Sri Lanka’s score on the Open Budget Index shows that the government provides the public with substantial information on the central government’s budget and financial activities during the course of the budget year. This gives citizens tools to hold government accountable for its management of the public’s money.

The Open Budget Index 2008 evaluates the quantity and type of information that governments make available to their publics in the seven key budget documents that should be issued during the budget year. One of the most important documents is the executive’s budget proposal. It should contain the executive’s plans for the upcoming year along with the cost of the proposed activities. The proposal should be available to the public and to the legislature prior to being finalized, at least three months before the start of the budget year to allow for sufficient review and public debate.

In Sri Lanka, while the proposal provides substantial information to the public, it does lack some important details, meaning citizens have a somewhat comprehensive picture of the government’s plans for taxing and spending for the upcoming year. Moreover, it is somewhat difficult to track spending, revenue collection and borrowing during the year. Sri Lanka publishes its in-year reports, but these lack several important details and are not published in a timely manner. It also publishes a mid-year review, but this does not include an explanation for updated expenditure and revenue estimates for the rest of the budget year.

It is fairly easy to assess budget performance in Sri Lanka once the budget year is over. A year-end report is produced, allowing comparisons between what was budgeted and what was actually spent and collected, though this too lacks some important details. Also, though Sri Lanka makes its audit report public, no information is provided on whether the audit report’s recommendations are successfully implemented.

Access to the highly detailed budget information needed to understand the government’s progress in undertaking a specific project or activity remains limited. Sri Lanka has not codified the right to access government information into law.

Public Participation and Institutions of Accountability

Beyond improving access to key budget documents, there are other ways in which Sri Lanka’s budget process could be made more open.

Opportunities for citizen participation in budget debates could be increased. For example, the legislature does not hold hearings on the budget in which the public can participate.

The independence of Sri Lanka’s Supreme Audit Institution is somewhat limited. The head of the SAI may be removed by the executive, and while the SAI has some discretion to decide which audits to undertake, it does not have a budget sufficient to fulfill its mandate.
The Open Budget Index evaluates the quantity and type of information available to the public in a country's budget documents. A country’s placement within a performance category was determined by averaging the response to 91 questions on the Open Budget Questionnaire related to information contained in the eight key budget documents that all countries should make available to the public.
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The International Budget Project was established as part of the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, a Washington, DC-based non-partisan non-profit research organization, in 1997 to support civil society organizations around the world interested in strengthening public budget processes, institutions and outcomes. For more information on the IBP and the Open Budget Initiative 2008, please visit www.openbudgetindex.org
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