### Transparency (Open Budget Index)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Transparency Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Timor-Leste’s score of 41 out of 100 is a little lower than the global average score of 45.

### Change in Transparency Over Time

- **2010**: Minimal
- **2012**: Minimal
- **2015**: Substantial

### Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

- **Pre-Budget Statement**: Not produced
- **Executive’s Budget Proposal**: Limited
- **Enacted Budget**: Substantial
- **Citizens Budget**: Limited
- **In-Year Reports**: Substantial
- **Mid-Year Review**: Not produced
- **Year-End Report**: Produced for Internal Use
- **Audit Report**: Limited

**Note:** The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document: Not produced, Published Late, Internal Use, Scant, Minimal, Limited, Substantial, or Extensive.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Budget Statement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive’s Budget Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enacted Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Year Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Not produced/published late  - Produced for internal use  - Published

Timor-Leste’s 5 point increase in its OBI score since 2012 appears to be somewhat understated due to the refinements made to the 2015 questionnaire, which includes new and improved questions that aim to better measure budget transparency (see the Technical Note in the global report for details).

Since 2012, the Government of Timor-Leste has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Citizens Budget and Audit Report.
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

However, the Government of Timor-Leste has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Producing a Year-End Report but failing to make it publicly available.
- Not producing a Pre-Budget Statement or a Mid-Year Review.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Timor-Leste’s score of 10 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

**Oversight by the Legislature**

The legislature provides limited oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The Executive’s Budget Proposal is not approved by legislators at least one month before the start of the budget year, and in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

**Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution**

The supreme audit institution provides adequate budget oversight. Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate but has a weak quality assurance system in place.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Improving Transparency**
Timor-Leste should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish a Year-End Report.
- Produce and publish a Pre-Budget Statement and Mid-Year Review.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on the functional classification of expenditures for the budget year and the classification of expenditures for future years.

**Improving Participation**
Timor-Leste should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies, as well as on audit reports, at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

**Improving Oversight**
Timor-Leste should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Ensure the Executive’s Budget Proposal is approved by legislators at least one month before the start of the budget year.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Timor-Leste.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Juvinal Dias and Charles Schneider
La'o Hamutuk
PO Box 340
Rua Martires da Patria Bebora
Dili
Timor-Leste
Info@laohamutuk.org

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.