## Transparency (Open Budget Index)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score (out of 100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyz Republic</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Government of Mongolia provides the public with **limited** budget information.

### Public Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score (out of 100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Government of Mongolia is **weak** in providing the public with opportunities to engage in the budget process.

### Budget Oversight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Score (out of 100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By Audit</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Legislature</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budget oversight by the supreme audit institution in Mongolia is **adequate**.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

### Regional Comparison

- **Global Average**: 45
- **Russia**: 74
- **Georgia**: 66
- **Kyrgyz Republic**: 54
- **Mongolia**: 51
- **Kazakhstan**: 51
- **Azerbaijan**: 51
- **Tajikistan**: 25

Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

Mongolia’s score of 51 out of 100 is moderately higher than the global average score of 45.

### Change in Transparency Over Time

- **Extensive**: 18
- **Substantial**: 38
- **Limited**: 60
- **Minimal**: 51
- **Scant or None**: 51

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document: Not produced, Published Late, Internal Use, Scant, Minimal, Limited, Substantial, or Extensive.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

Mongolia's score of 51 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is the same as its score in 2012.

However, the Government of Mongolia has been inconsistent in which documents are made publicly available in a given year.

Since 2012, the Government of Mongolia has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Citizens Budget (for the Enacted Budget).
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report.

However, the Government of Mongolia has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not producing a Mid-year Review.
- Not publishing the full version of 2014 Executive's Budget Proposal. (The Ministry of Finance only published appendices with budget estimates. The full version of the Proposal was published only by the legislature.)

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Mongolia’s score of 19 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

**Oversight by the Legislature**

The legislature provides **adequate** oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and **limited** oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. In both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

**Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution**

The supreme audit institution provides **adequate** budget oversight. Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has a limited quality assurance system in place.

**Recommendations**

**Improving Transparency**

Mongolia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Produce and publish a Mid-Year Review and a Citizens Budget for the Executive’s Budget Proposal.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, for example by presenting more details on the classification of expenditures for future years and macroeconomic forecasts.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report by presenting more details on planned versus actual performance.

**Improving Participation**

Mongolia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to participate in audit investigations.

**Improving Oversight**

Mongolia should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
- Ensure the legislature holds public meetings to review Audit Reports.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Mongolia.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Oyunbadam Davaakhuu
Open Society Forum
Jamiyan Gun Street-5/, Sukhbaatar District, Ulaanbaatar 14240
Mongolia
osf@forum.mn

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.