Change in Transparency Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Scant or None</th>
<th>Minimal</th>
<th>Limited</th>
<th>Substantial</th>
<th>Extensive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Niger’s score of 17 out of 100 is substantially lower than the global average score of 45.

REGIONAL COMPARISON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Transparency Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dem. Rep. of Congo</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

- **Budget Formulation**
  - Pre-Budget Statement: Substantial
  - Executive’s Budget Proposal: Minimal
- **Budget Approval**
  - Enacted Budget: Produced for Internal Use
  - In-Year Reports: Produced for Internal Use
- **Budget Execution**
  - Mid-Year Review: Not Produced
  - Year-End Report: Produced for Internal Use
- **Budget Oversight**
  - Audit Report: Not Produced
  - Citizens Budget: Not Produced

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document:

- Not produced
- Published Late
- Internal Use
- Scant
- Minimal
- Limited
- Substantial
- Extensive
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Budget Statement</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive’s Budget Proposal</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enacted Budget</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Year Reports</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Review</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Report</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ● Not produced/published late
- ● Produced for internal use
- ● Published

Niger’s score of 17 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is substantially higher than its score in 2012.

However, the Government of Niger has been inconsistent in which documents are made publicly available in a given year.

Since 2012, the Government of Niger has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Pre-Budget Statement and the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

However, the Government of Niger has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Producing the Enacted Budget for internal use only.

Moreover, the Government of Niger has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not making the In-Year Reports or Year-End Report available to the public.
- Not producing a Citizens Budget, a Mid-Year Review, and an Audit Report.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Regional Comparison

Niger’s score of 4 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

**Oversight by the Legislature**

- The legislature provides **adequate** oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and **adequate** oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle.
- However, a pre-budget debate by the legislature does not take place. Regular consultations on budget matters between the executive and the legislature do not take place. Finally, in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

**Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution**

- The supreme audit institution provides **limited** budget oversight.
- Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has no quality assurance system in place.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

### Improving Transparency

Niger should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish the Enacted Budget, In-Year Reports and a Year-End Report.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on the classification of expenditures for the budget year and presenting the classification of expenditures for future years.

### Improving Participation

Niger should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies as well as on audit reports at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

### Improving Oversight

Niger should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Ensure the legislature holds a pre-budget debate and the outcome is reflected in the Enacted Budget.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
- Ensure the supreme audit institution has adequate funding to perform its duties, as determined by an independent body (e.g., the legislature or judiciary).
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Niger.
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Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.