### Transparency (Open Budget Index)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Government of Macedonia provides the public with **minimal** budget information.

### Public Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Government of Macedonia is **weak** in providing the public with opportunities to engage in the budget process.

### Budget Oversight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**By Legislature**: Budget oversight by the legislature in Macedonia is **limited**.

**By Audit**: Budget oversight by the supreme audit institution in Macedonia is **adequate**.

### Regional Comparison

Macedonia’s score of 35 out of 100 is moderately lower than the global average score of 45.

### Change in Transparency Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Extensive</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Limited</th>
<th>Minimal</th>
<th>Scant or None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Transparency (Open Budget Survey 2015)

Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

### Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

- **Budget Formulation**
  - Pre-Budget Statement: Not produced
  - Executive’s Budget Proposal: Minimal
- **Enacted Budget**
  - Extensive
- **Budget Approval**
  - Audit Report: Substantial
  - Year-End Report: Limited
  - Mid-Year Review: Produced for Internal Use
  - In-Year Reports: Minimal
- **Budget Execution**
  - Citizens Budget: Not produced

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document:
- Not produced
- Published Late
- Internal Use
- Scant
- Minimal
- Limited
- Substantial
- Extensive
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

Macedonia’s score of 35 on the 2015 Open Budget Index has remained the same as its score in 2012.

Since 2012, the Government of Macedonia has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report.

However, the Government of Macedonia has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Producing a Mid-Year Review but failing to make it publicly available.
- Not producing a Pre-Budget Statement and a Citizens Budget.
- Publishing In-Year Reports that only contain minimal budget information.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Macedonia’s score of 6 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

**Oversight by the Legislature**

The legislature provides limited oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. A pre-budget debate by the legislature does not take place, and, in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to spending any unanticipated revenue and spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

**Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution**

The supreme audit institution provides adequate budget oversight. Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has an adequate quality assurance system in place.

**Recommendations**

**Improving Transparency**
Macedonia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:
- Publish a Mid-Year Review.
- Produce and publish a Citizens Budget and a Pre-Budget Statement.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on the classification of expenditures for future years and the classification of expenditures for prior years.

**Improving Participation**
Macedonia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:
- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies as well as on audit reports at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

**Improving Oversight**
Macedonia should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:
- Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the spending of any unanticipated revenue and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Macedonia.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Marjan Nikolov
Center for Economic Analyses
Bul. Jane Sandanski 63/3
1000 Skopje
Macedonia
info@cea.org.mk

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.