Drawing on internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations, the Open Budget Survey uses 109 equally weighted indicators to measure budget transparency. These indicators assess whether the central government makes eight key budget documents available to the public online in a timely manner and whether these documents present budget information in a comprehensive and useful way.

Each country receives a composite score (out of 100) that determines its ranking on the Open Budget Index – the world’s only independent and comparative measure of budget transparency.

How has the OBI score for Colombia changed over time?

Colombia provides the public with limited budget information.

Colombia’s score of 50 out of 100 is moderately higher than the global average score of 42.
Transparency alone is insufficient for improving governance. Public participation in budgeting is vital to realize the positive outcomes associated with greater budget transparency.

To measure public participation, the Open Budget Survey assesses the degree to which the government provides opportunities for the public to engage in budget processes. Such opportunities should be provided throughout the budget cycle by the executive, the legislature, and the supreme audit institution.

The questions assessing participation in the Open Budget Survey 2017 were revised to align them with the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency’s new principles on public participation, which now serve as the basis for widely accepted norms on public participation in national budget processes. Therefore, data on the extent of public participation in budgeting in the Open Budget Survey 2017 cannot be compared directly to data from earlier editions.

Colombia’s score of 50 on the 2017 Open Budget Index is lower than its score in 2015.

Moreover, Colombia has been inconsistent in which documents are made publicly available in a given year.

Since 2015, Colombia has decreased the availability of budget information by:

- Failing to publish the Citizens Budget online in a timely manner.
- Reducing the information provided in the In-Year Reports.

Moreover, Colombia has failed to make progress in the following ways:

- Producing a Mid-Year Review but failing to make it publicly available.
- Publishing a Pre-Budget Statement and Year-End Report that only contain minimal budget information.

Colombia’s score of 15 out of 100 indicates that it provides few opportunities for the public to engage in the budget process. This is higher than the global average score of 12.

To what extent do different institutions in Colombia provide opportunities for public participation?

In-Year Reports: include information on actual revenues collected, actual expenditures made, and debt incurred at different intervals; issued quarterly or monthly.

Mid-Year Review: contains a comprehensive update on the implementation of the budget as of the middle of the fiscal year; includes a review of economic assumptions and an updated forecast of budget outcomes.

Year-End Report: describes the situation of the government’s accounts at the end of the fiscal year and, ideally, an evaluation of the progress made toward achieving the budget’s policy goals.

Audit Report: issued by the supreme audit institution, this document examines the soundness and completeness of the government’s year-end accounts.
The Open Budget Survey examines the role that legislatures, supreme audit institutions, and independent fiscal institutions play in the budget process and the extent to which they are able to provide effective oversight of the budget. These institutions play a critical role — often enshrined in national constitutions or laws — in planning budgets and overseeing their implementation.

These indicators were revised to better assess the role of formal oversight institutions in ensuring integrity and accountability in the use of public resources. Therefore, data on the role and effectiveness of oversight institutions in the Open Budget Survey 2017 should not be compared directly to data from earlier editions.

To what extent does the legislature in Colombia provide budget oversight?

The legislature provides limited oversight during the budget cycle. This score reflects that the legislature provides adequate oversight during the planning stage of the budget cycle but weak oversight during the implementation stage of the budget cycle. The main barriers to effective legislative oversight are:

- While a legislative committee does examine in-year budget implementation, it does not publish reports with recommendations online.
- In practice, as the budget is implemented, the legislature is not consulted prior to the government spending unanticipated revenue or reducing spending due to revenue shortfalls.
- A legislative committee does not examine or publish reports on the Audit Report online.

To what extent does the Supreme Audit Institution in Colombia provide budget oversight?

The supreme audit institution provides adequate budget oversight.

- Under the law, it has full discretion to undertake audits as it sees fit.
- Moreover, the head of the institution is appointed by the legislature and cannot be removed without legislative approval, which bolsters its independence.
- Finally, the supreme audit institution is provided with sufficient resources to fulfill its mandate, but its audit processes are not reviewed by an independent agency.

Oversight by an Independent Fiscal Institution

Colombia’s independent fiscal institution (IFI) is called the Comité Consultivo para la Regla Fiscal. Its independence is set in law, and it reports to the executive. It publishes its own fiscal forecasts.

While IFIs are not yet widespread globally, they are increasingly recognized as an important source of independent, nonpartisan information. IFIs take a variety of different institutional forms. Common examples include parliamentary budget offices and fiscal councils. For more information, see Lisa von Trapp, Ian Lienert, and Joachim Wehner, “Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions and Case Studies,” OECD Journal on Budgeting, March 2016 (special issue), pp. 9-24.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For more detailed information on the survey findings for Colombia, please see the Open Budget Survey Data Explorer at survey.internationalbudget.org.

How can Colombia improve transparency?

Colombia should prioritize the following actions to improve budget transparency:

- Publish a Mid-Year Review online.
- Publish in a timely manner a Citizens Budget online.
- Increase the information provided in the Executive’s Budget Proposal, providing more detail on budget performance measures, linking the budget more closely to policy, and providing more information on the macroeconomic forecast and its potential effect on the budget.
How can Colombia improve participation?
Colombia should prioritize the following actions to improve public participation in its budget process:

- Pilot mechanisms that will provide opportunities for members of the public and executive branch officials to exchange views on national budget matters during the formulation of the national budget and the monitoring of its implementation. These mechanisms could build on innovations, such as participatory budgeting and social audits. For examples of such mechanisms, see www.fiscaltransparency.net/mechanisms/.

- Hold legislative hearings on the formulation of the annual budget, during which any member of the public or civil society organizations can testify.

How can Colombia improve oversight?
Colombia should prioritize the following actions to make budget oversight more effective:

- Ensure a legislative committee examines in-year budget implementation and publishes reports with recommendations online.

- Ensure audit processes are reviewed by an independent agency.

- Publish the reports of the independent fiscal institution on cost estimates of new policy proposals online.

The government of Colombia provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results.
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The Open Budget Survey uses internationally accepted criteria developed by multilateral organizations from sources such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the International Organisation of Supreme Audit Institutions (INTOSAI) and the Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency (GIFT).

It is a fact-based research instrument that assesses what occurs in practice through readily observable phenomena. The entire research process took approximately 18 months between August 2016 and January 2018 and involved about 300 experts in 115 countries. The Open Budget Survey 2017 assesses only events, activities, or developments that occurred up to 31 December 2016. The survey was revised somewhat from the 2015 version to reflect evolving methods for disseminating budget information and to strengthen individual questions on public participation and budget oversight. A discussion of these changes can be found in the Open Budget Survey Global Report (see link below).

Survey responses are typically supported by citations and comments. This may include a reference to a public document, an official statement by the government, or comments from a face-to-face interview with a government official or other knowledgeable parties.

The survey is based on a questionnaire that is completed for each country by independent budget experts who are not associated with the national government.

The draft responses to each country’s questionnaire are then independently reviewed by an anonymous expert who also has no association with the national government.

In addition, IBP invites nearly all national governments to comment on the draft responses and considers these comments before finalizing the survey results.

Researchers respond to comments from peer reviewers and their government, if applicable, and IBP referees any conflicting answers in order to ensure consistency across countries in selecting answers.

The government of Colombia provided comments on the draft Open Budget Questionnaire results.

Further Information
Visit openbudgetsurvey.org for more information, including:

- The Open Budget Survey 2017: Global report
- Data explorer
- Methodology report
- Full questionnaire