Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Tunisia’s score of 42 out of 100 is a little lower than the global average score of 45.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Budget Statement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive’s Budget Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enacted Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Year Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since 2012, the Government of Tunisia has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Executive’s Budget Proposal and Citizens Budget.
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Year-End Report.

However, the Government of Tunisia has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Failing to produce an Audit Report.
- Reducing the comprehensiveness of the Enacted Budget.

Moreover, the Government of Tunisia has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not producing a Pre-Budget Statement and a Mid-Year Review.

Tunisia’s score of 42 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is substantially higher than its score in 2012.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Regional Comparison

Tunisia’s score of 21 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role – often enshrined in national constitutions – in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

Oversight by the Legislature

The legislature provides **limited** oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and **weak** oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle.

The Executive’s Budget Proposal is not provided to legislators at least three months before the start of the budget year. A pre-budget debate by the legislature does not take place. Finally, in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget, spending any unanticipated revenue, or spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides **limited** budget oversight.

Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. However, the head of the supreme audit institution can be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which undermines its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate but has an adequate quality assurance system in place.

### Recommendations

#### Improving Transparency

Tunisia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:
- Produce and publish a Pre-Budget Statement and a Mid-Year Review.
- Publish in a timely manner the Audit Report.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on the classification of expenditures for future years and the classification of expenditures for prior years.

#### Improving Participation

Tunisia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:
- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies as well as on audit reports at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

#### Improving Oversight

Tunisia should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:
- Ensure the Executive’s Budget Proposal is provided to legislators at least three months before the start of the budget year.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget, the spending of any unanticipated revenue, and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
- Require legislative or judicial approval to remove the head of the supreme audit institution.

---

1 According to updated results from the Open Budget Survey Tracker (www.obstracker.org), Tunisia failed to publish the 2013 Audit Report in a timely manner.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

The Government of Tunisia provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Karim Trabelsi
Union Générale Tunisienne du Travail (UGTT)
29 place Med Ali
1000 Tunis
Tunisia
krimtrabelsi@yahoo.fr

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.

www.internationalbudget.org    info@internationalbudget.org