The Government of Fiji provides the public with **scant** budget information. Fiji’s score of 15 out of 100 is substantially lower than the global average score of 45.

Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

**Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle**

Fiji’s score of 15 out of 100 is substantially lower than the global average score of 45.

**Change in Transparency Over Time**

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document: Not produced, Published Late, Internal Use, Scant, Minimal, Limited, Substantial, or Extensive.

1 During the Survey research period, Fiji did not have a legislature in place. However, parliamentary elections were held in 2014.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time
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Fiji’s score of 15 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is higher than its score in 2012.

Since 2012, the Government of Fiji has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Year-End Report.

However, the Government of Fiji has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not making the Executive’s Budget Proposal available to the public.
- Not making the In-Year Reports, Mid-Year Review, and Audit Report available to the public.
- Not producing and publishing a Citizens Budget.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Fiji’s score of 10 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.

---

According to the results from the Open Budget Survey Tracker (www.obstracker.org), following the close of the Survey research period on 30 June 2014, Fiji has published the 2015 Executive’s Budget Proposal and the 2013 Audit Report in a timely manner.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

### Oversight by the Legislature

During the Survey research period, Fiji did not have a legislature in place.

### Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides **weak** budget oversight. Under the law, it has some discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution can be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which undermines its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate but has a limited quality assurance system in place.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Improving Transparency

Fiji should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish a Pre-Budget Statement, an Enacted Budget, an In-Year Report, and a Mid-Year Review.
- Produce and publish a Citizens Budget and a Year-End Report.

#### Improving Participation

Fiji should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and to participate in audit investigations.

#### Improving Oversight

Fiji should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
- Ensure the Executive’s Budget Proposal is provided to legislators at least three months before the start of the budget year.
- Require legislative or judicial approval to remove the head of the supreme audit institution.

---

3 According to the Open Budget Survey Tracker (www.obstracker.org), the 2015 Pre-Budget Statement, 2015 Enacted Budget, and 2013 Year-End Report are considered not publicly available. The 2014 Pre-Budget Statement, 2014 Enacted Budget, and 2012 Year-End Report, which were assessed as part of the Open Budget Survey 2015, were published in a timely manner.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Fiji.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Citizens Constitutional Forum
23 Denison Road
P.O. Box 12584
Suva, Fiji
research@ccf.org.fj

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
■ The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
■ Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
■ A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.