Change in Transparency Over Time

Regional Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Transparency Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle

Vietnam’s score of 18 out of 100 is substantially lower than the global average score of 45.

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document:
Not produced, Published Late, Internal Use, Scant, Minimal, Limited, Substantial, or Extensive.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

Since 2012, the Government of Vietnam has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Citizens Budget.
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the In-Year Reports.

However, the Government of Vietnam has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not making the Executive’s Budget Proposal available to the public.
- Not producing a Mid-year Review. The government does publish a six-month budget implementation report; however, as this document does not include revised macro-economic assumptions or fiscal estimates for the remaining six months of the budget year, it does not qualify as a Mid-year Review.

Vietnam’s score of 18 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is largely the same as its score in 2012.

**Public Participation**

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

**Regional Comparison**

Vietnam’s score of 42 out of 100 indicates that the public is provided with limited opportunities to engage in budget processes. This is higher than the global average score of 25.
The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role — often enshrined in national constitutions — in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

### Oversight by the Legislature

The legislature provides **adequate** oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and **limited** oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. While the legislature maintains a Committee for Finance and Budget that conducts budget analysis, the legislature does not have a specialized budget research office. In addition, in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

### Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides **adequate** budget oversight. Under the law, it has significant discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, the head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence. Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate but has a weak quality assurance system in place.

### Recommendations

#### Improving Transparency

Vietnam should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish an Executive’s Budget Proposal when the draft budget is presented to the National Assembly.
- Publish in a timely manner an Audit Report, ideally within six months and no later than 18 months after the end of the budget year.
- Produce and publish a Mid-Year Review. The government may consider enhancing the comprehensiveness of the six-month budget implementation report such that it qualifies as a Mid-Year Review.

#### Improving Participation

Vietnam should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Hold legislative hearings on the state of the economy that are attended by the executive and open to the public.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to participate in audit investigations.

#### Improving Oversight

Vietnam should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Establish a specialized budget research office for the legislature.
- In both law and practice, ensure the legislature is consulted prior to the spending of contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.
The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

The Government of Vietnam provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results. This is the first time that the Government of Vietnam has participated in the Open Budget Survey.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Ngo Thi Minh Huong
Center for Development and Integration
16th floor, 169 Nguyen Ngoc Vu St., Cau Giay Dist., Hanoi, Vietnam
huong.ngo@cdivietnam.org

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
■ The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
■ Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
■ A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.