The Government of Azerbaijan provides the public with limited budget information. The Government of Azerbaijan is weak in providing the public with opportunities to engage in the budget process.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Azerbaijan’s score of 51 out of 100 is a little higher than the global average score of 45.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

Since 2012, the Government of Azerbaijan has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Citizens Budget.

However, the Government of Azerbaijan has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Producing a Pre-Budget Statement.

Azerbaijan’s score of 51 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is higher than its score in 2012.

Public Participation

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Regional Comparison

Azerbaijan’s score of 19 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

### Oversight by the Legislature

The legislature provides **limited** oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and **weak** oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The legislature does not have a specialized budget research office, and regular consultations on budget matters between the executive and the legislature do not take place. Moreover, in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

### Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides **limited** budget oversight. Under the law, it has some discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has a weak quality assurance system in place.

### Recommendations

#### Improving Transparency
Azerbaijan should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Produce and publish a Pre-Budget Statement and Mid-Year Review.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more details on policy narratives and performance information.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report.

#### Improving Oversight
Azerbaijan should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
- Grant the supreme audit institution full powers to undertake audits as it sees fit.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

The Government of Azerbaijan provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:

Kenan Aslanli (independent expert on fiscal policy)
kenan.aslanli@yahoo.com

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
■ The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
■ Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
■ A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.