The study of budget credibility examines the extent, nature, causes and consequences of deviations from approved budgets. In this series, part of the International Budget Partnership’s Assessing Budget Credibility Project, 24 civil society partners in 23 countries probed a specific area in which execution of the national budget repeatedly diverged from the approved plan to learn whether adequate reasons were provided for the deviation. The broader synthesis report on these findings can be found here.

In India, Scheduled Castes (SCs) and Scheduled Tribes (STs) are among the most disadvantaged communities in India. They face significant social disparities, including in education. In 2016-17, the gross enrollment ratio in higher education of SC and ST students was 21.1 and 15.4 percent, respectively, lagging behind the national average of 25.2 percent. To address this imbalance, significant public funds have been designated to help SC and ST students afford higher education.

**BUDGET CREDIBILITY CHALLENGE**

The University Grants Commission (UGC) administers a scholarship scheme for SC and ST students to attend university. According to data from the Ministry of Finance, one third of the approved budget for this scheme was unspent between fiscal years 2012 to 2017. The unutilized cumulative amount of USD $162 million over this period is of great concern, as these funds could have benefitted almost 8,000 SC and ST students with full five-year aid packages worth USD $20,548 each.

However, a serious complication with assessing the budget credibility of the scholarship program comes from the fact that another set of official data, released by the UGC, contradicts the figures reported by the Ministry of Finance. For example, in 2015-16, the Ministry of Finance data report that half of the program's budget was unspent, whereas the UGC data indicate that the budget was overspent by 15 percent. There are no published narratives to explain this discrepancy, but it may be due to certain expenditures not being authorized by the Ministry of Finance.

**DISPARATE EXECUTION DATA ON SCHOLARSHIPS FOR DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS, FROM 2 OFFICIAL SOURCES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Ministry of Finance data:</th>
<th>University Grants Commission data:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Approved (million USD)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Executed (million USD)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>102.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative</td>
<td>508.3</td>
<td>346.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Expenditure Budget Profile by Ministry of Finance, University Grants Commission
WERE EXPLANATIONS FOR THE DEVIATIONS FOUND IN GOVERNMENT REPORTS?

No. None of the following reports contained justifications for budget deviations:

- Statement 10A: Allocation for Welfare of Scheduled Castes, Expenditure Profile, Ministry of Finance
- Annual Reports of the UGC
- Annual Accounts of the UGC
- Office memorandums, letters, and minutes of meetings published on eUTTHAAN, a budget information portal on scheduled castes

DID THE GOVERNMENT AGREE TO BE INTERVIEWED TO EXPLAIN FURTHER?

Interviews were conducted with officials in the Ministry of Human Resource Development:

- Ms. Smita Srivastav, Director, UGC, Department of Higher Education
- Ms. Ishita Roy, Joint Secretary, UGC, Department of Higher Education
- Mr. R. Subrahmaniyam IAS, Secretary, Department of Higher Education

These conversations yielded no substantive answers. In some cases, officials denied that there was an under-spending problem.

WERE THE REASONS PROVIDED BY GOVERNMENT ADEQUATE?

No. No reasons were identified via published reports or interviews with officials.

This summary is excerpted from research conducted by the National Campaign on Dalit Human Rights (NCDHR), a coalition of Dalit human rights activists and academics with the aim of ending caste-based discrimination in India. The original report is available here: bit.ly/BudgetCredibilityReports. For more information on the Assessing Budget Credibility project, visit internationalbudget.org/budget-credibility.