Croatia's score on the Open Budget Index shows that the government provides the public with some information on the central government’s budget and financial activities during the course of the budget year. This makes it difficult for citizens to hold government accountable for its management of the public’s money.

The Open Budget Index 2008 evaluates the quantity and type of information that governments make available to their publics in the seven key budget documents that should be issued during the budget year. One of the most important documents is the executive’s budget proposal. It should contain the executive’s plans for the upcoming year along with the cost of the proposed activities. The proposal should be available to the public and to the legislature prior to being finalized, at least three months before the start of the budget year to allow for sufficient review and public debate.

In Croatia, the proposal provides some information to the public, meaning citizens have a general, albeit incomplete, picture of the government’s plans for taxing and spending for the upcoming year. Moreover, it is somewhat difficult to track spending, revenue collection and borrowing during the year. Croatia publishes fairly detailed in-year reports but does not publish a mid-year review. Publishing this document could strengthen public accountability by providing a more comprehensive update on how the budget is being implemented during the year.

It is also somewhat difficult to assess budget performance in Croatia once the budget year is over. A year-end report is produced, allowing comparisons between what was budgeted and what was actually spent and collected, but it lacks some important details. Also, while Croatia does make its audit report public, it provides limited information on whether the audit report’s recommendations are successfully implemented.

Access to the highly detailed budget information, particularly non-financial information, needed to understand the government’s progress in undertaking a specific project or activity remains limited. This is despite the fact that Croatia has codified the right to access government information into law, through the Right to Access Information Law.

### Highlights
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**Public Participation and Institutions of Accountability**

Beyond improving access to key budget documents, there are other ways in which Croatia’s budget process could be made more open.

Opportunities for citizen participation in budget debates could be increased. For example, the legislature does not hold hearings on the individual budgets of central government administrative units in which the public can participate.

Croatia’s Supreme Audit Institution enjoys a fair amount of discretion to decide which audits to undertake. In addition, while the SAI maintains formal mechanisms of communication with the public to receive complaints, it has received little information from the public on potential subjects for audit.
The Open Budget Index evaluates the quantity and type of information available to the public in a country's budget documents. A country’s placement within a performance category was determined by averaging the response to 91 questions on the Open Budget Questionnaire related to information contained in the eight key budget documents that all countries should make available to the public.
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The International Budget Project was established as part of the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, a Washington, DC-based non-partisan non-profit research organization, in 1997 to support civil society organizations around the world interested in strengthening public budget processes, institutions and outcomes. For more information on the IBP and the Open Budget Initiative 2008, please visit www.openbudgetindex.org