Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 equally weighted indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public online in a timely manner and whether these documents present budget information in a comprehensive and useful way.

Each country receives a composite score (out of 100) that determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

How has the OBI score for Botswana changed over time?

How comprehensive and useful is the information provided in the key budget documents that Botswana publishes?

Botswana’s score of 8 out of 100 is substantially lower than the global average score of 42.
Transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance. Public participation in budgeting is vital to realize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

The questions assessing participation in the Open Budget Survey 2017 were revised to align them with the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency’s new principles on public participation, which now serve as the basis for widely accepted norms on public participation in national budget processes. Therefore, data on the extent of public participation in budgeting in the Open Budget Survey 2017 cannot be compared directly to data from earlier editions.

Since 2015, Botswana has increased the availability of budget information by:
- Increasing the information provided in the Pre-Budget Statement.

However, Botswana has decreased the availability of budget information by:
- Failing to publish the Executive’s Budget Proposal online in a timely manner.
- Failing to publish the Year-End Report online in a timely manner.

Moreover, Botswana has failed to make progress in the following ways:
- Not making the In-Year Reports and Mid-Year Review available to the public.

Botswana’s score of 8 on the 2017 Open Budget Index is substantially lower than its score in 2015.

Botswana’s score is in part affected by the change in definition of “publicly available” which from OBS 2017 only recognizes those documents that are published online on the relevant government body’s official website as available to the public. Online availability is now considered a basic standard for the publication of government information. As a result of this change, Botswana no longer receives credit for the Enacted Budget and the Audit Report, which are produced only in hard copy.

How does public participation in Botswana compare to other countries in the region?

Botswana’s score of 15 out of 100 indicates that it provides few opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process. This is higher than the global average score of 12.

To what extent do different institutions in Botswana provide opportunities for public participation?
The Open Budget Survey examines the role that legislatures, supreme audit institutions, and independent fiscal institutions play in the budget process and the extent to which they are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role — often enshrined in national constitutions or laws — in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

These indicators were revised to better assess the role of formal oversight institutions in ensuring integrity and accountability in the use of public resources. Therefore, data on the role and effectiveness of oversight institutions in the Open Budget Survey 2017 should not be compared directly to data from earlier editions.

To what extent does the legislature in Botswana provide budget oversight?

The legislature provides limited oversight during the budget cycle. This score reflects that the legislature provides limited oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle and limited oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle.

Oversight by an Independent Fiscal Institution

Botswana does not have an independent fiscal institution (IFI). While IFIs are not yet widespread globally, they are increasingly recognized as an important source of independent, nonpartisan information. IFIs take a variety of different institutional forms. Common examples include parliamentary budget offices and fiscal councils.


To what extent does the supreme audit institution in Botswana provide budget oversight?

The supreme audit institution provides adequate budget oversight.

- Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit.
- Moreover, the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate, and its audit processes are reviewed by an independent agency.
- However, the head of the institution cannot be removed without legislative or judicial approval, but is not appointed by the legislature or judiciary, which calls into question its independence.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For more detailed information on the survey findings for Botswana, please see the Open Budget Survey Data Explorer at survey.internationalbudget.org.

How can Botswana improve transparency?

Botswana should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish in a timely manner the entirety of the Executive’s Budget Proposal, including the Budget Speech and all supporting tables, on the Ministry of Finance and Development Planning’s official website.

- Publish the Enacted Budget (Appropriation Act), In-Year Reports, and a Mid-Year Review on the relevant government body’s official website.

- Publish an Audit Report on the relevant government body’s official website.

- Publish the Year-End Report in a timely manner on the relevant government body’s official website.
How can Botswana improve participation?
Botswana should prioritize the following actions to improve public participation in its budget process:

- Pilot mechanisms for members of the public and executive branch officials to exchange views on national budget matters during both the formulation of the national budget and the monitoring of its implementation. These mechanisms could build on innovations, such as participatory budgeting and social audits. For examples of such mechanisms, see www.fiscaltransparency.net/mechanisms/
- Hold legislative hearings on the formulation of the annual budget, during which members of the public or civil society organizations can testify.
- Establish formal mechanisms for the public to assist the supreme audit institution in formulating its audit program and to participate in relevant audit investigations.

How can Botswana improve oversight?
Botswana should prioritize the following actions to make budget oversight more effective:

- Ensure the legislature holds a debate on budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive’s Budget Proposal and approves recommendations for the upcoming budget.
- Ensure a legislative committee examines and publishes reports on in-year budget implementation online.
- Consider setting up an independent fiscal institution.

The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations from sources such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTO-SAI) and the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency (GIFT).

It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between August 2016 and January 2018 and involved about 300 experts in 115 countries. The Open Budget Survey 2017 assesses only events, activities, or developments that occurred up to 31 December 2016. The survey was revised somewhat from the 2015 version to reflect evolving methods for disseminating budget information and to strengthen individual questions on public participation and budget oversight. A discussion of these changes can be found in the Open Budget Survey Global Report (see link below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable parties.

The survey is based on a questionnaire that is completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government.

The draft responses to each country’s questionnaire are then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association with the national government.

In addition, IBP invites nearly all national governments to comment on the draft responses and considers these comments before finalizing the survey results.

Researchers respond to comments from peer reviewers and their government, if applicable, and IBP referees any conflicting answers in order to ensure consistency across countries in selecting answers.

Despite repeated efforts, IBP was unable to get comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results from the government of Botswana.
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Further Information
Visit openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:
- The Open Budget Survey 2017: Global report
- Data explorer
- Methodology report
- Full questionnaire