The Government of Venezuela provides the public with scant budget information.

The Government of Venezuela is weak in providing the public with opportunities to engage in the budget process.

Budget oversight by the supreme audit institution in Venezuela is adequate.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

Venezuela’s score of 8 out of 100 is substantially lower than the global average score of 45.
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Venezuela’s score of 8 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is substantially lower than its score in 2012.

Moreover, the Government of Venezuela has been inconsistent in which documents are made publicly available in a given year.

Since 2012, the Government of Venezuela has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the In-Year Reports on revenue only.

However, the Government of Venezuela has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Producing the Executive’s Budget Proposal for internal use only.
- Producing the Year-End Report for internal use only.
- Failing to produce the Audit Report.

Moreover, the Government of Venezuela has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not making the Pre-Budget Statement available to the public.
- Not producing a Citizens Budget or a Mid-Year Review.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Regional Comparison

Venezuela’s score of 23 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role — often enshrined in national constitutions — in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

**Oversight by the Legislature**

The legislature provides limited oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. Regular consultations on budget matters between the executive and the legislature do not take place. In both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget, spending any unanticipated revenue, and spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

**Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution**

The supreme audit institution provides adequate budget oversight. Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has a weak quality assurance system in place.

**Recommendations**

**Improving Transparency**

Venezuela should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish an Executive’s Budget Proposal.
- Publish a Pre-Budget Statement and Year-End Report.
- Produce and publish an Audit Report, a Citizens Budget, and a Mid-Year Review.

**Improving Participation**

Venezuela should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies as well as on audit reports at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Provide detailed feedback on how public assistance and participation has been used by the supreme audit institution.

**Improving Oversight**

Venezuela should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Establish regular consultations on budget matters between the executive and the legislature.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget, the spending of any unanticipated revenue, and the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
- Ensure the supreme audit institution has adequate funding to perform its duties, as determined by an independent body (e.g., the legislature or judiciary).
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Venezuela.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
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Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.