all programs except those considered related to national security or military intelligence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>In practice, highly disaggregated information is available to the public for programs representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>In practice, highly disaggregated information is available to the public for programs representing less than two-thirds of expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>In practice, no highly disaggregated expenditure information is available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** In practice, it is very difficult or impossible to get highly disaggregated information from the government. This is generally because this information is not readily available even to civil servants.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>66.</th>
<th>Are citizens able in practice to obtain non-financial information related to expenditures (for example, number of beneficiaries, number of persons employed by the program, etc.) for individual programs in a format that is more highly disaggregated than that which appears in the executive’s budget proposal if they request it from a ministry or agency?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>In practice, highly disaggregated non-financial information is available to the public for all programs except those considered related to national security or military intelligence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>In practice, highly disaggregated non-financial information is available to the public for programs representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>In practice, highly disaggregated non-financial information is available to the public for programs representing less than two-thirds of expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>In practice, no highly disaggregated non-financial expenditure information is available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** This depends greatly on the administrative units and individuals you are dealing with. Generally it is extremely difficult to get hold of disaggregated information but it is not necessarily because officials want to hide it as the information often does not exist.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
Section Three: The Budget Process
### The Budget Process

#### Executive’s Formulation of the Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>67. How far in advance of the release of the budget is the day of its release known?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The release date is set in permanent law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The executive announces the release date at least two months in advance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The executive announces the release date less than two months but more than two weeks in advance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The executive announces the release date two weeks or less before the release, or makes no announcement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: There is no fixed timetable and the budget is generally released to the public with a few days notice. This is partly due to the fact that the government itself is haggling over issues until the last moment.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>68. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for its budget preparation process?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, a detailed timetable is released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, a timetable is released, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, a timetable is released, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, a timetable is not issued to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The government has an internal timetable for budget preparation which is not released to the public.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>69. Does the executive adhere to its timetable for the preparation and release of the budget?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The executive adheres to the dates in its timetable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The executive adheres to most of the key dates in its timetable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The executive has difficulty adhering to most of the dates in its timetable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The executive does not adhere to the dates in its timetable, or it does not release to the public its timetable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The government does not release to the public its timetable.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
70. Does the executive hold consultations with members of the legislature as part of its process of determining budget priorities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, the executive holds extensive consultations with a wide range of legislators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, the executive holds consultations with a range of legislators, but some key members are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, the executive holds very limited consultations, involving only a few members of the legislature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, the executive does not typically consult with members of the legislature as part of the budget preparation process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: There is no formal consultation with members of the legislature. However, since most members of parliament in the National Assembly are ministers or deputy ministers they participate in cabinet discussions on the budget.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

71. Does the executive hold consultations with the public as part of its process of determining budget priorities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, the executive holds extensive consultations with a wide range of constituencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, the executive holds consultations with a range of constituencies, but some key constituencies are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, the executive holds very limited consultations, involving only a few constituencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, the executive does not typically consult with the public as part of the budget preparation process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: Occasionally, some ad hoc consultations may take place.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
72. When does the executive release a pre-budget statement to the public?

   a. The executive releases a pre-budget statement at least four months in advance of the start of the budget year.
   b. The executive releases a pre-budget statement at least two months (but less than four months) in advance of the start of the budget year.
   c. The executive releases a pre-budget statement, but it is released less than two months before the start of the budget year.
   d. The executive does not release a pre-budget statement.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: There is no such statement.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73. Does the pre-budget statement describe the government’s macroeconomic and fiscal framework?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the government’s fiscal and macroeconomic policy is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key aspects of the fiscal and macroeconomic framework, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, an explanation is not presented, or the executive does not release to the public a pre-budget statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Citation and/or comment: See question 72.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
74. Does the pre-budget statement describe the government’s policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the government’s budget policies and priorities is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key aspects of the government’s budget policies and priorities, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or the executive does not release to the public a pre-budget statement.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: See question 72.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

**Legislative Approval of the Budget**

75. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the budget?

   a. The legislature receives the budget at least three months before the start of the budget year.
   b. The legislature receives the budget at least six weeks, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year.
   c. The legislature receives the budget less than six weeks before the start of the budget year.
   d. The legislature does not receive the budget before the start of the budget year.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: There is no fixed timetable for the budget. Sometimes the budget is presented well into the budget year. This year’s budget was tabled on May 12th since the new government was formed on March 21st. In Namibia, budgets are often enacted after the beginning of the financial year.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
76. Does a legislative committee (or committees) hold public hearings on the macroeconomic and fiscal framework presented in the budget in which testimony from the executive branch and the public is heard?

   a. Yes, public hearings are held on the macroeconomic and fiscal framework in which testimony is heard from the executive branch and a wide range of constituencies.
   b. Yes, hearings are held in which testimony is heard from the executive branch and some constituencies.
   c. Yes, hearings are held in which testimony from the executive branch is heard, but no testimony from the public is heard.
   d. No, public hearings are not held on the macroeconomic and fiscal framework in which testimony from the executive branch and the public is heard.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** Outside experts are generally invited to come and talk to members of parliament about the budget.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

77. Do legislative committees hold public hearings on the individual budgets of central government administrative units (that is, ministries, departments, and agencies) in which testimony from the executive branch is heard?

   a. Yes, extensive public hearings are held on the budgets of administrative units in which testimony from the executive branch is heard.
   b. Yes, hearings are held, covering key administrative units, in which testimony from the executive branch is heard.
   c. Yes, a limited number of hearings are held in which testimony from the executive branch is heard.
   d. No, public hearings are not held on the budgets of administrative units in which testimony from the executive branch is heard.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** Parliament debates the budget proposal of each vote. Debates are open to the public.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
78. Does a legislative committee (or committees) hold public hearings on the individual budgets of central government administrative units (that is, ministries, departments, and agencies) in which testimony from the public is heard?

   a. Yes, extensive public hearings are held on the budgets of administrative units in which testimony from the public is heard.
   b. Yes, hearings are held, covering key administrative units, in which testimony from the public is heard.
   c. Yes, a limited number of hearings are held in which testimony from the public is heard.
   d. No, public hearings are not held on the budgets of administrative units in which testimony from the public is heard.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: There is no testimony from the public on any budget debates.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

79. Do the legislative committees that hold public hearings release reports to the public on these hearings?

   a. Yes, the committees release very informative reports, which include all written testimony presented at the hearings.
   b. Yes, the committees release reports, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, the committees release reports, but they are not very informative.
   d. No, the committees do not release reports or do not hold public hearings.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: No reports are released as no hearings are held.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
80. Does the executive present more details or provide a better explanation of any budget proposal, if members of the legislature (including from minority parties) request such information?

   a. The executive responds to such legislative requests, and it generally provides an appropriate and timely response.
   b. The executive responds to such legislative requests, but its responses are sometimes not appropriate or timely.
   c. The executive responds to such legislative requests, but its responses are typically not appropriate or timely.
   d. The executive responds selectively or ignores such legislative requests.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Members of parliament generally do not ask many questions on the budget but instead tend to air general opinions and make general statements.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:** Almost all ministers (except one) are members of the executive and legislature and the opposition is small. Thus, according to experience, budgets are not changed after the executive has finalized them. Budget discussions in Parliament are more important for the next budget series.

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

81. Is the legislature (or the appropriate legislative committee) given information for the budget year on the spending of secret items relating to, for instance, national security and military intelligence?

   a. Yes, the legislature is provided extensive information on all spending on secret items.
   b. Yes, the legislature is provided information on spending on secret items, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, the legislature is provided some information on spending on secret items, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, the legislature is provided no information on secret items.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: No information is provided to members of parliament on the spending on secret items.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
82. Does the legislature have authority to amend the budget presented by the executive?

- a. Yes, the legislature has unlimited authority to amend the budget.
- b. Yes, the legislature has authority to amend the budget, with some limitations.
- c. Yes, the legislature has authority to amend the budget, but its authority is very limited.
- d. No, the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The budget debate provides an opportunity for Parliament to amend the executive’s budget proposals. In theory, the National Assembly can veto expenditure proposals proposed by the executive but this has never happened because the government has always had an overwhelming majority in Parliament. Article 126 of the Constitution gives the National Assembly the power to amend the budget.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

83. What is the most detail provided in the appropriation (expenditure budget) approved by the legislature?

- a. The approved budget includes program-level detail.
- b. The approved budget includes departmental totals and some additional details (for instance, sub-department totals or major programs).
- c. The approved budget includes only departmental totals.
- d. The approved budget includes less information than departmental totals.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The Appropriation Act contains expenditure totals for each vote (which are similar to departmental totals).

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
### Executive’s Implementation of the Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>84. How often does the executive release to the public in-year reports on actual expenditure (organized by administrative unit, economic classification and/or function)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. In-year reports on actual expenditure are released at least every month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. In-year reports on actual expenditure are released at least every quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. In-year reports on actual expenditure are released at least semi-annually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. In-year reports on actual expenditure are not released.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** No reports are released by the Ministry of Finance although the revised budget speech might provide information on the progress of expenditure programs. The Bank of Namibia releases a Quarterly Bulletin providing some information on actual expenditure but not in any detail such as by administrative unit.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>85. What share of expenditure is covered by in-year reports on actual expenditure (organized by administrative unit, economic classification and/or function)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, in-year reports cover all expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, in-year reports cover at least two-thirds, but not all, of expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, in-year reports cover less than two-thirds of expenditures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No in-year reports are released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** There are no such reports produced by the Ministry of Finance. The Bank of Namibia Quarterly Bulletin contains details of aggregate expenditure.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
86. Do the in-year reports released to the public compare actual year-to-date expenditure with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

   a. Yes, comparisons are made for all expenditures.
   b. Yes, comparisons are made for at least two-thirds, but not all, of expenditures.
   c. Yes, but comparisons are made for less than two-thirds of expenditures.
   d. No, comparisons are not made, or no in-year reports are released to the public.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: There are no such reports. The Bank of Namibia Quarterly Bulletins provide little information on this issue.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>87. How often does the executive release to the public in-year reports on actual revenue collections by source of revenue?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. In-year reports on actual revenue collections by source of revenue are released at least every month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. In-year reports on actual revenue collections are released at least every quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. In-year reports on actual revenue collections are released at least semi-annually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. In-year reports on actual revenue collections by source of revenue are not released.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Citation and/or comment: The revised budget presents updated information on revenue estimates for the budget year. The Bank of Namibia Quarterly Bulletins are released every quarter with some delay.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
88. What share of revenue is covered by the in-year reports on actual revenue collections?

| a. | In-year reports cover the actual revenue collections of all sources of revenue. |
| b. | In-year reports cover the actual revenue collections of at least two-thirds of, but not all, sources of revenue. |
| c. | In-year reports cover actual revenue collections of less than two-thirds of revenue sources. |
| d. | In-year reports on actual revenue collections are not released to the public. |
| e. | Not applicable/other (please comment). |

Citation and/or comment: There is no specific report. The revised budget will contain a revised estimate of all revenue sources for the budget year. The Bank of Namibia Quarterly Bulletin contains information on total revenue collection.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

89. Do the in-year reports released to the public compare actual year-to-date revenue collections with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

| a. | Yes, comparisons are made for all revenue sources. |
| b. | Yes, comparisons are made for at least two-thirds of, but not all, revenue sources. |
| c. | Yes, but comparisons are made for less than two-thirds of revenue sources. |
| d. | No, comparisons are not made, or no in-year reports are released to the public. |
| e. | Not applicable/other (please comment). |

Citation and/or comment: See question 89. The Bank of Namibia Quarterly Bulletins contain a comparison with the previous fiscal year and not the estimates for the current fiscal year.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

90. Does the executive release to the public in-year reports on actual borrowing?

| a. | Yes, in-year reports on actual borrowing are released at least every month. |
| b. | Yes, in-year reports on actual borrowing are released at least every quarter. |
| c. | Yes, in-year reports on actual borrowing are released at least semi-annually. |
| d. | No, in-year reports on actual borrowing are not released. |
| e. | Not applicable/other (please comment). |

Citation and/or comment: The Bank of Namibia Quarterly Bulletins contain information on actual borrowing.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
91. Do in-year reports released to the public on actual borrowing present information related to the composition of government debt (such as interest rates on the debt, maturity profile of the debt, and currency denomination of the debt) for the budget year?

   a. Yes, extensive information related to the composition of government debt is presented.
   b. Yes, key additional information is presented, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some additional information is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, additional information related to the composition of government debt is not presented, or such in-year reports on borrowing are not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** The Bank of Namibia Quarterly Bulletins contain some information on the composition of debt.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

| 92. For in-year reports on actual expenditure released to the public by the executive, how much time typically elapses between the end of the reporting period and when the report is released (e.g., are quarterly reports released less than 4 weeks after the end of the quarter)? |
|---|---|
|   a. Reports are released 1 month or less after the end of the period. |
|   b. Reports are released 2 months or less (but more than 1 month) after the end of the period. |
|   c. Reports are released more than 2 months after the end of the period. |
|   d. In-year reports are not released. |
|   e. Not applicable/other (please comment). |

   **Citation and/or comment:** The Bank of Namibia Quarterly Bulletin is often released with some delays.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
93. Does the executive release to the public a mid-year review of the budget that discusses the changes in economic outlook since the budget was enacted?

   a. Yes, the mid-year review includes an extensive discussion of the economy that includes a revised forecast for the full fiscal year and its effects on the budget.
   b. Yes, the mid-year review includes a discussion of the economy, but it lacks some details.
   c. Yes, the mid-year review includes a discussion of the economy, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, the mid-year review does not include a discussion of the economy, or the executive does not release a mid-year review of the budget.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Citation and/or comment:** The Minister of Finance generally tables a revised budget six or more months after the main budget in which changes in economic outlook are discussed. The minister has however stated her intention to reduce the importance of the revised budget or do away with it altogether since it is generally seen as an obstacle to good planning in the main budget.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>94.</th>
<th>Does the executive release to the public a mid-year review of the budget that includes updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, the mid-year review includes updated expenditure estimates for the full fiscal year, providing extensive information on why estimates have changed from the original enacted levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, the mid-year review includes updated estimates of expenditure, but some details on why estimates have changed are not provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, the mid-year review includes updated estimates of expenditure, but important details on why estimates have changed are not provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, the mid-year review does not include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year, or the executive does not release a mid-year review of the budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The revised budget contains revised appropriations which may or may not be significantly different from the main budget estimates.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
95. What is the most detail provided in the mid-year review for expenditures?

   a. The mid-year review includes program-level detail for expenditures.
   b. The mid-year review includes departmental totals (or functional totals) and some additional details (for instance, sub-department totals or major programs).
   c. The mid-year review includes only departmental totals (or functional totals).
   d. The mid-year review includes less information than departmental totals (or functional totals) for expenditures, or the executive does not release a mid-year review of the budget.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** Since adopting the Medium Term Expenditure Framework, the government has attempted to do away with the estimates of revenue and expenditure. It is unclear what will be provided in the forthcoming revised budget (mid-year review). The last full mid-year review was in 2003/04 and this included everything that the main ERE included. However, the MTEF was not updated.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

96. Does the executive release to the public a mid-year review of the budget that includes updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?

   a. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated revenue estimates for the full fiscal year, providing extensive information on why estimates have changed from the original enacted levels.
   b. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated estimates of revenue, but some details on why estimates have changed are not provided.
   c. Yes, the mid-year review includes updated estimates of revenue, but important details on why estimates have changed are not provided.
   d. No, the mid-year review does not include updated revenue estimates for the budget year, or the executive does not release a mid-year review of the budget.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** The revised budget contains revenue estimates that are often substantially revised in as much detail as the original main budget.

   **Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

   **Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
97. Does the executive seek input from the legislature when the executive shifts funds between administrative units (except when the amounts are below a certain level, as specified in law or regulation)?

   a. Yes, the executive seeks approval from the legislature before shifting funds between administrative units.
   b. Yes, the executive advises the legislature before shifting funds, giving the legislature an opportunity to block or modify the proposed adjustment.
   c. Yes, the executive seeks legislative approval, but only after the shift of funds has been implemented.
   d. No, the executive shifts funds between administrative units without seeking input from the legislature.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The executive must seek parliamentary approval to move money between votes (which are administrative units) but not to move money within votes.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: The State Finance Act does not allow virements that transfer funds between programs or that shift funds from development to current expenditures. This requires approval from the legislature.

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

98. During the past year, have there been credible reported instances in which the procurement of goods and services has not followed an open and competitive process in practice?

   a. There were no credible reported instances of procurement failing to follow an open and competitive process in practice during the past year.
   b. The procurement of goods and services frequently followed an open and competitive process in practice, but there were some reported instances of irregularities.
   c. The procurement of goods and service followed an open and competitive process in many instances, but there were significant reported examples of irregularities.
   d. No, the procurement process was not open and competitive in practice.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Tender exemptions can be granted under certain circumstances. Military contracts and prestige projects are often not conducted through open and competitive processes.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
99. When does the legislature typically approve supplemental budgets?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Supplemental budgets are approved before the funds are expended, or the executive rarely proposes a supplemental budget (please specify).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Supplemental budgets are approved after the funds are expended, or the executive implements supplemental budgets without ever receiving approval from the legislature (please specify).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The government uses the revised budget as a supplemental budget. Revised budgets normally are proposed every year. The revised budget has to be approved before funds are expended.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

100. In most years, how large are supplemental budget requests relative to the size of the original budget?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Supplemental budgets are generally equal to 2 percent or less of the original budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Supplemental budgets are generally equal to more than 2 percent, but less than 5 percent, of the original budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Supplemental budget are generally equal to more than 5 percent, but less than 10 percent, of the original budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Supplemental budget are generally equal to 10 percent or more of the original budget, or insufficient information is available to the public regarding the amount of supplemental budgets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** This can vary enormously and depends largely on how conservative revenue forecasts contained in the main budget were. In the last revised budget, which was in 2003/04, total spending after revisions remained the same and funds were merely reallocated from one program to another.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
101. When does the legislature approve the expenditure of contingency funds or other funds for which no specific purpose was identified in the budget?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Expenditures are approved before the funds are expended, or contingency funds or other funds with no specific purposes are not included in the budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Expenditures are approved after the funds are expended, but before the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Expenditures are approved after the end of the fiscal year, for example in the next budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Such expenditure takes place without legislative approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: Expenditures from the contingency budget are typically approved when the budget is revised.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

Executive’s Year-End Report and the Supreme Audit Institution

102. How long after the end of the budget year does the executive release to the public a year-end report or another document that discusses the budget’s actual outcome for the year?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Reports are released six months or less after the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Reports are released 12 months or less (but more than six months) after the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Reports are released more than 12 months after the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>The executive does not release a year-end report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: There is no dedicated or specific year-end report. Actual budget outcomes for BY-2 are presented with every budget but are not discussed in any detail. The Bank of Namibia Annual Report is generally released in March of the year following the end of the fiscal year (for example in March 2005 for the year 2003/04).

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
103. In the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), have the data on the actual outcomes been audited?

   a. Yes, all data on actual outcomes have been audited.
   b. At least two-thirds, but not all, of the data on actual outcomes have been audited.
   c. Less than two-thirds of the data on actual outcomes have been audited.
   d. None of the data on actual outcomes has been audited, or a year-end report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: Audit reports are generally conducted several years after the budget year has ended.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

104. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The central bank Annual Report (refer to pp. 43-44) provides some explanation on the differences between the enacted budget and the actual outcome for expenditures.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
105. What level of detail is the focus of the explanation of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for expenditures presented in the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below)?

   a. The explanation of the differences between the enacted expenditure levels and the actual outcome focuses on the program level.
   b. The explanation focuses on departmental totals (or functional totals) or some lower level of detail (but not the program level in all cases).
   c. The explanation focuses on a higher level of aggregation than departmental totals (or functional totals).
   d. No explanation of the differences is provided, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   
   Citation and/or comment: The Bank of Namibia Annual Report provides an explanation of aggregated totals while explaining differences between the enacted budget and the actual outcome for expenditures.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:

106. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   Citation and/or comment: The revised budget may contain some explanation of differences. The Bank of Namibia Annual Report (see pp. 42-43) provides some explanation on the differences between the enacted budget and the actual outcome for revenues.

   Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

   Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The Bank of Namibia Annual Report (see pp. 48-51) provides some information on the difference between the original macroeconomic assumptions and the actual outcome.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the original estimates of non-financial data and the actual outcome?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The Bank of Namibia Annual Report does not deal with non-financial data.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
109. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the original performance indicators and the actual outcome?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** The PEMP performance indicators are relatively new but so far there is no real explanation of the difference between the original performance indicators and the actual outcome.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

110. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), explain the difference between the enacted level of funds intended to benefit directly the country’s most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

   a. Yes, an extensive explanation of the differences is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.
   b. Yes, an explanation is presented, highlighting key differences, but some details are excluded.
   c. Yes, some explanation is presented, but it lacks important details.
   d. No, an explanation is not presented, or such a report is not released.
   e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

   **Citation and/or comment:** There is no focus on expenditures intended to benefit the most impoverished populations.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q111</th>
<th>111. Does the year-end report, or another document released to the public by the executive (please specify below), present the actual outcome for extra-budgetary funds?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Yes, extensive information on the actual outcome for extra-budgetary funds is presented, including both a narrative discussion and quantitative estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Yes, information is presented, highlighting key issues, but some details are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Yes, some information is presented, but it lacks important details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>No, information is not presented on extra-budgetary funds, or such a report is not released.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The MTEF document presents some information on actual outcome for extra-budgetary funds but this information is not provided in an annual report.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q112</th>
<th>112. How long after the end of the fiscal year are the final expenditures of national departments audited and (except for secret programs) released to the public?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Final audited accounts are released to the public six months or less after the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Final audited accounts are released 12 months or less (but more than six months) after the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Final audit accounts are released more than 12 months, but within 24 months of the end of the fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Final audited accounts are not completed within 24 months after the end of the fiscal year or they are not released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Citation and/or comment:** The Auditor General currently has a backlog of three years. To speed things up, he has decided to publish individual audit reports on separate votes rather than wait for all of them to be ready at the same time.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>113. Two years after the completion of a fiscal year, what percentage of expenditure has been audited and (except for secret programs) the reports released to the public?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. All expenditures have been audited and the reports released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Expenditures representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditure have been audited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Expenditures representing less than two-thirds of expenditure have been audited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. No expenditures have been audited, or the reports have not been released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: The 2001/02 Auditor General’s report has not yet been published.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>114. Do year-end audit reports of departmental expenditure that are released to the public include an executive summary?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. All of these audit reports include an executive summary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Most of these reports include an executive summary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Some of these reports include an executive summary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. None of these audit reports include an executive summary, or such reports are not released to the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation and/or comment: When they finally appear, audit reports contain an executive summary.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Option A</th>
<th>Option B</th>
<th>Option C</th>
<th>Option D</th>
<th>Option E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?</td>
<td>a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before they are removed.</td>
<td>b. No, the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.</td>
<td>c. Not applicable/other (please comment).</td>
<td>Citation and/or comment: Under the constitution, the Auditor General can only be removed by a 2/3 majority of the National Assembly and then only due to mental incapacity or corruption.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116. Does the Supreme Audit Institution release to the public audits of extra-budgetary funds?</td>
<td>a. Yes, the SAI releases to the public audits of all extra-budgetary funds.</td>
<td>b. Yes, the SAI releases audits representing at least two-thirds of, but not all, extra-budgetary funds.</td>
<td>c. Yes, the SAI releases audits representing less than two-thirds of all extra-budgetary funds.</td>
<td>d. No, the SAI does not release to the public audits of extra-budgetary funds, or it does not audit such funds.</td>
<td>e. Not applicable/other (please comment). Citation and/or comment: The Auditor General does not produce such audit reports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
117. Beyond the established year-end attestation audits, does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?

a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.
b. The SAI has significant discretion, but faces some limitations.
c. The SAI has some discretion, but faces considerable limitations.
d. The SAI has no discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The Auditor General has to be requested to undertake an audit by the president (Section 25 (2) of the State Finance Act 1991) for non-statutory bodies. Thus the SAI does not have complete discretion to undertake audits.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment: A more appropriate response to this question would be “b.” Article 127 of the Namibian Constitution requires the Auditor General to audit the State Revenue Fund (all public resources channeled through the SRF) and other functions assigned to him/her by the government or by act of parliament, and to report to the National Assembly. Powers and duties are elaborated on in the State Finance Act. This means that the Auditor General has full powers and authority to audit all public resources. The Auditor General can then also audit non-public resources (say a corrupt private firm) with the permission of the president. The president can, however, under section 25 (3) of the State Finance Act, demand that an account, based on confidentiality, be excluded from detailed examination.

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment: The non-statutory bodies that the president may request the Auditor General to undertake an audit of include a number of parastatal (mixed private and public ownership) establishments.

IBP Comment: IBP editors chose answer “c” to maintain the consistency of the assumptions used in selecting answers across the countries.

118. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution?

a. The budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.
b. The budget of the SAI is determined by the executive, and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.
c. The budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.
d. The budget of the SAI is determined by the executive, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.
e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: The budget of the SAI is determined within the budget process by the legislature like any other budget expenditure item. However, since most of the legislature is part of the cabinet, the budget is in effect decided by the executive.

Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:

Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:
119. Does a committee of the legislature view and scrutinize the audit reports?

- a. Yes, all audit reports are scrutinized.
- b. Yes, most audit reports are scrutinized.
- c. Yes, some audit reports are scrutinized.
- d. No, audit reports are not scrutinized.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Citation and/or comment:** In theory audit reports are scrutinized by the Public Accounts Committee of the National Assembly. However, it is difficult to determine how many such reports are scrutinized.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

120. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

- a. Yes, the executive reports publicly on what steps it has taken to address audit findings.
- b. Yes, the executive reports publicly on most audit findings.
- c. Yes, the executive reports publicly on some audit findings.
- d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Citation and/or comment:** No such report has ever been produced.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**

121. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution or the legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?

- a. Yes, a comprehensive report is released regularly that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations.
- b. Yes, a report is released, covering key audit recommendations, but some details are excluded.
- c. Yes, a report is released, but it lacks important details.
- d. No, a report is not produced or it is prepared for internal purposes only (please specify).
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

**Citation and/or comment:** No such report has ever been produced.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**
122. Are audit reports related to national security and other secret programs provided to the legislature (or relevant committee)?

- a. Yes, legislators are provided with detailed audit reports related to national security and other secret programs.
- b. Yes, legislators are provided audit reports on secret items, but some details are excluded.
- c. Yes, legislators are provided audit reports on secret items, but they lack important details.
- d. No, legislators are not provided audit reports on secret items, or secret programs are not audited (please specify).
- e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Citation and/or comment: No such report is produced. However, the audit on the defense vote is released for scrutiny.

**Peer Reviewer ONE Comment:**

**Peer Reviewer TWO Comment:**