The Government of Angola provides the public with minimal budget information. Angola’s score of 26 out of 100 is substantially lower than the global average score of 45.

Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Angola’s score of 26 out of 100 is substantially lower than the global average score of 45.

Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document: Not produced, Published Late, Internal Use, Scant, Minimal, Limited, Substantial, or Extensive.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

Angola’s score of 26 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is largely the same as its score in 2012.

However, the Government of Angola has been inconsistent in which documents are made publicly available in a given year. Since 2012, the Government of Angola has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Citizens Budget.
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

However, the Government of Angola has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Producing the In-Year Reports and Year-End Report for internal use only.

Moreover, the Government of Angola has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Producing an Audit Report and Mid-Year Review but failing to make them publicly available.
- The inconsistency of production and publication of the Citizens Budget.

In the 2006 and 2008 rounds of the Open Budget Survey, the document was published on time, but not until the 2015 Open Budget Survey did the government again publish the document.
- Not producing a Pre-Budget Statement.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Regional Comparison

Angola’s score of 12 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
BUDGET OVERSIGHT

The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

Oversight by the Legislature

The legislature provides weak oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and no oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The legislature does not have a specialized budget research office. In both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget, spending any unanticipated revenue, and spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget. Moreover, the legislature does not hold public meetings to review Audit Reports.

Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides weak budget oversight. Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. However, the head of the supreme audit institution can be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which undermines its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has no quality assurance system in place.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Improving Transparency
Angola should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

■ Publish the Year-End Report, Audit Report, In-Year Reports, and Mid-Year Review in a timely and consistent way.
■ Produce and publish the Pre-Budget Statement.
■ Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, for example, by presenting more comprehensive information on the classification of expenditures for future years and the classification of expenditures for prior years.

Improving Participation
Angola should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

■ Ensure the public is informed of the purpose of public budget engagements and provided with sufficient information to participate effectively.
■ Open hearings on the Audit Report to the public.
■ Publish reports on public budget hearings.
■ Promote the involvement of civil society in the monitoring of budget execution.
■ Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

Improving Oversight
Angola should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

■ Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
■ In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget, the spending of any unanticipated revenue, and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
■ Require legislative or judicial approval to remove the head of the supreme audit institution.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Angola.
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Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time. 