Change in Transparency Over Time

Uganda’s score of 62 out of 100 is substantially higher than the global average score of 45.

Regional Comparison

Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators are used to assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public in a timely manner and whether the data contained in these documents are comprehensive and useful.

The Government of Uganda provides the public with substantial budget information.

The Government of Uganda is weak in providing the public with opportunities to engage in the budget process.

Budget oversight by the legislature in Uganda is limited.

Budget oversight by the supreme audit institution in Uganda is adequate.

The Government of Uganda provides the public with substantial budget information.

The Government of Uganda is weak in providing the public with opportunities to engage in the budget process.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

Uganda’s score of 62 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is largely the same as its score in 2012.

Since 2012, the Government of Uganda has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the In-Year Reports, Mid-Year Review, and Year-End Report.

However, the Government of Uganda has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Failing to produce the Citizens Budget.
- Reducing the comprehensiveness of the Audit Report.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Regional Comparison

Uganda’s score of 23 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role — often enshrined in national constitutions — in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

**Oversight by the Legislature**

The legislature provides **limited** oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and **adequate** oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle.

The Executive’s Budget Proposal is not provided to legislators at least three months before the start of the budget year. The Executive’s Budget Proposal is not approved by legislators at least one month before the start of the budget year. Finally, in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget or spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

**Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution**

The supreme audit institution provides **adequate** budget oversight.

Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. However, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has a limited quality assurance system in place.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Improving Transparency**

Uganda should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Produce and publish a Citizens Budget.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal by presenting more information on the classification of revenues for the budget year and the classification of revenues for future years.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the In-Year Reports.

**Improving Participation**

Uganda should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Ensure the public is informed of the purpose of public budget engagements and provided with sufficient information to participate effectively.
- Hold legislative hearings to review and scrutinize Audit Reports.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

**Improving Oversight**

Uganda should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Ensure the Executive’s Budget Proposal is provided to legislators at least three months before the start of the budget year.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
- Ensure the supreme audit institution has adequate funding to perform its duties, as determined by an independent body (e.g., the legislature or judiciary).
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Uganda.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Imelda Namagga
Uganda Debt Network
Plot 152/155 Ntinda Road
Ntinda
P.O. Box 21509
Kampala
Uganda
inamagga@udn.or.ug

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.