Zimbabwe's score of 35 out of 100 is lower than the global average score of 45.

Each country is given a score out of 100 which determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

**Transparency (Open Budget Index)**

- **35 OUT OF 100**
  - The Government of Zimbabwe provides the public with **minimal** budget information.

**Public Participation**

- **15 OUT OF 100**
  - The Government of Zimbabwe is **weak** in providing the public with opportunities to engage in the budget process.

**Budget Oversight**

- **21 OUT OF 100**
  - **BY LEGISLATURE** Budget oversight by the legislature in Zimbabwe is **weak**.

- **33 OUT OF 100**
  - **BY AUDIT** Budget oversight by the supreme audit institution in Zimbabwe is **weak**.

**Regional Comparison**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Average</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Usefulness of Budget Information Throughout the Budget Cycle**

Zimbabwe’s score of 35 out of 100 is lower than the global average score of 45.

**Change in Transparency Over Time**

- **Extensive**
- **Substantial**
- **Limited**
- **Minimal**
- **Scant or None**

Note: The following categories are used to report the usefulness of each document: Not produced. Published Late. Internal Use. Scant. Minimal. Limited. Substantial or Extensive.
The Availability of Budget Documents Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Budget Statement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive’s Budget Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enacted Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Year Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Year Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-End Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Not produced/published late
- Produced for internal use
- Published

Zimbabwe’s score of 35 on the 2015 Open Budget Index is higher than its score in 2012.

Since 2012, the Government of Zimbabwe has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Publishing the Mid-Year Review.
- Improving the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, although it still provides only limited information.

But the Government of Zimbabwe has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not making available to the public the Enacted Budget or the Audit Report, even though they are produced for internal use.
- Not producing a Citizens Budget and a Year-End Report.
- Publishing a Pre-Budget Statement that contains only minimal budget information.

Evidence suggests that transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance, and that public participation in budgeting can maximize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

Zimbabwe’s score of 15 out of 100 indicates that the provision of opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process is weak. This is lower than the global average score of 25.

The Open Budget Survey examines the extent to which legislatures and
supreme audit institutions are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role — often enshrined in national constitutions — in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

Oversight by the Legislature

The legislature provides weak oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and weak oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The Executive’s Budget Proposal is provided to legislators only shortly before the start of the budget year, leaving inadequate time for debate. In addition, the executive does not receive prior approval from the legislature before implementing a supplemental budget, and in both law and practice, the legislature is not consulted prior to the virement of funds in the Enacted Budget, spending any unanticipated revenue, or spending contingency funds that were not identified in the Enacted Budget.

Oversight by the Supreme Audit Institution

The supreme audit institution provides weak budget oversight. The head of the supreme audit institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, which bolsters its independence; however, it has no discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit. Moreover, it is provided with insufficient resources to fulfill its mandate and has no quality assurance system in place.

Improving Transparency

Zimbabwe should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish the Enacted Budget and Audit Report.
- Produce and publish a Citizens Budget and a Year-End Report.
- Increase the comprehensiveness of the Executive’s Budget Proposal.

Improving Participation

Zimbabwe should prioritize the following actions to improve budget participation:

- Establish credible and effective mechanisms (i.e., public hearings, surveys, focus groups) for capturing a range of public perspectives on budget matters.
- Hold legislative hearings on the budgets of specific ministries, departments, and agencies, as well as on audit reports at which testimony from the public is heard.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution to formulate its audit program and participate in audit investigations.

Improving Oversight

Zimbabwe should prioritize the following actions to strengthen budget oversight:

- Ensure the Executive’s Budget Proposal is provided to legislators at least three months before the start of the budget year.
- Ensure the executive receives prior approval from the legislature before implementing a supplemental budget.
- Grant the supreme audit institution full powers to undertake audits as it sees fit.

The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed
by multilateral organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI). It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between March 2014 and September 2015 and involved about 300 experts in 102 countries. The Survey was revised somewhat from the 2012 version to reflect emerging developments in accepted good practice and to strengthen individual questions. A full discussion of these changes can be found in a technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time (see below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable party.

The Survey is compiled from a questionnaire completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government. Each country’s questionnaire is then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association to government. In addition, IBP invites national governments to comment on the draft results from the Survey and considers these comments before finalizing the Survey results.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the Government of Zimbabwe.

Research to complete this country’s Open Budget Survey was undertaken by:
Robert Ndlovu
National Association of Non-Governmental Organisations
5 Meredith Dr
Eastlea Harare
Zimbabwe
robsndlovu@gmail.com

Further Information
Visit www.openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2015: Global Report
- Individual datasets for each of the 102 countries surveyed.
- A technical note on the comparability of the Open Budget Index over time.